# Outerplane bipartite graphs with isomorphic resonance graphs 

Simon Brezovnik ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$, Zhongyuan Che ${ }^{\text {c }}$, Niko Tratnik ${ }^{\text {b,d,*, }}$ Petra Žigert Pleteršek ${ }^{\text {d,e }}$<br>${ }^{\text {a }}$ University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Slovenia<br>${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, Ljubljana, Slovenia<br>${ }^{\text {c }}$ Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, Beaver Campus, Monaca, USA<br>${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ University of Maribor, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Slovenia<br>${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ University of Maribor, Faculty of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Slovenia

## A R TICLE I N F O

## Article history

Received 13 June 2023
Received in revised form 30 October 2023
Accepted 1 November 2023
Available online 8 November 2023

## Keywords:

Distributive lattice
Inner dual
Isomorphic resonance graphs
Isomorphic resonance digraphs
Order ideal
2-connected outerplane bipartite graph


#### Abstract

We present novel results related to isomorphic resonance graphs of 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs. As the main result, we provide a structure characterization for 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs with isomorphic resonance graphs. Three additional characterizations are expressed in terms of resonance digraphs, via local structures of inner duals, as well as using distributive lattices on the set of order ideals of posets defined on inner faces of 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs.
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## 1. Introduction

Resonance graphs reflect interactions between perfect matchings (in chemistry known as Kekulé structures) of plane bipartite graphs. These graphs were independently introduced by chemists (El-Basil [9,10], Gründler [11]) and also by mathematicians (Zhang, Guo, and Chen [16]) under the name Z-transformation graph. Initially, resonance graphs were investigated on hexagonal systems [16]. Later, this concept was generalized to plane bipartite graphs, see [15,19-21].

In recent years, various structural properties of resonance graphs of plane bipartite graphs were obtained [5-8]. The problem of characterizing 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs with isomorphic resonance graphs is also interesting and nontrivial. There are outerplane bipartite graphs $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ whose inner duals are isomorphic paths but with nonisomorphic resonance graphs. For example, let $G$ be a linear benzenoid chain (a chain in which every non-terminal hexagon is linear) with $n$ hexagons, and let $G^{\prime}$ be a fibonaccene (a benzenoid chain in which every non-terminal hexagon is angular, see [12]) with $n$ hexagons, where $n>2$. Then the inner dual $T$ of graph $G$ is isomorphic to the inner dual $T^{\prime}$ of graph $G^{\prime}$, since $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are both paths on $n$ vertices. However, their resonance graphs $R(G)$ and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are not isomorphic: $R(G)$ is a path and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is a Fibonacci cube, see Fig. 1.

In $[2,3]$ the problem of finding catacondensed even ring systems (shortly called CERS) with isomorphic resonance graphs was investigated. More precisely, the relation of evenly homeomorphic CERS was introduced and it was proved that
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Fig. 1. Resonance graphs of the linear benzenoid chain and fibonaccene with three hexagons.
if two CERS are evenly homeomorphic, then their resonance graphs are isomorphic. Conversely, it is true for catacondensed even ring chains but not for all CERS [3]. Moreover, in [4] it was proved that if two 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs are evenly homeomorphic, then their resonance graphs are isomorphic. In papers [3,4], the following open problem was stated.

Problem 1. Characterize 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs with isomorphic resonance graphs.
In this paper we solve the above problem. Firstly, we state all the needed definitions and previous results as preliminaries. The main result, Theorem 3.4, is presented in Section 3. The necessity part of this result is stated as Theorem 3.2. Moreover, in Corollary 3.3 we show that two 2 -connected outerplane bipartite graphs have isomorphic resonance graphs if and only if they can be properly two colored so that their resonance digraphs are isomorphic. In addition, by Corollary 3.6, it follows that 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ have isomorphic resonance graphs if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\alpha$ between their inner duals $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ such that for any 3-path $x y z$ of $T$, the triple $(x, y, z)$ is regular if and only if $(\alpha(x), \alpha(y), \alpha(z))$ is regular. Finally, we provide Corollary 4.2 to connect our results with a result from [18] which showed that the distributive lattice on the set of perfect matchings of $G$ and the distributive lattice on the set of order ideals of the poset defined on all inner faces of $G$ are isomorphic for any 2-connected outerplane bipartite graph $G$.

## 2. Preliminaries

We say that two faces of a plane graph $G$ are adjacent if they have an edge in common. An inner face (also called a finite face) adjacent to the outer face (also called the infinite face) is named a peripheral face. In addition, we denote the set of edges lying on some face $s$ of $G$ by $E(s)$. The subgraph induced by the edges in $E(s)$ is the periphery of $s$ and denoted by $\partial s$. The periphery of the outer face is also called the periphery of $G$ and denoted by $\partial G$. Moreover, for a peripheral face $s$ and the outer face $s_{0}$, the subgraph induced by the edges in $E(s) \cap E\left(s_{0}\right)$ is called the common periphery of $s$ and $G$, and denoted by $\partial s \cap \partial G$. The vertices of $G$ that belong to the outer face are called peripheral vertices and the remaining vertices are interior vertices. Furthermore, an outerplane graph is a plane graph in which all vertices are peripheral vertices.

A bipartite graph $G$ is elementary if and only if it is connected and each edge is contained in some perfect matching of $G$. Any elementary bipartite graph other than $K_{2}$ is 2-connected. Hence, if $G$ is a plane elementary bipartite graph with more than two vertices, then the periphery of each face of $G$ is an even cycle. A peripheral face $s$ of a plane elementary bipartite graph $G$ is called reducible if the subgraph $H$ of $G$ obtained by removing all internal vertices (if exist) and edges on the common periphery of $s$ and $G$ is elementary.

The inner dual of a plane graph $G$ is a graph whose vertex set is the set of all inner faces of $G$, and two vertices being adjacent if the corresponding faces are adjacent.

A perfect matching $M$ of a graph $G$ is a set of independent edges of $G$ such that every vertex of $G$ is incident with exactly one edge from $M$. An even cycle $C$ of $G$ is called $M$-alternating if the edges of $C$ appear alternately in $M$ and in $E(G) \backslash M$. Also, a face $s$ of a 2-connected plane bipartite graph is $M$-resonant if $\partial s$ is an $M$-alternating cycle.

