

A checklist of isopods (Crustacea: Isopoda) in Slovenia

Miloš VITTORI¹, Borut MAVRIČ², Anja PEKOLJ¹, Marijan GOVEDIČ³, Maja ZAGMAJSTER¹

¹University of Ljubljana, Biotechnical Faculty, Department of Biology, Večna pot 111, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia; E-mails: milos.vittori@bf.uni-lj.si, anja.pekolj@bf.uni-lj.si, maja.zagmajster@bf.uni-lj.si

²Marine Biological Station, National Institute of Biology, Fornace 41, SI-6339 Piran, Slovenia; E-mail: borut.mavric@nib.si

³Center za kartografijo favne in flore, pisarna Ljubljana, Tacenska 20, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia; E-mail: marijan.govedic@ckff.si

Abstract. Isopods are a diverse peracarid crustacean group with marine, freshwater and terrestrial representatives. Isopod species lists were typically prepared according to different habitats, which was also the case for Slovenia. Here, we prepared the first unified overview of isopod species of Slovenia, which is also the first list of marine isopods in the country. We examined scientific publications, research reports and institutional databases. A total of 125 species have been recorded in Slovenia, of which 30 are marine, 21 are found in inland waters, and 74 are terrestrial. Of these, we report seven marine and one terrestrial species for the first time. A major part of freshwater isopod richness is linked to the subterranean environment, where most endemic species for the country can be found. Among marine species, many are parasites, with potential economic impact, and two species are considered introduced. When the new species list was compared to the valid national Red List of endangered species from 2002 and the Decree on Protected Wild Animal Species from 2004, only 41 species retained the same taxonomic status. Taking into consideration the recent taxonomic insights, many synonyms and invalid taxa call for a revision of the national Red List of Malacostraca and other nature protection acts.

Key words: Peracarida, marine, freshwater, terrestrial, subterranean, fauna

Izvleček. Pregled vrst rakov enakonožcev (Crustacea: Isopoda) Slovenije – Enakonožci so pestra skupina rakov valilničarjev z morskimi, sladkovodnimi in kopenskimi predstavniki. Sezname vrst enakonožcev običajno predstavljajo posamezne habitate, kar drži tudi za Slovenke. V tem prispevku smo pripravili prvi skupni pregled vrst enakonožcev v Sloveniji, ki je tudi prvi pregled morskih vrst enakonožcev v državi. Nekateri, zlasti morski paraziti imajo lahko tudi negativen ekonomski učinek. Pregledali smo predhodno zbrane podatke o pojavljanju enakonožcev na ozemlju Slovenije v znanstvenih in strokovnih prispevkih, poročilih raziskav ter podatkovnih bazah sodelujočih ustanov. Skupno je bilo v Sloveniji zaznanih 125 vrst, od tega 30 morskih, 21 v celinskih vodah in 74 kopenskih. V tem prispevku prvič poročamo o pojavljanju sedmih morskih in ene kopenske vrste. Velik delež vrstnega bogastva enakonožcev je vezan na podzemlje, kjer lahko najdemo tudi večino slovenskih endemitov v celinskih vodah in na kopnem. Med morskimi vrstami je mnogo parazitov, ki imajo lahko ekonomski vpliv, ter dve tujerodni vrsti. Če prenovljeni seznam vrst primerjamo z veljavnim Rdečim seznamom ter Uredbo o zavarovanih prosto živečih živalskih vrstah, zgolj 41 vrst ohrani enake taksonomske statuse. Številni sinonimi in neveljavni taksoni glede na trenutno taksonomijo skupine kažejo na potrebo po reviziji nacionalnega Rdečega seznama višjih rakov ter drugih varstvenih aktov.

Ključne besede: Peracarida, morski, celinske vode, kopenski, podzemni, favna



Introduction

Isopods are a diverse order of peracarid crustaceans, with over 10,000 described species worldwide (Boyko et al. 2008). They inhabit almost all marine, freshwater, and terrestrial environments, from the deep sea to deserts (Sfenthourakis & Taiti 2015). Most species of the order are marine, while among non-marine ones, the suborder Oniscidea represents more than three quarters of known species (Boyko et al. 2008). This suborder is one of the few crustacean groups successful in terrestrial habitats, being an order of magnitude richer in terrestrial species than terrestrial decapods or amphipods (Broly et al. 2013).

Isopods are important decomposers of plant matter both in aquatic (Wilson 2008) and terrestrial environments (David 2014; Sfenthourakis & Taiti 2015); on land, they may contribute greatly to soil formation (Zimmer 2002; David 2014). Numerous marine isopods live in close association with other benthic organisms and many are parasites, often on fish (Poore & Bruce 2012). Parasitic and wood-boring marine isopods can have a considerable negative economic impact (Borges et al. 2014; Čolak et al. 2018). Isopods are also a very successful arthropod group in subterranean freshwater and terrestrial environments (Coineau & Boutin 2004; Hobbs 2012; Sfenthourakis & Hornung 2018).

As decomposers that tend to accumulate metals in their tissues, isopods are important experimental organisms in ecotoxicology, particularly in studies dealing with metal and nanoparticle toxicity. Terrestrial species are particularly well studied in this respect (van Gestel et al. 2018), but ecotoxicological studies have also been performed on aquatic species (Lukančič et al. 2010; Plahuta et al. 2017). This has been one of the most flourishing research topics concerning this group in the past few decades (Vittori & Dominko 2022). Isopods are also important in basic research, particularly in developmental biology, studies of microbe-host interactions and, as their representatives span various degrees of adaptation to terrestrial life, the study of the transition from water to land (Hornung 2011; Vittori & Dominko 2022). Isopods, particularly representatives of the genus *Asellus*, are also some of the best studied subterranean invertebrates and serve as models for studying adaptations to the subterranean environment (Konec et al. 2015; Re et al. 2018; Balázs et al. 2021).

