Print ISSN 0554-5587 On line eISSN 2406-1123 UDK 631 (059)

# ПОЉОПРИВРЕДНА ТЕХНИКА

### AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING

НАУЧНИ ЧАСОПИС SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL



УНИВЕРЗИТЕТ У БЕОГРА, ПОЉО РИВРЕДНИ ФАКУЛТЕТ, ИНСТИТУТ ЭЛДОГЬ ПРИР ЕДНУ ТЕХНИКУ
UNIVERSITY O BELGRAPE, FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE, INSTITUT OF AGRICULTURE ENGINEERING



Година L, Број 3., 2025. Year L, No.3., 2025.

#### **Editor in Chief**

Professor dr Mićo V. Oljača, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Republic of Serbia Assistant of Editor in Chief

Professor dr Aleksandra Dimitrijević, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Republic of Serbia **Tehnical Editor** 

Associate professor Kosta Gligorević, PhD., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Republic of Serbia

#### National Editors

Professor Rajko Miodragović, Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Republic of Serbia Associate professor Olivera Ećim-Đurić, Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Republic of Serbia Associate professor Milan Dražić, Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Republic of Serbia Professor Vladimir Pavlović, Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Republic of Serbia Vesna Pajić, Ph.D., Bioinformatic Team Leader, SevenBridges, Belgrade, Republic of Serbia Associate professor Nataša Milosavljević, Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Republic of Serbia Assistant Mihajlo Milanović, Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Republic of Serbia Professor Ivan Zlatanović, Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Republic Professor Dragan Marković Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Republic of Serbia Professor Dragan Marković Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Republic of Serbia Professor Dragan Marković Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Republic of Serbia Professor Dragan Marković Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Republic of Serbia Professor Dragan Marković Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Republic of Serbia Professor Dragan Marković Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Republic of Serbia Professor Dragan Marković Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Republic of Serbia Professor Dragan Marković Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Republic of Serbia Professor Dragan Marković Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Republic of Serbia Professor Dragan Marković Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Republic Orden Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Republic Orden Ph.D., University of

#### International Editors

Professor László Magó, Ph.D., Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sci., Inst , R.Hungary Techn 3y, Ge Professor Zoran Dimitrovski, Ph.D., University Goce Delčev, Faculty of Mehanical En Stip, N. Aace Jonia Professor Velibor Spalević, Ph.D. Departman of Georaphy, University of Contenegro od Ca, M tenegro Professor Pietro Picuno, Ph.D., SAFE School, University della Basilicata, P. a, Ital Professor Igor Kovačev, Ph.D., University of Zagreb, Faculty of Agriculture ublic or Professor Ladislav Nozdrovický, Ph.D., Faculty of Engineering, Slovak University f Agriculture, Nitra, Slovakia logy, k blicof Slovenia Professor Rajko Bernik, PhD., University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Biol Profesor Kuznetsov Yury Alekseevich, PhD, Orel State Agrarian U versity, 2019 K 302019 Russian Federation Profesor Rodimtsev Sergey Aleksandrovich, PhD, Orel State Agent un University Vasileios Firfiris, Ph.D, Senior researcher, Aristotle University of essaloniki, essaloniki, Greece

### Editorial Council

Prof. dr Milan Tošić, Prof. dr Marija Todovic, rrof. dr aičević, Prof. dr Đukan Vukić,

Prof. dr Duro Ercegović, Prof. dr Franc / si, Prof. dr Seva Božić, Prof. dr Mirko Urošević,

Prof. dr Dušan Radivojević, Prof. dr Laz N. Ružičić, of. dr Enika Gregorić,

Prof. dr Ratko Nikolić, Prof. dr Radivoje pić, Prof. Milan Veljić,

Prof. dr Miloš Tešić, Prof. dr aue Zarić

Univerzitet u Beogradu
Poljoprivredni fakultet
Institut za poljoprivrednu tehniku
Naučni časopis
POLJOPRIVREDNA TEHNIKA

Godina L Broj 3, 2025. Strane: 14 – 26



University of Belgrade
Faculty of Agriculture
Institute of Agricultural Engineering
Scientific Journal
AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING

Year L No. 3, 2025. pp. 14 – 26

Original Scientific paper
Originalni cini rad
DOI: 10.5937/POLJTEH25 14G

## ADVANCED PHOTONIC TECHNOLOGIES IN PRECION AND DIGITAL AGRICULTURE

### Blaž Germšek\*1

'Agriculture Institute of Slovenia, Ljubljana, blic venia

Abstract: Photon-based technologies, grounded in the completed approaction of light energy, are becoming a key component of modern and provision agriculture. This article examines three complementary approaches laser-based targets a weed control, UV-C light for managing fungal diseases, and last duced breadown spectroscopy (LIBS) for soil analysis. Each of these technologies on the high special and spectral selectivity, automation potential, and the ability reduce aliant on chemical agents and conventional laboratory diagnostic. We ment the scientific foundations of each approach, current applications, agree omic meet and the nical challenges, and analyze their potential integration into broad a podigite systems, pecial emphasis is placed on the role of photonics in the soil on mard enforcing a foundation for further research and practical implement on of these phologies across diverse crop production models.

Key word photonics, lase sed weed control, UV-C radiation, LIBS, soil analysis, recision ag ulture, agricultural digitalization, agro-optical technologies

### INTRODUCTION

Or the past two decades, agriculture has been increasingly oriented toward datadri and technologically enhanced systems grounded in the principles of sustainability, efficiency, and precision [8]. This transformation commonly referred to as precision agriculture, or smart farming encompasses a wide range of technologies aimed at optimizing agronomic decision-making based on spatial, temporal, and biological data.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author. Email address. blaz.germsek@kis.si ORCID number: 0009-0004-3832-1632

One of the fastest-growing yet still underintegrated segments of this paradigm is photonics the science and technology of using light to detect, process, and interact directly with biological systems. In agricultural contexts, photonics refers to the application of optical approaches including laser, LED [7], UV, and spectroscopic technologies for monitoring plants, soil, and the environment, or for conducting precise interventions without the use of chemical agents. As an interdisciplinary field, it merges the physics of light, sensor technology, plant biology, and agronomic practice. Photonics offers high attal and spectral selectivity, rapid responsiveness, and automation capabilities, positioning at the forefront of developing environmentally responsible technologies for crop production. This paper explores three key areas of advanced photonic technology approaching agriculture:

- Laser-based targeted weed control, which provides a precise and environmentally friendly alternative to herbicides. Through the integration artificial intelligence, and high-energy laser beams, this monod er des selective ablation of weeds without mechanical soil disturbance or conago of the altivated crop.
- The use of ultraviolet-C (UV-C) radiation for funga. d. se support in plants as a substitute for conventional fungicides. UV-C light, with velengths between 200 and 280 nm, damages the nucleic acids of proogens of inhibition porulation, leaving no chemical residues in plant tissues or the avironment.
- Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (L. S) as an innovative method for soil analysis. LIBS enables rapid, my pent, the quantification of macroand micronutrients in soils, surporting a cision to dization and sustainable fertility management.

All three technologies re mon c racteristics: they enable contactless, selective, and often auto ated operation do not require chemical inputs; and they are suitable for integrati with existing digital platforms such as robots, unmanned aerial rthermore, hese technologies are increasingly paired with vehicles, and sensors. nd shine vion, allowing for complex real-time detection and artificial intellig response. The six a growing buy of literature demonstrating the efficacy of these photonic app aches. For cample, laser systems developed by companies like Carbon Robotics [3] we achiev up to 95% weed control efficacy in field trials without ptoxic effect. Night me UV-C treatments have reduced powdery mildew incidence by more man 80% without negatively impacting photosynthetic efficiency. modern LIBS platforms have shown potential to replace classical c mi , analyses with accuracy comparable to reference methods such as ICP-OES. De le their promise, these technologies face several challenges: high initial equipment

the need for precise calibration and standardization protocols, and limited practioner familiarity with their operation in agricultural practice. It is therefore essential that equipment development be paralleled by studies on the physiological, developmental, and resistance-related impacts of light-based interventions under diverse climatic and agro-ecological conditions.

The aim of this article is to present the underlying scientific mechanisms, technological implementation, and application potential of selected photonic approaches, while critically evaluating their utility and commercial prospects across various crop production models from high-tech greenhouses to extensive field systems. The article concludes with a discussion on synergies between photonic technologies and other components of precision agriculture, and outlines directions for future research and knowledge transfer to practice.