Let $G$ be a plane elementary bipartite graph and $\mathcal{M}(G)$ be the set of all perfect matchings of $G$. Assume that $s$ is a reducible face of $G$. By [14], the common periphery of $s$ and $G$ is an odd length path $P$. By Proposition 4.1 in [5], $P$ is $M$-alternating for any perfect matching $M$ of $G$, and $\mathcal{M}(G)=\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}\right) \cup \mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}\right)$, where $\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}\right)$is the set of perfect matchings $M$ of $G$ such that two end edges of $P$ are not contained in $M$ or $P$ is a single edge and not contained in $M$;
$\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}\right)$is the set of perfect matchings $M$ of $G$ such that two end edges of $P$ are contained in $M$ or $P$ is a single edge and contained in $M$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}\right)$and $\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}\right)$can be partitioned as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}\right)=\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}, \partial s\right) \cup \mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}, \overline{\partial s}\right) \\
& \mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}\right)=\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}, \partial s\right) \cup \mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}, \overline{\partial s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}, \partial s\right)\left(\right.$ resp., $\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}, \overline{\partial s}\right)$ ) is the set of perfect matchings $M$ in $\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}\right)$such that $s$ is $M$-resonant (resp., not $M$-resonant), and $\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}, \partial s\right)\left(\operatorname{resp} ., \mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}, \overline{\partial s}\right)\right.$ ) is the set of perfect matchings $M$ in $\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}\right)$such that $s$ is $M$-resonant (resp., not $M$-resonant).

Let $G$ be a plane bipartite graph with a perfect matching. The resonance graph (also called Z-transformation graph) $R(G)$ of $G$ is the graph whose vertices are the perfect matchings of $G$, and two perfect matchings $M_{1}, M_{2}$ are adjacent whenever their symmetric difference forms the edge set of exactly one inner face $s$ of $G$. In this case, we say that the edge $M_{1} M_{2}$ has the face-label s.

Let $H$ and $K$ be two graphs with vertex sets $V(H)$ and $V(K)$, respectively. The Cartesian product of $H$ and $K$ is a graph with the vertex set $\{(h, k) \mid h \in V(H), k \in V(K)\}$ such that two vertices $\left(h_{1}, k_{1}\right)$ and $\left(h_{2}, k_{2}\right)$ are adjacent if either $h_{1} h_{2}$ is an edge of $H$ and $k_{1}=k_{2}$ in $K$ or $k_{1} k_{2}$ is an edge of $K$ and $h_{1}=h_{2}$ in $H$. Assume that $G$ is a disjoint union of two plane bipartite graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. Then by definitions, the resonance graph $R(G)$ is the Cartesian product of $R\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $R\left(G_{2}\right)$.

Assume that $G$ is a plane bipartite graph whose vertices are properly colored black and white such that adjacent vertices receive different colors. Let $M$ be a perfect matching of $G$. An $M$-alternating cycle $C$ of $G$ is $M$-proper (resp., $M$-improper) if every edge of $C$ belonging to $M$ goes from white to black vertex (resp., from black to white vertex) along the clockwise orientation of $C$. A plane bipartite graph $G$ with a perfect matching has a unique perfect matching $M_{\hat{0}}$ (resp., $M_{\hat{1}}$ ) such that $G$ has no proper $M_{\hat{0}}$-alternating cycles (resp., no improper $M_{\hat{1}}$-alternating cycles) [19].

The resonance digraph, denoted by $\vec{R}(G)$, is the digraph obtained from $R(G)$ by adding a direction for each edge so that $\overrightarrow{M_{1} M_{2}}$ is a directed edge from $M_{1}$ to $M_{2}$ if $M_{1} \oplus M_{2}$ is a proper $M_{1}$-alternating (or, an improper $M_{2}$-alternating) cycle surrounding an inner face of $G$. Let $\mathcal{M}(G)$ be the set of all perfect matchings of $G$. Then a partial order $\leq$ can be defined on $\mathcal{M}(G)$ such that $M^{\prime} \leq M$ if there is a directed path from $M$ to $M^{\prime}$ in $\vec{R}(G)$. When $G$ is a plane elementary bipartite graph, $\mathbf{M}(G):=(\mathcal{M}(G), \leq)$ is a finite distributive lattice whose Hasse diagram is isomorphic to $\vec{R}(G)$ [13]. It is well known that $M_{\hat{0}}$ is the minimum element and $M_{\hat{1}}$ the maximum element of the distributive lattice $\mathbf{M}(G)$ [13,17].

We now present the concept of a reducible face decomposition, see [5,6,20]. Firstly, we introduce the bipartite ear decomposition of a plane elementary bipartite graph $G$ with $n$ inner faces. Starting from an edge $e$ of $G$, we join its two end vertices by a path $P_{1}$ of odd length and proceed inductively to build a sequence of bipartite graphs as follows. If $G_{i-1}=e+P_{1}+\cdots+P_{i-1}$ has already been constructed, add the $i$ th ear $P_{i}$ of odd length by joining any two vertices belonging to different bipartition sets of $G_{i-1}$ such that $P_{i}$ has no internal vertices in common with the vertices of $G_{i-1}$ to obtain $G_{i}$. A bipartite ear decomposition of a plane elementary bipartite graph $G$ is called a reducible face decomposition (shortly RFD) if $G_{1}$ is a periphery of an inner face $s_{1}$ of $G$, and the $i$ th ear $P_{i}$ lies in the exterior of $G_{i-1}$ such that $P_{i}$ and a part of the periphery of $G_{i-1}$ surround an inner face $s_{i}$ of $G$ for all $i \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$. For such a decomposition, we use notation $\operatorname{RFD}\left(G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}\right)$, where $G_{n}=G$. It was shown [20] that a plane bipartite graph with more than two vertices is elementary if and only if it has a reducible face decomposition.

Let $H$ be a convex subgraph of a graph $G$. The peripheral convex expansion of $G$ with respect to $H$, denoted by $p c e(G ; H)$, is the graph obtained from $G$ by the following procedure:
(i) Replace each vertex $v$ of $H$ by an edge $v_{1} v_{2}$.
(ii) Insert edges between $v_{1}$ and the neighbors of $v$ in $V(G) \backslash V(H)$.
(iii) Insert the edges $u_{1} v_{1}$ and $u_{2} v_{2}$ whenever $u, v$ of $H$ are adjacent in $G$.

Two edges $u v$ and $x y$ of a connected graph $G$ are said to be in relation $\Theta$ (also known as Djoković-Winkler relation), denoted by $u v \Theta x y$, if $d_{G}(u, x)+d_{G}(v, y) \neq d_{G}(u, y)+d_{G}(v, x)$. It is well known that if $G$ is a plane elementary bipartite graph, then its resonance graph $R(G)$ is a median graph [17] and therefore, the relation $\Theta$ is an equivalence relation on the set of edges $E(R(G))$.

Let $x y$ be an edge of a resonance graph $R(G)$ and $F_{x y}=\{e \in E(R(G)) \mid e \Theta x y\}$ be the set of all edges in relation $\Theta$ with $x y$ in $R(G)$, where $G$ is a plane elementary bipartite graph. By Proposition 3.2 in [5], all edges in $F_{x y}$ have the same face-label. On the other hand, two edges with the same face-label can be in different $\Theta$-classes of $R(G)$.