Due to the success of isopods in the sea, in inland waters, and on land, studies of their diversity are generally in the domains of four categories of biologists: marine zoologists, limnologists, speleobiologists, and researchers dealing with the edaphic fauna. As a result, it is challenging to bring lists of species records together. Additionally, many isopods inhabit different subterranean habitats, aquatic as well as terrestrial. Caves harbour isopods that are amphibious or secondarily aquatic, particularly among Oniscidea (Sfenthourakis & Taiti 2015).

In Slovenia, overviews of species occurrence were made separately according to different habitats. For terrestrial species, Karaman (1966) and Potočnik (1989) assembled checklists for the former state of Yugoslavia in the Western Balkans, with species listed separately for each federal republic (now countries), including the territory of Slovenia. Potočnik published several works on the terrestrial isopods of Slovenia (Potočnik 1979, 1980, 1981, 1984, 1992; Potočnik & Novak 1980). As for aquatic isopods, a checklist with descriptions of known distributions was published in the overview of all aquatic crustaceans for the former state of

Yugoslavia (Sket 1967). The Asellidae, in particular, are well studied, with integrated occurrence, habitat, and molecular data now available in the World Asellidae Database, including data from Slovenia (Saclier et al. 2024). Only a few publications are available on the occurrence of marine isopods in Slovenia (Sket 2008; Tratar 2010). A checklist of crustaceans occurring in the Gulf of Trieste was compiled more than a century ago by Graeffe (1902), with many of the species likely occurring also in the Slovenian part of the Gulf.

The aim of this contribution is to provide an updated checklist of isopods in Slovenia that considers taxonomic revisions and data acquired after the 1980s. It represents a reference point regarding the present state of knowledge and can form the basis for planning much needed systematic studies of the isopod fauna in marine, freshwater, and terrestrial environments. An overview of species was needed also to evaluate the current species richness of isopods, identify newly introduced species and provide a reference for a revision of protective legislation.

Materials and methods

Data were mostly collected from published species checklists that either focus on or include isopods and other references that report on the occurrence of isopods in Slovenia. The information from such literature sources that refer to subterranean taxa and/or subterranean habitats were extracted from the database SubBioDB (a taxonomic distributional database, established and managed by the Subterranean Biology Laboratory (SubBioLab) at the Department of Biology, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana). The overview of marine taxa was based mostly on records from specimen collections of the Marine Biology Station Piran (MBP), which is a part of the National Institute of Biology in Slovenia. Finally, data were supplemented with those from the database of the Center of Cartography of Fauna and Flora in Slovenia.

In addition to reviewing existing literature, we also checked the extensive terrestrial isopod collection in the Slovenian Museum of Natural History in Ljubljana (SMNH), deposited there by Franc Potočnik. In the collection, we focused on species with a single record to confirm their identifications and presence in the country. We were able to successfully identify previously reported and deposited material of *Philoscia muscorum*, *Porcellio marginalis* (Potočnik 1984), and *Oniscus asellus* (Potočnik 1980). We also consulted publicly accessible databases, the World Register of Marine Species (WoRMS Editorial Board 2023), the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF 2023), and the Pan-European Species Directories Infrastructure (PESI 2023).

As subspecies were often not reported and their statuses are in need of reassessment, the list is limited to species and the current statuses of subspecies are only discussed regarding protective legislation. The presence of each species is referenced by listing a single published reference, which in most cases represents the most recent publication that includes the species. The previous checklists we refer to should be used to identify primary sources of the records. For species previously not included in checklists, we provide the source publications reporting on their occurrence. In the case of unpublished records, we provide detailed localities where the species in question were recorded.

Even though we acknowledge that some isopods cannot be placed in a single habitat category, we add information on the preferred habitat (terrestrial, freshwater, or marine), and mark obligate subterranean species separately as well as information on whether a species is introduced, endemic, or parasitic. We also point out species that were scientifically described from the territory of Slovenia.

In a special section, we list the species that have been reported in the literature, but there is reasonable doubt that they occur in Slovenia.

Changing taxonomy and improved knowledge results in changes in taxonomic statuses and new species descriptions. Considering the time lag since the publication of the last checklists of isopods and since the acceptance of the national Red List of Malacostraca (Ur. l. RS 2002), taxonomic and status changes could be expected. We prepared an overview of the current national Red List and an overview of changed statuses due to changes in taxonomy. Statuses of subspecies included in the Red List were checked in the World Register of Marine Species (WoRMS Editorial Board 2023). While we discuss the current taxonomic validity of the species in these lists, this is to spur the revision of legislation documents and not to determine or change the protection statuses of recorded species.

Results

Overview of the species checklist

A total of 125 species of isopods, belonging to seven suborders, 31 families and 62 different genera, can be confirmed for Slovenia (Tab. 1). For eight species, the presence in the country had not been published before, hence we provide the first data on their occurrence in Tab. 2. Eight species that have been reported for the country in past publications cannot reliably be considered as part of the Slovenian fauna. We comment on this in Tab. 3.

Table 1. An overview of isopod species confirmed to be present in Slovenia, listed in alphabetical order and according to suborders and families. A published reference for their occurrence in the country is provided, while detailed locality data on species reported for the first time are given in Tab. 2. The main habitat of each species is given in a separate column. The addition of the letter T marks obligate subterranean (or troglolobiotic) species. Species marked with asterisks were scientifically described from Slovenia. Endemic, introduced, and ectoparasitic species are marked in the Remarks column.

Tabela 1. Pregled vrst enakonožcev, potrjenih v Sloveniji. Razporejeni so v abecednem vrstnem redu po podredovih in družinah. Dodane so objavljene reference pojavljajočih se vrst, natančni podatki o lokalitetah vrst, o katerih poročamo prvič, pa so podane v tabeli 2. Glavni habitat vsake vrste je podan v ločenem stolpcu. Črka T označuje obligatno podzemeljske (troglolobotske) vrste. Vrste, ki so označene z zvezdicami, so bile opisane iz Slovenije. Endemične, tujerodne in ektoparazitske vrste so označene v predzadnjem stolpcu.