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### **Laser-Based Targeted Weed Control**

Mechanism of Action and Scientific Basis

Laser-based weed control is founded on the focused application of a hyperergy, coherent electromagnetic beam that selectively damages the apical of w inducing localized thermal ablation. The thermal effect leads to ratein daturation and rupture of cell membranes, resulting in irreversible necessis of vetaring to this process is the precise targeting of high energy sity as to reasons with elevated mitotic activity, which prevents regeneration and add to cell death. The most commonly used sources are high-energy pulsed in . In diode tasers, typically operating at powers between 5 and 150 W and with rpectra around 980 nm. With pulse durations ranging from microseco ds to n. 'seconds, local temperature increases exceeding 2000 °C can be achieve. fficient to porize cellular contents or even induce plasma phenomena. Within the W. ASER pr ect [14], successful results were recorded, achieving up to 98% norta. or ergy doses below 10 J/cm<sup>2</sup>. Target identification is conducted v multis tral im. analysis [9], supported by deep learning algorithms (e.g., convol one leurs networ CNNs), which enable the as based in morphological and spectral features. distinction between crop plants and High-resolution actuators (10 to 10 for m) then direct the laser beam precisely to the identified target This approach arrows for selective ablation even at early phenological stages of v d develope nt (cotyledon-2 leaf stage).

### Practical App' and is

In commercia settings, laser systems are primarily employed in high-value horticultural crops, where hemical course rol is either restricted or prohibited. The LaserWeeder<sup>TM</sup> extem [3] is used on a architecture comprising several dozen synchronized laser and a managing module, enabling the simultaneous treatment of ousand. The project per hour. Empirical data from Braga Fresh farms in California [3] is licate 10% and control in weed removal costs and over 95% weed control efficacy. In the pe, the Welaser project [14] is developing a fully autonomous tracked robotic

In the pe, the WeLASER project [14] is developing a fully autonomous tracked robotic plant mequipped with spectroscopy-enhanced laser modules for precise intra-row weed many ement. Field trials on crops such as maize, sugar beet, and triticale demonstrate the potental to replace herbicides with high selectivity and minimal soil disturbance.

### Companies Developing Solutions

In addition to Carbon Robotics, several European companies are advancing laser weed control technologies. For example, Futonics Laser (Germany) is developing laser sources with high photothermal efficiency.

Extensive validations are underway within public-private partnerships involving leading research institutions such as Wageningen University & Research and INRAE. The prevailing model consists of modular systems that can be mounted on conventional tractors or integrated into standalone robotic units.

### Advantages and Limitations Compared to Conventional Methods Advantages

- Agrochemical-neutral approach: Complete elimination of herbicide use, ich is particularly beneficial for organic farming systems.
- Sub-millimeter precision: Minimizes the risk of off-target damage to cultive ed crop
- High level of automation: Compatible with autonomous systems for unattended operation.
- Preservation of soil biodiversity and physical integrity: Main's structure and protects the soil microbiome (edaphon).
- Limitations and Constraints
- Capital-intensive initial investment: High equipments and need for dvanced technical training.
- Limited throughput per hectare: Current systems are op. 'zed for horticultural or specialty crops.
- Operational complexity: Sensitive to dust, h midity, a thermal stability of system components.
- Optical safety requirements: Implementation six common with Class 4 laser safety standards (IEC 60825-1).

### Commercial Viability and Fut. Or Jok

Laser technologies are currently more commercially established in the United States, particularly in the segment of intensive and production. Return on investment (ROI) estimates for areas exceining 80 heaters suggest payback periods of between 1 and 3 years. In Europe, implet notation renains in its early stages, with several pilot projects underway and the even pent of ental-based business models (e.g., Robot-as-a-Service), which could lower the prior barrier for medium-sized and specialized farms. In conclusion, laser weed blation represents a high-tech alternative to chemical and mechanical word control ethods, demonstrating strong potential for integration into trainability-on ted coecosystems that demand precision, selectivity, and non-massiveness.

### A dication of Ultraviolet-C (UV-C) Radiation

techanism of Action and Scientific Basis

Ultra Net-C (UV-C) light, within the spectral range of 200–280 nm and with peak germic dal efficacy around 254 nm, exerts its effect through photochemical damage to nucleic acids (DNA and RNA), resulting in inhibited cell division and apoptotic death of microorganisms. UV-C photons induce the formation of cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPDs) and 6-4 photoproducts [14, 7], which disrupt transcription and replication processes in pathogenic microbes.