We now present several results from previous papers which will be needed later.
Proposition 2.1 ([14]). Let $G$ be a plane elementary bipartite graph other than $K_{2}$. Then the outer cycle of $G$ is improper $M_{\hat{0}}$-alternating as well as proper $M_{\hat{1}}$-alternating, where $M_{\hat{0}}$ is the minimum element and $M_{\hat{1}}$ the maximum element in the finite distributive lattice $\mathbf{M}(G)$.

The induced subgraph of a graph $G$ on $W \subseteq V(G)$ will be denoted as $\langle W\rangle$.
Theorem 2.2 ([5]). Assume that $G$ is a plane elementary bipartite graph and $s$ is a reducible face of $G$. Let $P$ be the common periphery of $s$ and $G$. Let $H$ be the subgraph of $G$ obtained by removing all internal vertices and edges of $P$. Assume that $R(G)$
and $R(H)$ are resonance graphs of $G$ and $H$ respectively. Let $F$ be the set of all edges in $R(G)$ with the face-label s. Then $F$ is a $\Theta$-class of $R(G)$ and $R(G)-F$ has exactly two components $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}\right)\right\rangle$and $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}\right)\right\rangle$. Furthermore,
(i) $F$ is a matching defining an isomorphism between $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}, \partial s\right)\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}, \partial s\right)\right\rangle$;
(ii) $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}, \partial s\right)\right\rangle$ is convex in $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}\right)\right\rangle,\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}, \partial s\right)\right\rangle$ is convex in $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}\right)\right\rangle$;
(iii) $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}\right)\right\rangle$and $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}\right)\right\rangle$are median graphs, where $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}\right)\right\rangle \cong R(H)$.

In particular, $R(G)$ can be obtained from $R(H)$ by a peripheral convex expansion if and only if $\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}\right)=\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}, \partial s\right)$.
Proposition 2.3 ([6]). Let G be a 2-connected outerplane bipartite graph. Assume that $s$ is a reducible face of $G$. Then $s$ is adjacent to exactly one inner face of $G$.

For any 2-connected outerplane bipartite graph $G$ and a reducible face $s$ of $G$, we know from [14] that the common periphery of $s$ and $G$ is an odd length path $P$. By Proposition 2.3, $s$ is adjacent to exactly one inner face $s^{\prime}$ of $G$. It is clear that the common edge of $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ is a single edge $e$. Therefore, $E(s)=e \cup E(P)$ and the odd length path $P$ must have at least three edges.

Theorem 2.4 ([6]). Let G be a 2-connected outerplane bipartite graph. Assume that $s$ is a reducible face of $G$ and $P$ is the common periphery of $s$ and $G$. Let $H$ be the subgraph of $G$ obtained by removing all internal vertices and edges of $P$. Then $R(G)$ can be obtained from $R(H)$ by a peripheral convex expansion, that is, $R(G)=p c e(R(H) ; T)$ where the set of all edges between $R(H)$ and $T$ is a $\Theta$-class of $R(G)$ with the face-label s. Moreover,
(i) $R(G)$ has exactly one more $\Theta$-class than $R(H)$ and it has the face-label $s$, and
(ii) each of other $\Theta$-classes of $R(G)$ can be obtained from the corresponding $\Theta$-class of $R(H)$ with the same face-label (adding more edges if needed).

Theorem 2.5 ([6]). Let $G$ be a 2-connected outerplane bipartite graph and $R(G)$ be its resonance graph. Assume that $G$ has a reducible face decomposition $G_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ where $G_{n}=G$ associated with a sequence of inner faces $s_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and $a$ sequence of odd length ears $P_{i}(2 \leq i \leq n)$. Then $R(G)$ can be obtained from the one edge graph by a sequence of peripheral convex expansions with respect to the above reducible face decomposition of $G$. Furthermore, $R\left(G_{1}\right)=K_{2}$ where the edge has the face-label $s_{1}$; for $2 \leq i \leq n, R\left(G_{i}\right)=p c e\left(R\left(G_{i-1}\right) ; T_{i-1}\right)$ where the set of all edges between $R\left(G_{i-1}\right)$ and $T_{i-1}$ is a $\Theta$-class in $R\left(G_{i}\right)$ with the face-label $s_{i}, R\left(G_{i}\right)$ has exactly one more $\Theta$-class than $R\left(G_{i-1}\right)$ and it has the face-label $s_{i}$, each of other $\Theta$-classes of $R\left(G_{i}\right)$ can be obtained from the corresponding $\Theta$-class of $R\left(G_{i-1}\right)$ with the same face-label (adding more edges if needed).

The induced graph $\Theta(R(G))$ on the $\Theta$-classes of $R(G)$ is a graph whose vertex set is the set of $\Theta$-classes, and two vertices $E$ and $F$ of $\Theta(R(G))$ are adjacent if $R(G)$ has two incident edges $e \in E$ and $f \in F$ such that $e$ and $f$ are not contained in a common 4-cycle of $R(G)$. It is well-known that if $s$ and $t$ are two face labels of incident edges of a 4-cycle of $R(G)$, then $s$ and $t$ are vertex disjoint in $G$ and $M$-resonant for a perfect matching $M$ of $G$; if $s$ and $t$ are two face labels of incident edges not contained in a common 4-cycle of $R(G)$, then $s$ and $t$ are adjacent in $G$ and $M$-resonant for a perfect matching $M$ of $G$.

Theorem 2.6 ([6]). Let $G$ be a 2-connected outerplane bipartite graph and $R(G)$ be its resonance graph. Then the graph $\Theta(R(G))$ induced by the $\Theta$-classes of $R(G)$ is a tree and isomorphic to the inner dual of $G$.

## 3. Main results

In this section, we characterize 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs with isomorphic resonance graphs. We start with the following lemma, which is a more detailed version of Theorem 2.4 [6] and Lemma 1 [8] for 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs. We use $\mathcal{M}(G ; e)$ to denote the set of perfect matchings of a graph $G$ containing the edge $e$ of $G$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $G$ be a 2-connected outerplane bipartite graph. Assume that $s$ is a reducible face of $G, P$ is the common periphery of $s$ and $G$ and $e \in E(s)$ is a unique edge that does not belong to $P$. Let $H$ be the subgraph of $G$ obtained by removing all internal vertices and edges of $P$.