Higher taxon	Species	Habitat	Remarks	Reference	
Asellota					
Asellidae	<i>Asellus aquaticus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	freshwater		Sket 1967	
	<i>Asellus kosswigi</i> Verovnik, Prevorčnik & Jugovic 2009	freshwater - T		Konec et al. 2015	
	<i>Proasellus coxalis</i> (Dollfus, 1892)	freshwater		Sket 1967	
	<i>Proasellus deminutus</i> (Sket, 1959)*	freshwater - T	endemic	Sket 1972	
	<i>Proasellus intermedius</i> (Sket, 1965)	freshwater - T		Sket 1967	
	<i>Proasellus istrianus</i> (Stammer, 1932)	freshwater		Sket 1967	
	<i>Proasellus orientalis</i> Sket, 1965*	freshwater - T	endemic	Sket 1971	
	<i>Proasellus parvulus</i> (Sket, 1960)*	freshwater - T	endemic	Sket 1967	
	<i>Proasellus slavus</i> (Remy, 1948)	freshwater - T		Sket 1972	
	<i>Proasellus slovenicus</i> (Sket, 1957)*	freshwater - T	endemic	Sket 1967	
	<i>Proasellus vulgaris</i> (Sket, 1965)*	freshwater - T	endemic	Sket 1967	
	Janiridae	<i>Jaera nordmanni</i> (Rathke, 1836)	marine		Tratar 2010
		<i>Janira maculosa</i> Leach, 1814	marine		new (MBP)
Munnidae	<i>Uromunna petiti</i> (Amar, 1948)	marine		Tratar 2010	
Stenasellidae	<i>Balkanostenasellus skopljensis</i> (Karaman, 1937)	freshwater - T		Sket & Velkovrh 1981	
Cymothoida					
Anthuridae	<i>Anthura gracilis</i> (Montagu, 1808)	marine		Sket 2003	
	<i>Cyathura carinata</i> (Krøyer, 1847)	marine		Vrišer 2003	
Cirolanidae	<i>Natatolana borealis</i> (Lilljeborg, 1851)	marine	ectoparasite	Vrišer 2003	
	<i>Sphaeromides virei</i> (Brian, 1923)	freshwater - T		Sket 1964	
Cymothoidae	<i>Anilocra physodes</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	marine	ectoparasite	Vrišer 2003	
	<i>Ceratothoa parallela</i> (Otto, 1828)	marine		Sket 2003	
	<i>Nerocila bivittata</i> (Risso, 1816)	marine		Sket 2003	
Expanathuridae	<i>Eisothistos macrurus</i> Wägele, 1979	marine		Lipej et al. 2016	
Gnathiidae	<i>Gnathia dentata</i> (G. O. Sars, 1872)	marine	ectoparasite	Tratar 2010	
	<i>Gnathia oxyuraea</i> (Lilljeborg, 1855)	marine	ectoparasite	new (MBP)	
	<i>Gnathia vorax</i> (Lucas, 1849)	marine	ectoparasite	Tratar 2010	
Paranthuridae	<i>Paranthuria japonica</i> Richardson, 1909	marine	introduced	Ragkousis et al. 2020	
Epicaridea					
Bopyridae	<i>Bopyrus squillarum</i> Latreille, 1804	marine	ectoparasite	Vrišer 2003	
Limnoriidea					
Limnoriidae	<i>Limnoria tripunctata</i> Menzies, 1951	marine		Sket 2003	
Oniscidea					
Agnaridae	<i>Orthometopon dalmatinum</i> (Verhoeff, 1901)	terrestrial		Vilicis & Lapanje 2005	

Higher taxon	Species	Habitat	Remarks	Reference
	<i>Orthometopon planum</i> (Budde-Lund, 1885)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1990
	<i>Protracheoniscus hermagorensis</i> Verhoeff, 1927	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Protracheoniscus politus</i> (C. Koch, 1841)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
Armadillidae	<i>Armadillo officinalis</i> Duméril, 1816	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
Armadillidiidae	<i>Armadillidium opacum</i> (C. Koch, 1841)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Armadillidium carniolense</i> Verhoeff, 1901	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Armadillidium klugii</i> Brandt, 1833	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Armadillidium nasatum</i> Budde-Lund, 1885	terrestrial		Vilisics & Lapanje 2005
	<i>Armadillidium pallasii</i> Brandt, 1833	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Armadillidium scaberrimum</i> Stein, 1859	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Armadillidium versicolor</i> Stein, 1859	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Armadillidium vulgare</i> (Latreille, 1804)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
Cylistidae	<i>Cylisticus convexus</i> (De Geer, 1778)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
Detonidae	<i>Armadilloniscus ellipticus</i> (Harger, 1878)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
Halophilosciidae	<i>Halophiloscia couchii</i> (Kinahan, 1858)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Halophiloscia hirsuta</i> Verhoeff, 1928	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
Ligiidae	<i>Ligia italica</i> Fabricius, 1798	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Ligidium germanicum</i> Verhoeff, 1901	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Ligidium hypnorum</i> (Cuvier, 1792)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
Mesoniscidae	<i>Mesoniscus graniger</i> (Fridvaldszky, 1865)	terrestrial		Potočnik & Novak 1980
Oniscidae	<i>Oniscus asellus</i> Linnaeus, 1758	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Oroniscus calcivagus</i> Verhoeff, 1908 *	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
Philosciidae	<i>Chaetophiloscia cellaria</i> (Dollfus, 1884)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Chaetophiloscia elongata</i> (Dollfus, 1884)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Chaetophiloscia hastata</i> Verhoeff, 1929	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Chaetophiloscia splitensis</i> Verhoeff, 1930	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Lepidoniscus minutus</i> (C. Koch, 1838)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Philoscia affinis</i> Verhoeff, 1908	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Philoscia muscorum</i> (Scopoli, 1763) *	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
Platyarthridae	<i>Platyarthrus hoffmannseggii</i> Brandt, 1833	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
Porcellionidae	<i>Porcellio dilatatus</i> Brandt, 1831	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Porcellio laevis</i> Latreille, 1804	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Porcellio longicornis</i> Stein, 1859	terrestrial		Potočnik 1990
	<i>Porcellio marginalis</i> Budde-Lund, 1885	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Porcellio scaber</i> Latreille, 1804	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989