Pathogenic fungi such as powdery mildews (*Erysiphales*) and downy mildews (*Peronosporaceae*) possess some capacity for photoreactivation via photolyase enzymes, whose activity is triggered by exposure to blue light (400–500 nm). Consequently, a key insight in the modern application of UV-C in crop protection is the strategy of irradiating plants in the absence of light at night or before sunrise to avoid activation of DNA repair mechanisms in pathogens. The optimal UV-C dose is determined by balancing the minimum lethal dose for pathogens (typically 40–120 J/m²) against the subvototoxic threshold for cultivated plants. Exceeding this threshold can lead to oxidativotress, photobleaching, or necrotic lesions in leaf tissue. In practical terms, fluence (I/n) is systematically defined based on the spectral intensity of the UV source (W/r), and a exposure duration (s), in conjunction with a mobile lighting platform position above the plant canopy.

### **Practical Applications**

The most advanced implementation of UV-C technology in ricult is ased on N Koboti autonomous robotic platforms (e.g., Thorvald by Saga photics, , which perform nocturnal irradiation of crop rows using mountee v-pres. merculamps or UV-C LED panels. Field trials in vineyards [10], particular, n Charagrapevines, demonstrated over 90% reduction in powdery mildewincidence with weekly treatments. Similarly, trials on Fragaria × ananassa (stray erries, howel . UV-C exposure significantly reduced the incidence of Botrytia Inerea and owdery mildew [4], while maintaining yields comparable to conventional egicide programs. In addition to fungal pathogens, UV-C radiation also affects certain arth, pods. For instance, exposure of mites and thrips to sublethal UV-C doses can read region or inhibition of development. This combined pathogen and v tor sy ression c ct grants UV-C technology considerable practical value.

### Leading Industry Applications

Among the leading technology developers in the field of UV-based plant protection is TRIC Robotics (USA), eich offers octurnal UV-C treatment services for strawberry fields using autor and its restriction of the plat ams specifically designed to reduce fungicide use. Saga Robotic (Norway) conscializes the modular Thorvald robotic platform, equipped with integrated V-C light modules for systematic vineyard treatment against powdery milder and other ingal pathogens. CleanLight [4] manufactures both stationary mobile UV-constement and under the platform across various horticultural sectors.

tewort comparing innovators is Antobot (UK), which is developing compact a new our robotic carriers for UV-C technology, and the French company UV Boosting, which introduces a spectral-temporal modulation approach ("priming") using low-intensity UV-B pulses to activate systemic plant immunity. Both companies represent forward-looking, non-chemical plant protection strategies based on photonic technologies.

### **Advantages and Limitations Compared to Conventional Methods Advantages**

• No chemical residues: UV-C leaves no residues, enabling production without preharvest intervals.

- No selective pressure: Pathogens do not develop resistance to the physical mode of action.
- Multifunctionality: Simultaneous effects on fungi, bacteria, and certain insect pests.
- Compatibility with organic farming and integrated pest management (IPM).
- High level of automation: Enables autonomous nighttime operation, reducing labor requirements.

### Limitations and Constraints

- Lack of systemic effect: Acts only upon contact and requires repeated approactions.
- Limited penetration: UV-C does not penetrate deep into the canopy; e ectiveness depends on plant architecture.
- Phototoxicity risk: Overexposure may cause plant damage necessible aching.
- Operational logistics: Requires nighttime operation onergy stands and addrence to safety protocols.

### Commercial Viability and Future Potential

UV-C technologies are currently most widely adopted high-in, sity fruit and vegetable production systems with high market value. Business most such a UV-as-a-Service" (e.g., TRIC, Saga) and proprietary equipment at tems (e.g., leanLight) provide diverse entry points for farms of varying sizes. The application of UV D is particularly promising within the context of European policies sized at a ticide duction (e.g., the "Farm to Fork" strategy) and the development of mean resiling agroecosystems. Rooted in the principles of photobiology and photolegy only toolegy in the agroecosystems agroecosystems when effectively integrated with digital agro-platforms is emerging as a decomponent of the future paradigm of low-input, sustaining, and data-driving crop production.

### Laser-Induced Br kdown Sp troscopy (LIBS)

Mechanism of Action and Scient c Basis

Laser-Induced sreakdown Specioscopy (LIBS) is a high-resolution spectroanalytical technique in  $\gamma$  ich a nano, ond or picosecond pulse from a high-energy laser (typically Nd:YAG,  $\lambda = 64$  nm) is occused onto the surface of a solid sample (e.g., dried and occalized ablation of the material and the generation of plasma ithm.

is plas 4, to temperatures exceeding 10,000 K, emits spectrally distinct lines constructed in the elemental composition of the sample. Through spectroscopic detailor using a CCD-based system coupled with an echelle spectrometer, it is possible to statistically detect a broad range of elements across the spectrum (200–900 nm), including light elements such as carbon (C) and nitrogen (N), which are not detectable using conventional methods such as X-ray fluorescence (XRF).