Further, assume that $H$ has more than two vertices. Let $M_{\hat{0}}$ be the minimum element and $M_{\hat{1}}$ be the maximum element in the distributive lattice $\mathbf{M}(H)$. Then $e$ is contained in exactly one of $M_{\hat{0}}$ and $M_{\hat{1}}$.
(i) Suppose that $M_{\hat{0}} \notin \mathcal{M}\left(H ;\right.$ e). Let $\widehat{M_{\hat{\varrho}}}$ be the perfect matching of $G$ such that $M_{\hat{0}} \subseteq \widehat{M_{\hat{0}}}$ and $\widehat{M_{\hat{1}}}$ be the perfect matching of $G$ such that $M_{\hat{1}} \backslash\{e\} \subseteq \widehat{M_{\hat{1}}}$. Then $\widehat{M_{\hat{0}}} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}, \overline{\partial s}\right)$ is the minimum element, and $\widehat{M_{\hat{1}}} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}, \partial s\right)$ is the maximum element of the finite distributive lattice $\mathbf{M}(G)$.
(ii) Suppose that $M_{\hat{0}} \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{H} ; e)$. Let $\widehat{M_{\hat{0}}}$ be the perfect matching of $G$ such that $M_{\hat{0}} \backslash\{e\} \subseteq \widehat{M_{\hat{0}}}$ and $\widehat{M_{\hat{1}}}$ be the perfect matching of $G$ such that $M_{\hat{1}} \subseteq \widehat{M_{\hat{1}}}$. Then $\widehat{M}_{\hat{0}} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}, \partial s\right)$ is the minimum element, and ${\widehat{M_{\hat{1}}}}_{\hat{1}} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}, \overline{\partial s}\right)$ is the maximum element of the finite distributive lattice $\mathbf{M}(G)$.


Fig. 2. A peripheral convex expansion of the resonance graph $R(G)$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.4, $R(G)=p c e(R(H),\langle\mathcal{M}(H ; e)\rangle)$, where the edges between $R(H)$ and $\langle\mathcal{M}(H ; e)\rangle$ is a $\Theta$-class of $R(G)$ with the face-label $s$. Moreover, $R(H) \cong\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}\right)\right\rangle$and $\langle\mathcal{M}(H ; e)\rangle \cong\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}, \partial s\right)\right\rangle \cong\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}, \partial s\right)\right\rangle$. See Fig. 2.

Any 2-connected outerplane bipartite graph has two perfect matchings whose edges form alternating edges on the outer cycle of the graph. By Proposition 2.1, one is the maximum element and the other is the minimum element in the finite distributive lattice on the set of perfect matchings of the graph.

Let $M_{\hat{0}}$ be the minimum element and $M_{\hat{1}}$ be the maximum element in the finite distributive lattice $\mathbf{M}(H)$. Then the outer cycle of $H$ is both improper $M_{\hat{0}}$-alternating and proper $M_{\hat{1}}$-alternating. Note that $e$ is an edge of the outer cycle of $H$. Then $e$ is contained in exactly one of $M_{\hat{0}}$ and $M_{\hat{1}}$.

We will show only part (i), since the proof of (ii) is analogous. Suppose that $M_{\hat{0}}$ does not contain the edge $e$. Recall that the outer cycle of $H$ is improper $M_{\hat{0}}$-alternating. By the definition of $\widehat{M_{\hat{0}}}$, the outer cycle of $G$ is improper $\widehat{M_{\hat{0}}}$-alternating. Therefore, $\widehat{M_{\hat{0}}}$ is the minimum element of the distributive lattice $\mathbf{M}(G)$ since $G$ is an outerplane bipartite graph. Note that three consecutive edges on the periphery of $s$, namely $e$ and two end edges of $P$, are not contained in $\widehat{M_{\hat{0}}}$. Then $s$ is not $\widehat{M_{\hat{0}}}$-resonant. So, $\widehat{M_{\hat{0}}} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{-}, \overline{\partial s}\right)$.

Note that $M_{\hat{1}}$ contains the edge $e$ since $M_{\hat{0}}$ does not contain $e$ by our assumption for part ( $i$ ). Recall that the outer cycle of $H$ is proper $M_{\hat{1}}$-alternating. By the definition of $\widehat{M_{\hat{1}}}, \widehat{M}_{\hat{1}} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}\right)$and the outer cycle of $G$ is again proper $\widehat{M_{\hat{1}}}$-alternating. It follows that $s$ is $\widehat{M_{\hat{1}}}$-resonant. Consequently, $\widehat{M_{\hat{1}}} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}, \partial s\right)$ is the maximum element of the finite distributive lattice $\mathbf{M}(G)$.

To state the next theorem, we need the following notation. Let $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ be 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs. Suppose that $\phi$ is an isomorphism between resonance graphs $R(G)$ and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. By Theorem 2.6, the isomorphism $\phi$ induces an isomorphism between inner duals of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$, which we denote by $\widehat{\phi}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ be 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs. If there exists an isomorphism $\phi$ between resonance graphs $R(G)$ and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, then $G$ has a reducible face decomposition $G_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ where $G_{n}=G$ associated with the face sequence $s_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and the odd length path sequence $P_{i}(2 \leq i \leq n)$; and $G^{\prime}$ has a reducible face decomposition $G_{i}^{\prime}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ where $G_{n}^{\prime}=G^{\prime}$ associated with the face sequence $s_{i}^{\prime}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and the odd length path sequence $P_{i}^{\prime}(2 \leq i \leq n)$ satisfying three properties:
(i) the isomorphism $\widehat{\phi}$ between the inner duals of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ maps $s_{i}$ to $s_{i}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$;
(ii) $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ can be properly two colored so that odd length paths $P_{i}$ and $P_{i}^{\prime}$ either both start from a black vertex and end with a white vertex, or both start from a white vertex and end with a black vertex in clockwise orientation along the peripheries of $G_{i}$ and $G_{i}^{\prime}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$;
(iii) $\phi$ is an isomorphism between resonance digraphs $\vec{R}(G)$ and $\vec{R}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ with respect to the colorings from property (ii).

Proof. Let $\phi: R(G) \longrightarrow R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be an isomorphism between $R(G)$ and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. By Theorem 2.6, the graph $\Theta(R(G))$ induced by the $\Theta$-classes of $R(G)$ is a tree and isomorphic to the inner dual of $G$, and the graph $\Theta\left(R\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$ induced by the $\Theta$-classes of $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is a tree and isomorphic to the inner dual of $G^{\prime}$. By the peripheral convex expansions with respect to a reducible face decomposition of a 2-connected outerplane bipartite graph given by Theorem 2.5 , we can see that $\phi$ induces an isomorphism $\widehat{\phi}$ between the inner duals of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$. So, $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ have the same number of inner faces.

Suppose that $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ have $n$ inner faces. Obviously, all three properties hold if $n=1$ or $n=2$. Let $n \geq 3$. We proceed by induction on $n$ and therefore assume that all three properties hold for any 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs with less than $n$ inner faces.