Higher taxon	Species	Habitat	Remarks	Reference
	<i>Porcellio spinicornis</i> Say 1818	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Porcellionides pruinosus</i> (Brandt, 1833)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
Trachelipodidae	<i>Porcellium conspersum</i> (C. Koch, 1841)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1981
	<i>Porcellium fumanum</i> (Verhoeff, 1901)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Trachelipus arcuatus</i> (Budde-Lund, 1885)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Trachelipus camerani</i> (Tua, 1900)	terrestrial		Vilisics & Lapanje 2005
	<i>Trachelipus nodulosus</i> (C. Koch, 1838)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Trachelipus rathkii</i> (Brandt, 1833)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Trachelipus ratzeburgii</i> (Brandt, 1833)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Trachelipus razzautilii</i> (Arcangeli, 1913)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Trachelipus vespertilio</i> (Budde-Lund, 1896)	terrestrial		Vittori 2022
Trichoniscidae	<i>Alpioniscus strasseri</i> (Verhoeff, 1927)	terrestrial - T		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Androniscus degener</i> Brian, 1927	terrestrial - T		Potočnik & Novak 1981
	<i>Androniscus dentiger</i> Verhoeff, 1908	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Androniscus roseus</i> (C. Koch, 1838)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Androniscus stygius</i> (Nemec, 1897)	terrestrial - T		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Androniscus subterraneus</i> (Carl, 1906)	terrestrial - T		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Buddelundiella cataractae</i> Verhoeff, 1930	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Calconiscellus gottscheensis</i> (Verhoeff, 1927)*	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Calconiscellus karawankianus</i> (Verhoeff, 1908)*	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Haplophthalmus abbreviatus</i> Verhoeff, 1928*	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Haplophthalmus danicus</i> Budde-Lund, 1880	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Haplophthalmus fiumaranus</i> Verhoeff, 1908	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Haplophthalmus mengii</i> (Zaddach, 1844)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Haplophthalmus rhinoceros</i> Verhoeff, 1930	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Hyloniscus adonis</i> Verhoeff, 1927 *	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Hyloniscus riparius</i> (C. Koch, 1838)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Hyloniscus vividus</i> (C. Koch, 1841)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Moserius percol</i> Strouhal, 1940 *	terrestrial - T		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Tachyoniscus austriacus</i> (Verhoeff, 1908)	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989

Higher taxon	Species	Habitat	Remarks	Reference
	<i>Thaumatiscellus speluncae</i> Karaman, Bedek & Horvatović, 2009	terrestrial - T		Polak et al. 2012
	<i>Titanethes albus</i> (C. Koch, 1841) *	terrestrial - T		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Trichoniscus carnolicus</i> Strouhal, 1939 *	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Trichoniscus illyricus</i> Verhoeff, 1931	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Trichoniscus matulici</i> Verhoeff, 1901	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
	<i>Trichoniscus provisorius</i> Racovitza, 1908	terrestrial		new
	<i>Trichoniscus stammeri</i> Verhoeff, 1932 *	terrestrial - T	endemic	Potočnik 1989
Tylidae	<i>Tylos europaeus</i> Arcangeli, 1938	terrestrial		Potočnik 1989
Sphaeromatidea				
Sphaeromatidae	<i>Campecopea hirsuta</i> (Montagu, 1804)	marine		new (MBP)
	<i>Cymodoce truncata</i> Leach, 1814	marine		Vrišer 2003
	<i>Dynamene bicolor</i> (Rathke, 1836)	marine		Tratar 2010
	<i>Dynamene edwardsi</i> (Lucas, 1849)	marine		Tratar 2010
	<i>Lekanesphaera hookeri</i> (Leach, 1814)	marine		Sket 1967
	<i>Monolistra bericum</i> (Fabiani, 1901)	freshwater - T		Sket 1967
	<i>Monolistra bolei</i> (Sket, 1960) *	freshwater - T	endemic	Sket 1967
	<i>Monolistra calopyge</i> Sket, 1982 *	freshwater - T	endemic	Sket 1982
	<i>Monolistra caeca</i> Gerstaecker, 1856 *	freshwater - T		Sket 1967
	<i>Monolistra racovitzae</i> Strouhal, 1928 *	freshwater - T		Sket 1967
	<i>Monolistra spinosa</i> (Racovitza, 1929) *	freshwater - T	endemic	Sket 1967
	<i>Monolistra spinosissima</i> (Racovitza, 1929) *	freshwater - T	endemic	Sket 1967
	<i>Monolistra velkovrhi</i> Sket, 1960 *	freshwater - T		Sket 1967
	<i>Paracerceis sculpta</i> (Holmes, 1904)	marine	introduced	Ferrario et al. 2018
	<i>Sphaeroma serratum</i> (J. C. Fabricius, 1787)	marine		Vittori 2021
Valvifera				
Arcturidae	<i>Astacilla longicornis</i> (Sowerby, 1806)	marine		new (MBP)
	<i>Astacilla dilatata</i> G. O. Sars, 1883	marine		Sket 2003
Holognathidae	<i>Cleantis prismatica</i> (Risso, 1826)	marine		new (MBP)
Idoteidae	<i>Idotea balthica</i> (Pallas, 1772)	marine		Vrišer 2003
	<i>Stenosoma appendiculatum</i> (Risso, 1826)	marine		new (MBP)
	<i>Stenosoma lancifer</i> (Miers, 1881)	marine		new (MBP)
	<i>Synischia hectica</i> (Pallas, 1772)	marine		Lipej et al. 2013

The highest proportion of species, 74 (nearly 60%), are terrestrial (suborder Oniscidea), with the greatest richness found in the family Trichoniscidae with 26 species (Fig. 1). It is only in this family that terrestrial obligate subterranean species can be found (eight species). Other terrestrial families with more than five species are Armadillidiidae, Philosciidae, Porcellionidae and Trachelipodidae. There is only one terrestrial species endemic to Slovenia: *Trichoniscus stammeri* (Tab. 1 and Fig. 2). While it is difficult to be certain which of the currently cosmopolitan species present in Slovenia are native, no demonstrably introduced terrestrial species have been recorded so far.