A distinctive advantage of LIBS is its capacity for quasi-real-time analysis with minimal sample preparation simple drying and granulometric homogenization are sufficient. Averaging thousands of laser pulses per sample reduces variability and allows for reliable quantitative interpretation.

Using multivariate regression models (e.g., Partial Least Squares Regression PLSR, Principal Component Regression PCR) or machine learning approaches (e.g., support vector machines, convolutional neural networks), LIBS spectral data can be transformed into predictive models [2, 6] for agronomic parameters such as concentrations of macronutrients (K, Mg, Ca, P), micronutrients (Zn, Cu, Fe), total organic carbon (TOC), and soil texture. One of the main challenges is the so-called matrix effect the influence of the soil's physical and chemical properties on the intensity of emission line which is addressed through complex calibration using a representative set of reference sales.

### **Practical Applications**

Over the past decade, numerous validation studies have confirmed a strong correlation between LIBS-based predictions and standard laboratory methods such as CP-OES, Kjeldahl, and Walkley-Black. For example, the portable Z-300 spe-(SciAps, USA) [11] achieved an R<sup>2</sup> > 0.88 when estimating total of anic can in (Too, in soil samples from the United States and Canada, demonstrating the utily for ssessing soil quality and organic fertilization needs. The most walvadop active is red into llets a lanalyzed LaserAg (LogiAg, Canada) [8], where samples are comwith 3,000 laser pulses in just a few seconds. The system so orts roussine asurement of nutrients, pH, particle size distribution, and organization, matter. Immercial laboratories such as Eurofins in Canada and Omnia in Soy, Africare alreads using LaserAg in operational settings. With an analysis time of 1 han five to utes per sample, the system enables high laboratory throughput and rapid adback to sers. In research contexts, efforts are underway to integrate LIBS sensors in. Feld pl. corms (e.g., tractors, robots) to enable in-situ soil analysis durir meta eratta nese sensors are expected to generate high-resolution spatial may of so utrient level (more than one sample per 10 m<sup>2</sup>), which could significantly enhance the accuracy of varalle-rate fertilization.

### Companies Developing Strations

Among the leading industrial player in LIBS technology for agronomic applications is the Canadian company L. viAg, whice developed the comprehensive LaserAg laboratory system. This inclusions the cantum pectroscopic unit, integrated multivariate analytics software, and ta interfaces to the platforms. LaserAg is designed as a high-throughput solution for a timercial lagratories, enabling automated acquisition and interpretation of spectral data ungestion the graph of laser pulses per sample. The U.S.-based company SciAps aloned the problem BS unit Z-300, designed for rapid in-field diagnostics. The levice coarts assessment of parameters such as total organic carbon (TOC) and tentially the coarts assessment of parameters such as total organic carbon (TOC) and tentially the coarts assessment of parameters such as total organic carbon (TOC) and tentially the coarts assessment of parameters such as total organic carbon (TOC) and tentially the coarts assessment of parameters such as total organic carbon (TOC) and tentially the coarts assessment of parameters such as total organic carbon (TOC) and tentially the coarts assessment of parameters such as total organic carbon (TOC) and tentially the coarts are considered to the coarts are considered to the coarts are coarts as total organic carbon (TOC) and tentially the coarts are coarts as total organic carbon (TOC) and tentially the coarts are coarts as total organic carbon (TOC) and tentially the coarts are coarts are coarts as total organic carbon (TOC).

Let us robustness and speed, the Z-300 is increasingly used in pilot projects focused on accision fertilization. Another notable company is Applied Spectra, which develops high assolution laboratory LIBS systems with combined analysis capabilities (e.g., LA-ICP-17) for complex, multipurpose research.

Their instruments are employed in environmental, agroecological, and soil science studies requiring high sensitivity and analytical resolution. On the research and development front, numerous institutions are actively contributing to the field, including the Institute of Soil Science at Zhejiang University (China), the University of São Paulo (Brazil), and Cornell University [5].

Their work supports the standardization of LIBS protocols, development of algorithms to correct for matrix effects, and integration of spectroscopic data with advanced artificial intelligence methods. Promising approaches include the development of portable units integrated with autonomous platforms for real-time soil mapping.