Let $s_{n}$ be a reducible face of $G, P_{n}$ be the common periphery of $s_{n}$ and $G$, and $E$ be the $\Theta$-class in $R(G)$ corresponding to $s_{n}$. Moreover, we denote by $E^{\prime}$ the $\Theta$-class in $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ obtained from $E$ by the isomorphism $\phi$, and $s_{n}^{\prime}$ the corresponding reducible face of $G^{\prime}$. Then $s_{n}^{\prime}=\widehat{\phi}\left(s_{n}\right)$. Also, we denote by $P_{n}^{\prime}$ the common periphery of $s_{n}^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime}$.

By Theorem 2.4, the graph $R(G)$ is obtained from $R\left(G_{n-1}\right)$ by a peripheral convex expansion with respect to the $\Theta$-class E. Similarly, the graph $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is obtained from $R\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ by a peripheral convex expansion with respect to the $\Theta$-class $E^{\prime}$. Since $\phi$ is an isomorphism between $R(G)$ and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\phi$ maps $E$ to $E^{\prime}$, it follows that $R\left(G_{n-1}\right)$ and $R\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic and the restriction of $\phi$ on $R\left(G_{n-1}\right)$ is an isomorphism $\phi_{n-1}$ between $R\left(G_{n-1}\right)$ and $R\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}$ be the induced isomorphism between the inner duals of $G_{n-1}$ and $G_{n-1}^{\prime}$. Then $\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}$ is the restriction of $\widehat{\phi}$ on the inner dual of $G_{n-1}$.

Since $G_{n-1}$ and $G_{n-1}^{\prime}$ have $n-1$ inner faces, by the induction hypothesis $G_{n-1}$ has a reducible face decomposition $G_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ associated with the face sequence $s_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ and the odd length path sequence $P_{i}(2 \leq i \leq n-1)$; and $G^{\prime}$ has a reducible face decomposition $G_{i}^{\prime}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ associated with the face sequence $s_{i}^{\prime}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ and the odd length path sequence $P_{i}^{\prime}(2 \leq i \leq n-1)$ satisfying properties $(i) s_{i}^{\prime}=\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}\left(s_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1,(i i) G_{n-1}$ and $G_{n-1}^{\prime}$ can be properly two colored so that odd length paths $P_{i}$ and $P_{i}^{\prime}$ either both start from a black vertex and end with a white vertex, or both start from a white vertex and end with a black vertex in clockwise orientation along the peripheries of $G_{i}$ and $G_{i}^{\prime}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, and (iii) $\phi_{n-1}$ is an isomorphism between resonance digraphs $\vec{R}\left(G_{n-1}\right)$ and $\vec{R}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ with respect to the colorings from property (ii).

Obviously, since $s_{n}^{\prime}=\widehat{\phi}\left(s_{n}\right)$ and $s_{i}^{\prime}=\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}\left(s_{i}\right)=\widehat{\phi}\left(s_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, property ( $i$ ) holds for the above reducible face decompositions of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$. It remains to show that the these reducible face decompositions satisfy property (ii) when $i=n$, and $\phi$ is an isomorphism between resonance digraphs $\vec{R}(G)$ and $\vec{R}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ with respect to the colorings from property (ii), that is, property (iii) holds.

By Proposition 2.3, $s_{n}$ is adjacent to exactly one inner face of $G$ since $s_{n}$ is a reducible face of $G$. Suppose that the unique inner face adjacent to $s_{n}$ is $s_{j}$. Since $\widehat{\phi}$ is an isomorphism between the inner duals of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$, the unique inner face adjacent to $s_{n}^{\prime}$ is $s_{j}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 3.1, $\partial s_{n} \cap \partial s_{j}$ is an edge $u v$ on $\partial G_{n-1}$, and $\partial s_{n}^{\prime} \cap \partial s_{j}^{\prime}$ is an edge $u^{\prime} v^{\prime}$ on $\partial G_{n-1}^{\prime}$. It is clear that $u$ and $v$ (resp., $u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$ ) are two end vertices of $P_{n}$ (resp., $P_{n}^{\prime}$ ). Moreover, $R(G)=p c e\left(R\left(G_{n-1}\right),\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)\right\rangle\right)$ where $R\left(G_{n-1}\right) \cong$ $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P_{n}^{-}\right)\right\rangle$and $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)\right\rangle \cong\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P_{n}^{-}, \partial s_{n}\right)\right\rangle \cong\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P_{n}^{+}, \partial s_{n}\right)\right\rangle$, and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)=p c e\left(R\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime}\right),\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\right)$ where $R\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime}\right) \cong\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G^{\prime} ; P_{n}^{\prime-}\right)\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \cong\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G^{\prime} ; P_{n}^{\prime-}, \partial s_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \cong\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G^{\prime} ; P_{n}^{\prime+}, \partial s_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$.

Recall that $\phi$ is an isomorphism between $R(G)=p c e\left(R\left(G_{n-1}\right),\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)\right\rangle\right)$ and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)=p c e\left(R\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime}\right),\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\right)$. We also have that $\phi_{n-1}$ is an isomorphism between resonance digraphs $\vec{R}\left(G_{n-1}\right)$ and $\vec{R}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\phi_{n-1}$ is the restriction of $\phi$ on $R\left(G_{n-1}\right)$. Hence, $\phi_{n-1} \operatorname{maps}\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)\right\rangle$ to $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ such that if an edge $x y$ of $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)\right\rangle$ is directed from $x$ to $y$ in $\vec{R}\left(G_{n-1}\right)$, then $\phi_{n-1}(x) \phi_{n-1}(y)$ is an edge of $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ directed from $\phi_{n-1}(x)$ to $\phi_{n-1}(y)$ in $\vec{R}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$.

Claim 1. Each edge of $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P_{n}^{+}, \partial s_{n}\right)\right\rangle$ resulted from the peripheral convex expansion of an edge $x_{1} y_{1}$ in $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)\right\rangle$ has the same orientation as the edge of $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G^{\prime} ; P_{n}^{+}, \partial s_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ resulted from the peripheral convex expansion of $\phi_{n-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \phi_{n-1}\left(y_{1}\right)$ in $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$.

Proof of Claim 1. Let $x_{1} y_{1}$ be an edge in $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)\right\rangle$. Then $\phi_{n-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \phi_{n-1}\left(y_{1}\right)$ is its corresponding edge under $\phi_{n-1}$ in $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$.

Assume that $x_{1} x_{2}$ and $y_{1} y_{2}$ are two edges between $R\left(G_{n-1}\right)$ and $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P_{n}^{+}, \partial s_{n}\right)\right\rangle$, where both edges have face-label $s_{n}$. Then $x_{2} y_{2}$ is an edge of $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P_{n}^{+}, \partial s_{n}\right)\right\rangle$ resulted from the peripheral convex expansion of the edge $x_{1} y_{1}$. Note that $\phi_{n-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \phi\left(x_{2}\right)$ and $\phi_{n-1}\left(y_{1}\right) \phi\left(y_{2}\right)$ are two edges between $R\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G^{\prime} ; P_{n}^{\prime+}, \partial s_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$, where both edges have face-label $s_{n}^{\prime}=\widehat{\phi}\left(s_{n}\right)$. Hence, $\phi\left(x_{2}\right) \phi\left(y_{2}\right)$ is an edge of $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G^{\prime} ; P_{n}^{\prime+}, \partial s_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ resulted from the peripheral convex expansion of the edge $\phi_{n-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \phi_{n-1}\left(y_{1}\right)$.