Figure 1. Proportions of freshwater, marine and terrestrial isopods in Slovenia (inner level) and proportions of different families in each of these categories (outer level). Families represented by a single species are grouped under »Other«.

Slika 1. Razmerja enakonožcev celinskih vod ter morskih in kopenskih enakonožcev v Sloveniji (notranji nivo) ter razmerja med družinami v vsaki kategoriji (zunanj nivo). Zaradi preglednosti družine, zastopane z zgolj eno vrsto, niso prikazane ločeno.




Figure 2. Proportions of freshwater, marine and terrestrial isopods (inner level) and corresponding proportions of parasitic species for marine isopods and troglobiotic species for the remaining two categories (second level). The proportion of endemic species is presented separately (outer level). There are no known endemic marine species.

Slika 2. Razmerja enakonožcev celinskih vod, morskih in kopenskih enakonožcev (notranji nivo) ter pripadajoči deleži parazitov pri morskih enakonožcih in troglobiontov pri ostalih dveh kategorijah (drugi nivo). Delež endemitov je podan ločeno (zunanji nivo). Morski endemiti niso znani.

The second group richest in species are marine isopods, with 30 species (approximately 25% of all species). Sphaeromatidae are the most diverse family with eight species, followed by Gnathiidae and Idoteidae (Fig. 1). The list contains two non-indigenous species: *Paracerceis sculpta* and *Paranthura japonica*. Both can be considered as established non-indigenous species in the Slovenian Sea. Eight species can be categorised as parasites (Fig. 2), predominantly with fish hosts. An exception is *Bopyrus squillarum*, which is hosted by *Palaemon* decapods.

The freshwater harbours a total of 21 species (about 15% of all), of which only three are not considered to be bound to subterranean habitats (Tab. 1 and Fig. 2). The most representatives in freshwater belong to Asellidae, followed by Sphaeromatidae (Fig. 1). The most species-rich genera in both families, *Proasellus* and *Monolistra*, respectively, are represented with nine species each. Many species in these genera are endemic to Slovenia. Specimens from the genus *Microcharon* were reported but were not identified to species level in the publication that lists this record (Brancelj et al. 2016). As a result, we only list the genus at this point.

There are eight marine and one terrestrial species for which no data have been published (Tab. 1). In Table 2 we provide information on records that confirm the presence of these species in Slovenia. New marine species for the Slovenian fauna were collected during sampling procedures of the marine benthic communities, conducted during various projects of the Marine Biological Station from 2008 onward. The new terrestrial species was recorded in synanthropic habitats, but it is widespread in Europe and has been reported from the Balkans before (Schmalfuss 2003). It is therefore difficult to assess whether it has been introduced or not.

Table 2. Details of records confirming the presence of eight marine and one terrestrial isopod species in Slovenia. The abbreviation MBP refers to Marine Biological Station of the National Institute of Biology.

Tabela 2. Podrobnosti novih najdb, ki potrjujejo pojavljanje osmih morskih in enega kopenskega enakonožca v Sloveniji. Kratica MBP označuje Morsko biološko postajo Nacionalnega inštituta za biologijo.

Family	Species	Locality	Lat, Lon (WGS84)	Date	Leg./ Det.	Remarks
Arcturidae	<i>Astacilla longicornis</i>	Sea at Strunjan	45.535900, 13.601967	10.06.2008	MBP/B. Mavrič	
Sphaeromatidae	<i>Campecopea hirsuta</i>	Piran, sea under medieval wall	45.529000, 13.573067	10.06.2008	MBP/B. Mavrič	
		Sea at Cape of Piran	45.530567, 13.565100	18.06.2008	MBP/B. Mavrič	
		Sea near the coastal road between Koper and Izola	45.548150, 13.700650	26.06.2008	MBP/B. Mavrič	
		Sea at Debeli Rtič	45.592617, 13.714683	17.06.2008	MBP/B. Mavrič	
		Sea at the youth rehabilitation centre at Debeli Rtič	45.587583, 13.706983	17.06.2008	MBP/B. Mavrič	
		Laguna Bernardin	45.514833, 13.573117	12.06.2008	MBP/B. Mavrič	
		Port of Piran	45.526583, 13.566733	12.06.2008	MBP/B. Mavrič	
		Sea at Jadranka, Izola	45.539167, 13.670000	18.05.2009	MBP/B. Mavrič	
		Sea under the wall in front of Marina Portorož	45.504167, 13.594167	19.05.2009	MBP/B. Mavrič	
		Portorož beach	45.512778, 13.593611	19.05.2009	MBP/B. Mavrič	
Holognathidae	<i>Cleantis prismatica</i>	Sea soft bottom sample, Sv Nikolaj	45.574167, 13.740000	10.06.2009	MBP/B. Mavrič	

Family	Species	Locality	Lat, Lon (WGS84)	Date	Leg./ Det.	Remarks
		Sea soft bottom sample, Koper at the end of Semedelska road	45.543333, 13.720278	29.5.2009	MBP/B. Mavrič	
		Sea soft bottom sample, Strunjan, in front of Salina	45.526111, 13.601667	18.5.2009	MBP/B. Mavrič	
		Sea soft bottom sample, wall in front of Marina Portorož	45.504167, 13.594167	19.5.2009	MBP/B. Mavrič	
		Seagrass meadow in front of Strunjan	45.527594, 13.600892	21.5.2018	MBP/B. Mavrič	
Gnathiidae	<i>Gnathia oxyuraea</i>	Soft bottom taken with Van Veen grab, Bay of Sv. Jernej	45.594983, 13.709600	8.9.2021	MBP/B. Mavrič	National monitoring location VT2P2
Janiridae	<i>Janira maculosa</i>	Pacug	45.526186, 13.589369	10.8.2012	MBP/B. Mavrič	Within colonies of Mediterranean stony coral (<i>Cladocora caespitosa</i>) from the depth of 3–6 m
		Cape Strunjanček, Strunjan	45.537217, 13.601753	22.8.2012	MBP/B. Mavrič	Several individuals, within the colonies of Mediterranean stony coral (<i>Cladocora caespitosa</i>) from the depth of 3–6 m
Idoteidae	<i>Stenosoma appendiculatum</i>	Sample of muddy bottom taken with Van Veen grab at cape Ronek	45.542750, 13.624000	10.6.2019	MBP/B. Mavrič	National monitoring location VT4P12
	<i>Stenosoma lancifer</i>	Sea at Sv. Nikolaj 1	45.574167, 13.740000	10.6.2009	MBP/B. Mavrič	
		Sv. Nikolaj 2	45.574444, 13.737222	10.6.2009	MBP/B. Mavrič	
		Lazaret	45.590833, 13.719444	26.5.2009	MBP/B. Mavrič	
Trichoniscidae	<i>Trichoniscus provisorius</i>	Garden in Središče ob Dravi	46.395278, 16.266944	29.9.2019, 29.8.2021	M. Vittori/ M. Vittori	
		Fallen tree in front the Biotechnical Faculty main building, Ljubljana	46.048972, 14.475583	14.6.2023, 2.8.2023	U. Bogataj, K. Kunčič, M. Vittori/ M. Vittori	