### **Advantages and Limitations Compared to Conventional Methods Advantages**

- Rapid analysis: Measurement time is less than 5 minutes per sample.
- Multi-element detection: Simultaneous quantification of macro- and microincluding light elements.
- No chemicals or waste: Environm. friendly laboratory process without retents.
- Minimal sample preparation: Eliminates time-consuming preparation steps
- Field applicability: Potential for decentralized, in-field diar astics and potential units.

### Limitations and Constraints

- Calibration dependency: Requires region-specific mode, we to many effects.
- Limited sensitivity: Not comparable to ICP-Marce heart al detection.
- Interpretability of results: Often provides t al eleme. I concentrations rather than extractable fractions.
- High equipment costs: Initial investment exc \( \frac{1}{5} \) €50 \( \text{0} \) for portable systems and \( \frac{2}{2}00,000 \) for laboratory-grade s \( \text{ups.} \)

### Commercial Viability and Future P

LIBS technology representation component in the transition toward data-driven precision agriculture. It capability for the procession agriculture is aligns well with the ongoing contalization of agroecosystems. Provided that instrumentation become more wide accessible, analytical precision improves, and standardized means ment procession, ISO accreditation) are adopted, LIBS is likely to become an energy tegral part of bour aboratory-based and field-based agronomic diagnostics over the next secade. The its to its compatibility with geographic information systems (GIS), artificiant elligency algorithms, and autonomous platforms, LIBS is positioned as a prising solution of agriculture.

### mpar on consting Photonic Technologies in Precision and Digital Agriculture

1 or ide a comprehensive overview of the comparative advantages and limitations of the iscussed photonic technologies within the context of precision and sustainable agrid ture, Table 1 presents a synthesized comparison.

It sys natically outlines key technical parameters, agronomic performance capabilities, and the commercial maturity level of each technology. Additionally, information on leading technology providers and their application strategies is included to facilitate a better understanding of the relationship between innovative light-based approaches and conventional methods for crop protection and soil analytics.

Technology	Leading Providers	Key Advantages	Key Limitations	Current Status and Accessibility
Laser- based weed control	Carbon Robotics (USA) LaserWeeder™. WeLASER (EU project) autonomous laser prototype for field crops. Startups and ongoing research (Germany, Netherlands)	Herbicide-free (suitable for organic farming). High precision; selectively targets weeds among crops. Reduces manual labor-Preserves soil structure. Avoids erosion.	High equipment cost. Slower coverage on large fields (currently suited for vegetables)-Technically demanding maintenance- Less effective on mature weeds	Commercially available for specialized crops (vegetables, horticu. 2). Primarily lin the U.S. EU p jects in prote pe stage. Early lopters control 20% resign costs.
UV-C light for disease control	TRIC Robotics (USA).  UV-C robots for strawberries (as-aservice).  Saga Robotics (Norway/New Zealand).  Thorvald UV robot for vineyards.  CleanLight (Netherlands).  Antobot +  CleanLight (	Fungicide-free (no resistance development). Broad spectrum efficacy (fungi and some pest) No preharvest interval, suitable for offarting. A smati all the ghttime oper on	Frequent treative (e.g. 'ly). Only effect at trequire robot latforn. Limited ht penetrati (less effective dense from the initial equip at cost (robot of system)	Alread n practic use:  in ads, berries (e.g., strawberries), and greenhouses. Commercially available as a service (TRIC) or equipment (CleanLight). Rapid adoption in high-value niche markets
LIBS soil	giAg Laser. ( ada). Scir. (USA)	Rapid multi- element analysis. Reagent-free (no chemical waste). High repeatability (less error in preparation). Portable field diagnostics possible	Requires region- specific calibrations (due to matrix effects). Limited sensitivity for trace elements. Results often reflect total rather than extractable content. High equipment cost.	Used in select laboratories. Handheld analyzers available only for experts, not yet routine but advancing rapidly broader adoption expected with growth of precision agriculture.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A comprehensive review of current scientific and technical literature reveals that photonic technologies particularly UV-C illumination, laser-based weed control, and laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) constitute a technological foundation for the transition toward more precise, environmentally compatible, and data-driven agriculture. The reviewed studies consistently demonstrate that UV-C light fectively reduces fungal disease incidence across various horticultural crops (e.g., Botryti, nerea in strawberries and grapes), with application efficacy strongly dependent on a intensity and temporal exposure dynamics. Systematic reviews also emphasize that UV treatments significantly reduce fungicide use, especially in organic product in systems. For laser-based weed control, the literature confirms it as a highly precedent method, particularly effective for selectively targeting weeds during early demonstrated men. The technology shows strong potential for organic farming context where mica ...... control is not permitted, though it requires precise optoelectronic ant trusting and high-energy laser sources. Data from multiple reviews and remaining control is not permitted, though it requires precise optoelectronic ant trusting and high-energy laser sources. Data from multiple reviews and remaining control is not permitted, though it requires precise optoelectronic ant trusting and high-energy laser sources. Data from multiple reviews and remaining control is not permitted, though it requires precise optoelectronic ant trusting and high-energy laser sources. that LIBS provides a multi-element, rapid, and reagent- metho or determing soil chemical composition. The strong correlation between 3S outpared and standard chemical analyses (e.g., ICP-OES, TOC) demonstrates the mond's value, particularly for precision fertilization and monitoring of soil esoure. Key a rtages also include compatibility with artificial intelligence algorithms and the optential for integration into nparative nthesis, each technology autonomous field platforms. Based on the demonstrates specific utility within distinct segme of agricultural practice:

- UV-C is optimal for intensive f a and getab. Soluction systems.
- LIBS is most applicable in at the farting and sus the agronomy, where rapid, spatially resolved soil data are small.
- Laser-based weed controls en ing i organic farming systems as a high-tech alternative to herbit des.

The literature highly only several challenges and limitations, including the energy demands of her systems, and the calibration models in LIBS, and regulatory consideration surrounding UV-C as a phytosanitary measure. A crucial factor for real-world implementation is her education and the availability of technical know-how, morting authors to recommend the development of dedicated training programs. In once the reviewed photonic technologies form a synergistic ensemble that not only implementating methods but also enables a transformation of agriculture toward genter precision, reduced environmental impact, and enhanced responsiveness to agree ological dynamics. Continued research, validation across diverse agroclimatic regular, and the standardization of measurement protocols will be key to their long-term adop. n.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

This study provides a comprehensive examination of advanced photonic technologies and their current and potential applications within precision and digital agriculture.

By analyzing three core technologies laser-based targeted weed control, ultraviolet-C (UV-C) radiation for plant disease suppression, and laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) for soil analysis the research outlines both the scientific mechanisms underpinning these approaches and their agronomic relevance. Findings from peer-reviewed studies and field validations confirm that photonic systems offer substantial advantages in terms of spatial selectivity, chemical-free operation, and automation readiness. UV-C light has demonstrated consistent efficacy in suppression fungal pathogens across various high-value horticultural crops, with significant reductions in fungicide usage, particularly in organic systems. Laser weeding has proven to be a controlled usage, particularly suited to organic horticulture.

LIBS, on the other hand, enables rapid, multi-element, and reagent-free soil pri. les of providing actionable insights for nutrient management and supporting site-specific fertilization. Despite their promise, each technologies as liated specific operational and technical challenges: laser systems a capit into sive and require optoelectronic precision, UV-C treatments not be tind avoid athogen photorepair mechanisms, and LIBS depends on comple libratic models mitigate matrix effects. Furthermore, regulatory, economic, and owledge \_sfer barriers remain significant factors influencing adoption rates (7) Never less, these technologies collectively form a synergistic toolkit that aligns an great susta aty goals, offering pathways to reduce chemical inputs, enhance resource efficiency, and improve resilience in agroecosystems. Their integral, into digi agricultural frameworks alongside artificial intelligence, GIS systems, and stonome a platforms marks a pivotal step toward next-generation farming

The continued development at deplotment of the photonic solutions will require targeted research, robust validating ones a oclimation zones, standardization of protocols, and dedicated training for the such, photonics holds the potential not only to augment but to fix tamentally the fined by ecological constraints and technological possibilities.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Adam, Vlasák, J Gerhards, R., Christensen, S., Rueda-Ayala, V. 2021. Laser-based weed co. 1: State the art and perspectives. Frontiers in Agronomy, 3: 657812.
- Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy for soil analysis. Trends in A. Chemistry, vol. 97, pp. 419–434.
- 3] .com. . .cics. 2023. LaserWeeder Technology Overview.
- Available: https://carbonrobotics.com [Accessed: 03. 06. 2025].
- CleanLight. 2024. UV Disinfection in Agriculture Product and Case Studies. Available: https://cleanlight.nl [Accessed: 03. 06. 2025].
- [5] Cornell University AgriTech. 2020. UV-C Light for Plant Disease Control: A Practical Guide. Geneva, NY.
- [6] Galiová, M., Kaiser, J., Kanický, V. 2010. Analytical performance of laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy for the determination of trace elements in soils and sediments. Spectrochimica Acta Part B, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 202–209.