Without loss of generality, we show that if $x_{2} y_{2}$ is directed from $x_{2}$ to $y_{2}$ in $\vec{R}(G)$, then $\phi\left(x_{2}\right) \phi\left(y_{2}\right)$ is directed from $\phi\left(x_{2}\right)$ to $\phi\left(y_{2}\right)$ in $\overrightarrow{R^{\prime}}(G)$.

Recall both edges $x_{1} x_{2}$ and $y_{1} y_{2}$ of $R(G)$ have face-label $s_{n}$. Then $x_{1}=x_{2} \oplus \partial s_{n}$ and $y_{1}=y_{2} \oplus \partial s_{n}$. By the peripheral convex expansion structure of $R(G)$ from $R\left(G_{n-1}\right)$, vertices $x_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2}$, $x_{2}$ form a 4-cycle $C$ in $R(G)$. It is well known [5] that two antipodal edges of a 4-cycle in $R(G)$ have the same face-label and two face-labels of adjacent edges of a 4-cycle in $R(G)$ are vertex disjoint faces of $G$. Assume that two antipodal edges $x_{1} y_{1}$ and $x_{2} y_{2}$ of $C$ in $R(G)$ have the face-label $s_{k}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then $x_{1} \oplus y_{1}=x_{2} \oplus y_{2}=\partial s_{k}$ where $s_{k}$ is vertex disjoint from $s_{n}$. By our assumption that $x_{2} y_{2}$ is directed from $x_{2}$ to $y_{2}$ in $\vec{R}(G)$, it follows that $x_{1} y_{1}$ is directed from $x_{1}$ to $y_{1}$ in $\vec{R}\left(G_{n-1}\right) \subset \vec{R}(G)$.

Since $\phi_{n-1}$ is an isomorphism between resonance digraphs $\vec{R}\left(G_{n-1}\right)$ and $\overrightarrow{R^{\prime}}\left(G_{n-1}\right)$, we have that $\phi_{n-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \phi_{n-1}\left(y_{1}\right)$ is directed from $\phi_{n-1}\left(x_{1}\right)$ to $\phi_{n-1}\left(y_{1}\right)$ in $\overrightarrow{R^{\prime}}\left(G_{n-1}\right)$. Similarly to the above argument, vertices $\phi_{n-1}\left(x_{1}\right), \phi_{n-1}\left(y_{1}\right), \phi\left(y_{2}\right), \phi\left(x_{2}\right)$ form a 4-cycle in $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, where two antipodal edges $\phi_{n-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \phi_{n-1}\left(y_{1}\right)$ and $\phi\left(x_{2}\right) \phi\left(y_{2}\right)$ of $C^{\prime}$ in $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ have the face-label $s_{k}^{\prime}=\widehat{\phi}_{n-1}\left(s_{k}\right)$, where $s_{k}^{\prime}$ and $s_{n}^{\prime}$ are vertex disjoint faces of $G^{\prime}$. Recall both edges $\phi_{n-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \phi\left(x_{2}\right)$ and $\phi_{n-1}\left(y_{1}\right) \phi\left(y_{2}\right)$ have face-label $s_{n}^{\prime}=\widehat{\phi}\left(s_{n}\right)$. Then $\phi\left(x_{2}\right)=\phi_{n-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \oplus \partial s_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\phi\left(y_{2}\right)=\phi_{n-1}\left(y_{1}\right) \oplus \partial s_{n}^{\prime}$. It follows that $\phi\left(x_{2}\right) \phi\left(y_{2}\right)$ is directed from $\phi\left(x_{2}\right)$ to $\phi\left(y_{2}\right)$ in $\overrightarrow{R^{\prime}}(G)$. Therefore, Claim 1 holds.

Claim 2. The edges between $\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P_{n}^{-}, \partial s_{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P_{n}^{+}, \partial s_{n}\right)$ in $\vec{R}(G)$ have the same orientation as the edges between $\mathcal{M}\left(G^{\prime} ; P_{n}^{\prime-}, \partial s_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathcal{M}\left(G^{\prime} ; P_{n}^{\prime+}, \partial s_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ in $\vec{R}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof of Claim 2. By definitions of $\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P_{n}^{-}, \partial s_{n}\right), \mathcal{M}\left(G ; P_{n}^{+}, \partial s_{n}\right)$, and directed edges in $\vec{R}(G)$, we can see that all edges between $\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P_{n}^{-}, \partial s_{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P_{n}^{+}, \partial s_{n}\right)$ are directed from one set to the other. Similarly, all edges between $\mathcal{M}\left(G^{\prime} ; P_{n}^{\prime-}, \partial s_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathcal{M}\left(G^{\prime} ; P_{n}^{\prime+}, \partial s_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ are directed from one set to the other.

Let $M_{\hat{0}}$ be the minimum element and $M_{\hat{1}}$ the maximum element in the distributive lattice $\mathbf{M}\left(G_{n-1}\right)$. By Lemma 3.1, exactly one of these two perfect matchings contains the edge $u v$. Without loss of generality, let $M_{\hat{0}} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)$ where $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)\right\rangle \cong\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P_{n}^{-}, \partial s_{n}\right)\right\rangle \cong\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G ; P_{n}^{+}, \partial s_{n}\right)\right\rangle$. Let $\widehat{M}_{\hat{0}}$ be the perfect matching of $G$ such that $M_{\hat{0}} \backslash\{u v\} \subseteq \widehat{M}_{\hat{0}}$. Then $\widehat{M}_{\hat{0}} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G ; P^{+}, \partial s\right)$ is the minimum element of the distributive lattice $\mathbf{M}(G)$.

Let $M_{\hat{0}}^{\prime}=\phi_{n-1}\left(M_{\hat{0}}\right)$. Then $M_{\hat{0}}^{\prime}$ is the minimum element of the distributive lattice $\mathbf{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$, and $M_{\hat{0}}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)$ where $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \cong\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G^{\prime} ; P_{n}^{\prime-}, \partial s_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \cong\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G^{\prime} ; P_{n}^{\prime+}, \partial s_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$. As before, define $\widehat{M_{\hat{0}}^{\prime}}$ as the perfect matching of $G^{\prime}$ such that $M_{\hat{0}}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq \widehat{M_{\hat{0}}^{\prime}}$. By Lemma 3.1, $\widehat{M_{\hat{0}}^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{M}\left(G^{\prime} ; P^{\prime+}, \partial s\right)$ is the minimum element of the distributive lattice $\mathbf{M}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. This implies that Claim 2 holds.