There are eight species that were reported in some sources but cannot be considered as part of the Slovenian fauna due to different reasons (Tab. 3). They should be excluded from the list until a documented confirmation of their presence in the country is available.

Table 3. Excluded isopod species and species the presence of which cannot be currently confirmed and are therefore removed from the checklist of Slovenian isopod fauna.

Tabela 3. Izločene vrste enakonožcev in vrste, ki jih trenutno ne moremo potrditi, zato so izvzete iz seznama vrst enakonožcev Slovenije.

Family	Species	Source of record	Explanation
Asellidae	<i>Proasellus pavani</i> (Arcangeli, 1942)	PESI 2023	This species is mentioned in the PESI database. However, there are no other records, a primary source, or GBIF data that would support its occurrence in Slovenia.
Armadillidae	<i>Armadillidium dollfusi</i> Verhoeff, 1902	Potočnik 1979	According to Schmalzfuss (2003), the record of this species from Slovenia might be a misidentification. Its known distribution is in northwest Italy (Schmalzfuss 2003). We were able to locate one lot in SMNH that matches one of the published records of <i>A. dollfusi</i> in terms of year and locality (»Pred Planinsko jamo 1970«), but the <i>Armadillidium</i> specimens in this vial appear identical with <i>Armadillidium carniolense</i> . The presence of this species should be confirmed before considering it a part of Slovenian fauna.
	<i>Armadillidium granulatum</i> Brandt, 1833	PESI 2023	Mentioned in the PESI database, but not documented otherwise.
	<i>Armadillidium quinquepustulatum</i> Budde-Lund, 1885	Potočnik 1979	According to Schmalzfuss (2003), the record of the species from Slovenia is likely a misidentification. Its known distribution is in southeast France (Schmalzfuss 2003). This species was reported from a single locality (Potočnik 1979), but we were not able to find the corresponding material in SMNH at this point. Its presence should be confirmed.
Gnathiidae	<i>Paragnathia formica</i> (Hesse, 1864)	Lipej et al. 2013	The collected individuals of this species were in larval stages (pranizae) and their determination uncertain.
Idoteidae	<i>Stenosoma viridula</i>	Avčič et al. 1973; Lipej et al. 2013	This species is currently considered a synonym of <i>Synischia hectica</i> (Charfi-Cheikhrouha 2000) and is excluded from the list under this name.

Family	Species	Source of record	Explanation
Ligiidae	<i>Ligia oceanica</i> (Linnaeus, 1767)	Avčín et al. 1973	This species is found along the coasts of the North Atlantic and the Baltic Sea (Schmalfuss 2003), very far from Slovenia. The source of the record lists this species as being abundant in Strunjan Bay (Avčín et al. 1973), but the species that is indeed abundant is <i>Ligia italica</i> . <i>Ligia oceanica</i> has never been reported by other researchers in Slovenia, despite extensive work in the area (Potočnik 1984). It is therefore most likely that this report was a misidentification.
Porcellionidae	<i>Leptotrachus panzerii</i> (Audouin, 1826)	PESI 2023	Mentioned in the PESI database, but not documented otherwise.
Sphaeromatidae	<i>Dynamene bidentata</i> (Adams, 1800)	Sket 2003	The species <i>D. bidentata</i> , mentioned by Sket (2003), is not present in the Mediterranean Sea according to Vieira et al. (2016), hence the records should be regarded as <i>D. bicolor</i> .
Trichoniscidae	<i>Hyloniscus mariae</i> Verhoeff, 1908	PESI 2023	Mentioned in the PESI database, but not documented otherwise.
	<i>Titanethes dahli</i> Verhoeff, 1926	Polak et al. 2012	This species is currently considered as a form of <i>T. albus</i> and not a separate species (Karaman & Horvatić 2018).
	<i>Trichoniscus strasseri</i>	Schmalfuss 2003	This species was described from the Croatian island of Cres (Verhoeff 1938; Schmölzer 1965; Karaman 1966) and has not been recorded in Slovenia to our knowledge, nor has its presence in Slovenia been reported in the literature listed for this species in the World Catalogue (Schmalfuss 2003). The listing of Slovenia as its distribution range is likely an error.

Overview of isopod taxa in Slovenian legislation and comments on their validity

There are 72 different isopod taxa included in Slovenian nature protection legislation, although only 41 of the species bear the same name and the same protection status after considering taxonomic changes (Tab. 4).

Four species names have become synonymous with other valid names, where the taxonomic status can simply be transferred to the new name (*Armadillidium albanicum*, *Armadilloniscus litoralis*, *Trichoniscus turgidus*, *Tylos latreillei*; Tab. 4). One species of the genus *Monolistra* is no longer accepted as a species. As a result, its status is transferred to the valid subspecies (Tab. 4).

Table 4. An overview of isopod taxa listed in the Slovenian Red Data List (Ur. l. RS. 2002) and the Decree on Protected Wild Animal Species (Ur. l. RS. 2004). The column RL (Red List) marks the status of the species in the Slovenian Red Data list: E - endangered, V - vulnerable, R - rare, K - data deficient, I - not evaluated. The column Decree marks whether the species is listed in the Appendix 1A (species protected) or 2A (species' habitat protected) in the Decree on Protected Wild Animal Species.