- [7] Germšek, B. 2015. Umetna osvetlitev v vrtnarstvu = Artificial lighting in horticulture. In: ČEH, B. (ed.) et al. Novi izzivi v agronomiji 2015: zbornik simpozija, Laško, 29. in 30. januar 2015 = New challenges in agronomy 2015: proceedings of symposium, Laško, 2015. Ljubljana: Slovensko agronomsko društvo, pp. 294–300, illustrated. ISBN 978-961-90884-8-7. [COBISS.SI-ID 4641128]
- [8] Germšek, B. (interviewee).2015. Pametne značke kmetu sporočijo, katero njivo naj škropi: na njivah merijo vlago, osvetljenost in temperaturo. Finance, 16 Feb. 2015, No. 32, p. 10. ISSN 1318-1548. [COBISS.SI-ID 4651880]
- [9] Huber, L., Horneber, M. 2020. Alternative crop protection using UV-C. Fation: Effectiveness and application. Journal of Plant Protection Research, Vol. 60, No. 4 pp. 361–368.
- [10] LogiAg. 2024. LaserAg Quantum High-Throughput Soil Analysis Platfo Canada. Available: https://logiag.com/laserag/ [Accessed: 03. 06. 2025].
- [11] Naeem, M., Hussain, S., Liu, C., Mahmud, M.S., Ma, J. 2023. Emerging weed in agement technologies using artificial intelligence and robotics. Computers and agriculture, Vol. 206, 107646.
- [12] Saga Robotics. 2023. Thorvald Robot for Disease Control Usin V-C .ght. Available: https://sagarobotics.com [Accessed: 03. 2005].
- [13] SciAps Inc. 2023. Z-300 Handheld LIBS Analyzer A ultural lication Available: https://sciaps.com [Accessed: 03. 06. 2025].
- [14] Tang, J., Huang, Q., Yu, K., Yang, Z., He, Y. 2022 Laser-ind. I breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) for rapid soil nutrient assessment. Soil there ciety control of Journal, Vol. 86, No.1, pp. 56–67.
- [15] WeLASER Project Consortium. 2022. V ASER Co dinated European Project on Laser-Based Weed Management. EU Horiz 2020 Pro t. Available: https://welaser-project.eu [Accessed: 03. 06. 2025]
- [16] Zhang, L., Huang, J., Wang, D. 40, J., Y. 26 Application of ultraviolet-C light in crop protection: Mechanisms and field ectionness. Cultural Sciences, Vol. 11, No. 7, pp. 657–668.

### NAF ÆDNE FO Æ TEHNOLOGIJE U PRE ZNOJ I P GITALNOJ POLJOPRIVREDI

### až Germšek<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>A iculture In tute of Slovenija, Ljubljana, Republic of Slovenia

nerg., staju kljuena komponenta moderne digitalne i precizne poljoprivrede.

raj člar zvije tri komplementarna pristupa: ciljano suzbijanje korova zasnovano na las zma, UV-C svetlost za suzbijanje gljivičnih bolesti i laserski indukovana sp. oskopija razgradnje -LIBS, za analizu zemljišta.

Sva od ovih tehnologija (metoda) nudi visoku prostornu i spektralnu selektivnost, poter jal automatizacije i mogućnost smanjenja oslanjanja na hemijske agense i konvencionalnu laboratorijsku dijagnostiku.

Predstavljene su naučne osnove svakog pristupa, trenutne primene, agronomski efekti i tehnički izazovi, i analiza njihove potencijalne integracije u šire agro-digitalne sisteme. Poseban naglasak je stavljen na ulogu fotonike u prelasku ka ekološki odgovornoj, niskoinvesticionoj i podacima vođenoj proizvodnji hrane.

Ovaj doprinos pruža osnovu za dalja istraživanja i praktičnu primenu ovih tehnologija u različitim modelima proizvodnje useva.

**Ključne reči**: Fotonika, suzbijanje korova pomoću lasera, UV-C zračenje, LIBS, analiza zemljišta, precizna poljoprivreda, digitalizacija poljoprivrede, agrooptičke tehnologije.

Prijavljen:

Submitted: 10.06.2025.

Ispravljen:

Revised: 20.07.2025.