Consequently, $\phi$ is also an isomorphism between resonance digraphs $\vec{R}(G)$ and $\vec{R}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, which means that property (iii) holds.

Suppose that $P_{n}=\partial s_{n}-u v$ starts with $u$ and ends with $v$ along the clockwise orientation of the periphery of $G$, and $P_{n}^{\prime}=\partial s_{n}^{\prime}-u^{\prime} v^{\prime}$ starts with $u^{\prime}$ and ends with $v^{\prime}$ along the clockwise orientation of the periphery of $G^{\prime}$. Since the resonance digraphs $\vec{R}(G)$ and $\vec{R}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic, it follows that $u$ and $u^{\prime}$ have the same color and $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ have the same color. So, the above reducible face decompositions of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ also satisfy property (ii) when $i=n$. Therefore, property (ii) holds.

The following corollary follows directly from Theorem 3.2.
Corollary 3.3. Let $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ be 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs. Then their resonance graphs $R(G)$ and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ can be properly two colored so that $\vec{R}(G)$ and $\vec{R}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic.

We are now ready to state the following main result of the paper.
Theorem 3.4. Let $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ be 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs. Then their resonance graphs $R(G)$ and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if $G$ has a reducible face decomposition $G_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ associated with the face sequence $s_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and the odd length path sequence $P_{i}(2 \leq i \leq n)$; and $G^{\prime}$ has a reducible face decomposition $G_{i}^{\prime}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ associated with the face sequence $s_{i}^{\prime}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and the odd length path sequence $P_{i}^{\prime}(2 \leq i \leq n)$ satisfying two properties:
(i) the map sending $s_{i}$ to $s_{i}^{\prime}$ induces an isomorphism between the inner dual of $G$ and inner dual of $G^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$; and
(ii) $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ can be properly two colored so that odd length paths $P_{i}$ and $P_{i}^{\prime}$ either both start from a black vertex and end with a white vertex, or both start from a white vertex and end with a black vertex in clockwise orientation along the peripheries of $G_{i}$ and $G_{i}^{\prime}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Necessity. This implication follows by Theorem 3.2.
Sufficiency. Let $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ be 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs each with $n$ inner faces. Use induction on $n$. The result holds when $n=1$ or 2 . Assume that $n \geq 3$ and the result holds for any two 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs each with less than $n$ inner faces. By Theorem $2.5, R(G)$ can be obtained from an edge by a peripheral convex expansions with respect to a reducible face decomposition $G_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ associated with the face sequence $s_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and the odd length path sequence $P_{i}(2 \leq i \leq n)$; and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ can be obtained from an edge by a peripheral convex expansions with respect to a reducible face decomposition $G_{i}^{\prime}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ associated with the face sequence $s_{i}^{\prime}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ and the odd length path sequence $P_{i}^{\prime}(2 \leq i \leq n)$.

Assume that properties (i) and (ii) hold for the above reducible face decompositions of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$. By induction hypothesis, $R\left(G_{n-1}\right)$ and $R^{\prime}\left(G_{n-1}\right)$ are isomorphic.

Similarly to the argument in Theorem 3.2, we can see that $s_{n}$ is adjacent to exactly one inner face $s_{j}$ of $G$ such that $\partial s_{n} \cap \partial s_{j}$ is an edge $u v$ on $\partial G_{n-1}$; and $s_{n}^{\prime}$ is adjacent to exactly one inner face $s_{j}^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}$ such that $\partial s_{n}^{\prime} \cap \partial s_{j}^{\prime}$ is an edge $u^{\prime} v^{\prime}$ on $\partial G_{n-1}^{\prime}$. It is clear that $u$ and $v$ (resp., $u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$ ) are two end vertices of $P_{n}$ (resp., $P_{n}^{\prime}$ ). Moreover, $R(G)=$ pce $\left(R\left(G_{n-1}\right),\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)\right\rangle\right)$, and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{pce}\left(R\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime}\right),\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\right)$. To show that $R(G)$ and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic, it remains to prove that $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ are isomorphic.

Let $H_{n-1}$ be the subgraph of $G_{n-1}$ obtained by removing two end vertices of the edge $u v$, and repeatedly removing end vertices of resulted pendant edges during the process, and $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ be the subgraph of $G_{n-1}^{\prime}$ obtained by removing two end vertices of the edge $u^{\prime} v^{\prime}$, and repeatedly removing end vertices of resulted pendant edges during the process. Note that all vertices of $G_{n-1}$ (resp., $G_{n-1}^{\prime}$ ) are on the outer cycle of $G_{n-1}$ (resp., $G_{n-1}^{\prime}$ ) since $G_{n-1}$ (resp., $G_{n-1}^{\prime}$ ) is an outerplane graph. Then all resulted pendant edges during the process of obtaining $H_{n-1}$ (resp., $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ ) from $G_{n-1}$ (resp., $G_{n-1}^{\prime}$ ) are the edges on the outer cycle of $G_{n-1}$ (resp., $G_{n-1}^{\prime}$ ). It follows either both $H_{n-1}$ and $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ are empty, or $H_{n-1}$ and $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ are connected subgraphs


Fig. 3. Two outerplane bipartite graphs with isomorphic resonance graphs.
of $G_{n-1}$ and $G_{n-1}^{\prime}$, respectively. Moreover, if both $H_{n-1}$ and $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ are empty, then $\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)$ contains a unique perfect matching of $G_{n-1}$ and $\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)$ contains a unique perfect matching of $G_{n-1}^{\prime}$. So, $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ are isomorphic as single vertices.

We now assume that $H_{n-1}$ and $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ are connected subgraphs of $G_{n-1}$ and $G_{n-1}^{\prime}$, respectively. It is clear that all resulted pendant edges during the process of obtaining $H_{n-1}$ from $G$ are the edges of each perfect matching in $\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}\right.$; $\left.u v\right)$, and so each perfect matching of $H_{n-1}$ can be extended uniquely to a perfect matching in $\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)$. Hence, there is a 1-1 correspondence between the set of perfect matchings of $H_{n-1}$ and the set of perfect matchings in $\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}\right.$; uv). Two perfect matchings of $H_{n-1}$ are adjacent in $R\left(H_{n-1}\right)$ if and only if the corresponding two perfect matchings in $\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)$ are adjacent in $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)\right\rangle$. Therefore, $R\left(H_{n-1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)\right\rangle$. Similarly, $R\left(H_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$.