Tabela 4. Pregled taksonov enakonožcev, naštetih v Rdečem seznamu višjih rakov (Ur. l. RS. 2002) in Uredbi o zavarovanih prosto živečih živalskih vrstah (Ur. l. RS. 2004). V stolpcu RL so označeni statusi vrst v Rdečem seznamu: E – ogrožena, V – ranljiva, R – redka, K - premalo znana, I – neopredeljena vrsta. Zadnji stolpec označuje, ali je vrsta našeta v Prilogi 1A (vrste, katerih živali so zavarovane) oz. Prilogi 2A (vrste, katerih habitat je varovan) Uredbe o zavarovanih prosto živečih živalskih vrstah.

Taxon	Valid taxon	Status	RL	Decree
<i>Androniscus dentiger croaticus</i>	Subspecies not valid	not transferred	R	
<i>Androniscus roseus buccarensis</i>	Subspecies not valid	not transferred	K	
<i>Androniscus roseus dolinensis</i>	Subspecies not valid	not transferred	R	
<i>Androniscus roseus hamuligerus</i>	<i>Androniscus roseus hamuligerus</i>	valid	R	
<i>Androniscus stygius cavernarum</i>	Subspecies not valid	not transferred	K	
<i>Androniscus stygius dentatus</i>	<i>Androniscus stygius dentatus</i>	valid	R	
<i>Androniscus stygius scabridus</i>	<i>Androniscus stygius scabridus</i>	valid	R	
<i>Androniscus stygius strasseri</i>	<i>Androniscus stygius strasseri</i>	valid	K	
<i>Androniscus stygius stygius</i>	<i>Androniscus stygius stygius</i>	valid	R	
<i>Androniscus subterraneus degener</i>	<i>Androniscus degener</i>	transferred to species	R	
<i>Androniscus subterraneus nodosus</i>	Subspecies not valid	not transferred	R	
<i>Armadillidium albanicum</i>	<i>Armadillidium klugii</i>	synonym; transferred to valid species	R	
<i>Armadillidium carniolense schoebli</i>	<i>Armadillidium carniolense</i>	transferred to species	R	
<i>Armadillidium dollfusi</i>	<i>Armadillidium dollfusi</i>	valid	K	
<i>Armadillidium opacum</i>	<i>Armadillidium opacum</i>	valid	K	
<i>Armadillidium pallasii</i>	<i>Armadillidium pallasii</i>	valid	K	
<i>Armadillidium scaberrimum</i>	<i>Armadillidium scaberrimum</i>	valid	K	
<i>Armadilloniscus litoralis</i>	<i>Armadilloniscus ellipticus</i>	synonym; transferred to valid species	E	
<i>Asellus aquaticus</i>	<i>Asellus aquaticus</i>	valid	V	
<i>Asellus aquaticus cavernicolus</i>	<i>Asellus aquaticus cavernicolus</i>	valid		2A
<i>Balkanostenasellus skopljensis</i>	<i>Balkanostenasellus skopljensis</i>	valid	R	2A
<i>Buddelundiella cataractae</i>	<i>Buddelundiella cataractae</i>	valid	R	
<i>Calconiscellus gottscheensis</i>	<i>Calconiscellus gottscheensis</i>	valid	K	
<i>Calconiscellus karawankianus</i>	<i>Calconiscellus karawankianus</i>	valid	K	
<i>Chaetophiloscia cellaria</i>	<i>Chaetophiloscia cellaria</i>	valid	E	
<i>Chaetophiloscia splitensis</i>	<i>Chaetophiloscia splitensis</i>	valid	E	
<i>Halophiloscia aristotelis</i>	<i>Halophiloscia couchii</i>	synonym; transferred to valid species	E	
<i>Halophiloscia couchii</i>	<i>Halophiloscia couchii</i>	valid	E	
<i>Halophiloscia hirsuta</i>	<i>Halophiloscia hirsuta</i>	valid	E	
<i>Haplophthalmus abbreviatus</i>	<i>Haplophthalmus abbreviatus</i>	valid	K	
<i>Haplophthalmus fiumaranus dolinensis</i>	<i>Haplophthalmus fiumaranus dolinensis</i>	valid	R	
<i>Haplophthalmus fiumaranus fiumaranus</i>	<i>Haplophthalmus fiumaranus fiumaranus</i>	valid	K	