Next, we show that $R\left(H_{n-1}\right)$ and $R\left(H_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic. Note that $G_{n-1}$ and $G_{n-1}^{\prime}$ have reducible face decompositions satisfying properties $(i)$ and (ii). By the constructions of $H_{n-1}$ and $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$, we can distinguish two cases based on whether $H_{n-1}$ and $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ are 2-connected or not.

Case 1. $H_{n-1}$ and $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ are 2-connected. Then by their constructions, $H_{n-1}$ and $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ have reducible face decompositions satisfying properties (i) and (ii). Then $R\left(H_{n-1}\right)$ and $R\left(H_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic by induction hypothesis.

Case 2. Each of $H_{n-1}$ and $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ has more than one 2-connected component. Note that any 2-connected component of $H_{n-1}$ and $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ is a 2-connected outerplane bipartite graph. This implies that any bridge of $H_{n-1}$ (resp., $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ ) cannot belong to any perfect matching of $H_{n-1}$ (resp., $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ ). Hence, any perfect matching of $H_{n-1}$ (resp., $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ ) is the perfect matching of the union of its 2 -connected components. It follows that $R\left(H_{n-1}\right)$ (resp., $R\left(H_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ ) is the Cartesian product of resonance graphs of its 2-connected components. By the constructions of $H_{n-1}$ and $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$, there is a 1-1 correspondence between the set of 2-connected components of $H_{n-1}$ and the set of 2-connected components of $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ such that each 2-connected component of $H_{n-1}$ and its corresponding 2-connected component of $H_{n-1}^{\prime}$ have reducible face decompositions satisfying properties (i) and (ii). Then $R\left(H_{n-1}\right)$ and $R\left(H_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic by induction hypothesis.

We have shown that $R\left(H_{n-1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)\right\rangle$, and $R\left(H_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$. Therefore, $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1} ; u v\right)\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mathcal{M}\left(G_{n-1}^{\prime} ; u^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ are isomorphic. It follows that $R(G)$ and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic.

Example. Let $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ be 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs shown in Fig. 3. It is easy to check that these two graphs satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.4. So, they have isomorphic resonance graphs $R(G)$ and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Finally, we can formulate the main result using local structures of given graphs. Let $e$ and $f$ be two edges of a graph $G$. Let $d_{L(G)}(e, f)$ denote the distance between corresponding vertices in the line graph $L(G)$ of $G$. The following concepts introduced in [4] will be also needed for that purpose.

Definition 3.5 ([4]). Let $G$ be a 2-connected outerplane bipartite graph and $s, s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}$ be three inner faces of $G$. Then the triple ( $s, s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}$ ) is called an adjacent triple of inner faces if $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ have the common edge $e$ and $s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}$ have the common edge $f$. The adjacent triple of inner faces $\left(s, s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is regular if the distance $d_{L(G)}(e, f)$ is an even number, and irregular otherwise.

It is easy to see that 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ have reducible face decompositions satisfying properties (i) and (ii) if and only if there exists an isomorphism between the inner dual of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ that preserves the (ir)regularity of adjacent triples of inner faces. Therefore, the next result follows directly from Theorem 3.4.

Corollary 3.6. Let $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ be two 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs with inner duals $T$ and $T^{\prime}$, respectively. Then $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ have isomorphic resonance graphs if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\alpha: V(T) \rightarrow V\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ such that for any 3-path $x y z$ of $T$ : the adjacent triple ( $x, y, z$ ) of inner faces of $G$ is regular if and only if the adjacent triple $(\alpha(x), \alpha(y), \alpha(z)$ ) of inner faces of $G^{\prime}$ is regular.

## 4. Remarks

One referee pointed out that our main results are closely related to Theorem 5.4 in [18]. The following terminologies used in [18] are needed to explain their relations.

Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a finite poset with a partial order $\leq$. An order ideal $\mathbf{I}$ of $\mathbf{P}$ is a subset of $\mathbf{P}$ such that for every $x \in \mathbf{I}, y \leq x$ implies $y \in \mathbf{I}$. The set $J(\mathbf{P})$ of order ideals of $\mathbf{P}$, ordered by the set-inclusion, forms a poset $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{P})$. It is well known that $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{P})$ is a distributive lattice, see [1].

Assume that $G$ is a 2-connected outerplane bipartite graph whose vertices are properly colored black and white such that adjacent vertices receive different colors and $G^{\#}$ is the inner dual graph of $G$. Let $e^{*}$ be the edge of $G^{\#}$ corresponding to the edge $e$ of $G$, and $f^{*}$ be the vertex of $G^{\#}$ corresponding to the inner face $f$ of $G$. An orientation $\vec{G}^{\#}$ of the inner dual graph $G^{\#}$ can be given such that an edge $e^{*}$ is oriented as an arc from $f_{2}^{*}$ to $f_{1}^{*}$ whenever the following condition holds true: if one goes along $e^{*}$ from $f_{2}^{*}$ to $f_{1}^{*}$, the white end-vertex of $e$ is located on the right side. A partial order $\leqslant$ can be defined on the set $\mathcal{F}(G)$ of all inner faces of $G$ such that for $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathcal{F}(G), f_{1} \leq f_{2}$ if $\vec{G}^{\#}$ contains a directed path from $f_{2}^{*}$ to $f_{1}^{*}$. It follows that $\mathbf{F}(G):=(\mathcal{F}(G), \leq)$ is a poset since $\vec{G}^{\#}$ does not contain directed cycles. Let $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{F}(G))$ be the distributive lattice on the set of order ideals of the poset $\mathbf{F}(G)$. Then Theorem 5.4 in [18] can be stated as follows.

Theorem 4.1 ([18]). Let $G$ be a 2-connected outerplane bipartite graph. Assume that $\mathbf{M}(G)$ is the distributive lattice on the set of perfect matchings of $G$ and $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{F}(G))$ is the distributive lattice on the set of order ideals of the poset $\mathbf{F}(G)$. Then $\mathbf{M}(G)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{F}(G))$.

Our main results and Theorem 5.4 in [18] can be related by the following corollary.
Corollary 4.2. Let $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ be 2-connected outerplane bipartite graphs. Then $R(G)$ and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ can be properly two colored so that $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{F}(G))$ and $\mathbf{J}\left(\mathbf{F}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right)$ are isomorphic.

Proof. By Corollary 3.3, $R(G)$ and $R\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ can be properly two colored so that $\vec{R}(G)$ and $\vec{R}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic. Note that $\vec{R}(G)$ and $\vec{R}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if $\mathbf{M}(G)$ and $\mathbf{M}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic since the Hasse diagram of $\mathbf{M}(G)$ is isomorphic to $\vec{R}(G)$, and the Hasse diagram of $\mathbf{M}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\vec{R}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. The conclusion follows by Theorem 4.1.

We conclude the paper with the following open problem.
Problem 2. Characterize plane (elementary) bipartite graphs with isomorphic resonance graphs.
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