Taxon	Valid taxon	Status	RL	Decree
<i>Haplophthalmus fiumaranus dolinensis, fiumaranus</i>	<i>Haplophthalmus fiumaranus</i>	transferred also to species	R, K	
<i>Haplophthalmus mengii</i>	<i>Haplophthalmus mengii</i>	valid	K	
<i>Haplophthalmus rhinoceros</i>	<i>Haplophthalmus rhinoceros</i>	valid	R	
<i>Hyloniscus vividus</i>	<i>Hyloniscus vividus</i>	valid	K	
<i>Lekanesphaera hookeri</i>	<i>Lekanesphaera hookeri</i>	valid	V	2A
<i>Lepidoniscus minutus carniolensis</i>	<i>Lepidoniscus minutus carniolensis</i>	valid	R	
<i>Lepidoniscus minutus carniolensis</i>	<i>Lepidoniscus minutus</i>	transferred also to species	R	
<i>Lepidoniscus minutus pannonicus</i>	Subspecies not accepted	not transferred	K	
<i>Monolistra bolei</i>	<i>Monolistra bolei</i>	valid	R	2A
<i>Monolistra brevispinosa</i>	<i>Monolistra bolei brevispinosa</i>	transferred to subspecies	R	2A
<i>Monolistra caeca</i>	<i>Monolistra caeca</i>	valid		2A
<i>Monolistra calopyge</i>	<i>Monolistra calopyge</i>	valid	R	2A
<i>Monolistra racovitzae</i>	<i>Monolistra racovitzae</i>	valid		2A
<i>Monolistra racovitzae conopyge</i>	<i>Monolistra racovitzae conopyge</i>	valid	R	
<i>Monolistra schottlaenderi</i>	<i>Monolistra schottlaenderi</i>	valid		2A
<i>Monolistra spinosa</i>	<i>Monolistra spinosa</i>	valid		2A
<i>Monolistra spinosissima</i>	<i>Monolistra spinosissima</i>	valid	R	2A
<i>Monolistra velkovrhi</i>	<i>Monolistra velkovrhi</i>	valid	V	2A
<i>Moserius percoi</i>	<i>Moserius percoi</i>	valid	R	
<i>Oroniscus calcivagus</i>	<i>Oroniscus calcivagus</i>	valid	R	
<i>Philoscia affinis</i>	<i>Philoscia affinis</i>	valid	K	
<i>Porcellio dilatatus</i>	<i>Porcellio dilatatus</i>	valid	K	
<i>Porcellio marginalis</i>	<i>Porcellio marginalis</i>	valid	R	
<i>Porcellium conspersum</i>	<i>Porcellium conspersum</i>	valid	R	
<i>Proasellus parvulus</i>	<i>Proasellus parvulus</i>	valid	R	
<i>Proasellus pavani orientalis</i>	<i>Proasellus orientalis</i>	transferred to species	R	
<i>Proasellus slavus histriae</i>	<i>Proasellus slavus histriae</i>	valid	R	
<i>Proasellus slavus variabilis</i>	nomen nudum	not transferred	K	
<i>Proasellus slovenicus</i>	<i>Proasellus slovenicus</i>	valid	R	
<i>Protracheoniscus hermagorensis</i>	<i>Protracheoniscus hermagorensis</i>	valid	K	
<i>Sphaeromides virei</i>	<i>Sphaeromides virei</i>	valid		2A
<i>Sphaeromides virei virei</i>	<i>Sphaeromides virei virei</i>	transferred to species	R	
<i>Trachelipus arcuatus</i>	<i>Trachelipus arcuatus</i>	valid	K	
<i>Trachelipus nodulosus</i>	<i>Trachelipus nodulosus</i>	valid	K	
<i>Trachelipus pseudoratzeburgi apenninorum</i>	Subspecies not accepted	not transferred	K	
<i>Trachelipus razzautii</i>	<i>Trachelipus razzautii</i>	valid	K	
<i>Trichoniscus carniolicus</i>	<i>Trichoniscus carniolicus</i>	valid	R	
<i>Trichoniscus stammeri</i>	<i>Trichoniscus stammeri</i>	valid	R	
<i>Trichoniscus turgidus</i>	<i>Trichoniscus matulici</i>	synonym; transferred to new species	I	
<i>Tylos latreillei</i>	<i>Tylos europaeus</i>	synonym; transferred to new species	E	

For three subspecies that are no longer considered valid, the protection status can be transferred to the species level (Tab. 4). This does not apply for seven other invalid subspecies where the species had more subspecies without protection statuses present in the country. The species therefore cannot assume the status determined for a single (invalid) subspecies without a re-evaluation of the whole species status. Interestingly, the national Red List also mentions a subspecies that is a *nomen nudum*. The subspecies was listed, with an explanation that it had not yet been described, in the publication that proposed Red List statuses for freshwater Malacostraca (Sket 1992). However, it was never formally described.

The new status of the currently valid species *Haplophthalmus fiumaranus* could be taken from both subspecies that had protection statuses determined, i.e. *H. f. dolinensis* and *H. f. fiumaranus*. In such cases, both statuses are being transferred. According to the Red List (Ur. l. RS 2002), the highest protection status would be favoured, in this case rare instead of data deficient species. But as a status re-evaluation for the new taxon could reveal that a more suitable status would be »vulnerable«, we consider it safer to suggest both statuses, while ultimately a re-evaluation can determine the most suitable status.

Discussion

The present work provides the first complete checklist of all isopods from different habitats in Slovenia, and a list of species that cannot be considered as part of Slovenian fauna even though listed in some literature sources. The number of terrestrial species has remained roughly the same as in the most recent checklist (Potočnik 1992), but some species have been removed (mostly synonyms) and others added: one that we report in this work and five reported in recent publications (Vilisics & Lapanje 2005; Polak et al. 2012; Vittori 2022). In freshwater, there is a greater increase in the number of species as compared to Sket (1967), as new species have been described and certain subspecies elevated to species status. The list for marine isopods is the first comprehensive overview of the group for the country.

The species richness of terrestrial isopods is greatest in the Mediterranean region of Europe and decreases northward (Hornung 2011). The richness of terrestrial isopods in Slovenia is high for its size, as it is comparable to larger countries in the Balkans (Sfenthourakis & Hornung 2018). This is very likely related to the geographic diversity within the country, which includes the coast with suitable habitats for littoral species, numerous caves with troglobionts, as well as diverse surface habitats for widespread species of the Balkans and Central Europe. We should also consider that the terrestrial isopod fauna in Slovenia has been well studied in the past (Karaman 1966; Potočnik 1989) which, however, does not apply for all countries in Southeast Europe.

Although terrestrial isopods generally have limited dispersal abilities (Hornung 2011), there is only one terrestrial species endemic to Slovenia (*T. stammeri*). A species until recently considered endemic for Slovenia is *Calconiscellus gottscheensis*. However, this species has also been found in caves in nearby regions of Croatia (Jana Bedek, personal communication). *Trichoniscus carniolicus*, another species that used to be considered endemic (Potočnik 1992), has also been reported in Austria (Strouhal 1968), while *Oroniscus calcivagus* has been recorded

in Italy according to GBIF data supported by a deposited museum specimen (GBIF 2023). *Moserius percoi* was first described from a single female collected in 1885 (Strouhal 1940) from a cave near the border between Slovenia and Italy. The species was later discovered also in Tuscany in Italy (Taiti & Ferrara 1995), at a large geographical distance from its type locality. An examination of further specimens, especially males, from Slovenia would be welcome, as it could offer additional confirmation that both known populations of *M. percoi* belong to the same species.

There are some terrestrial isopod species that are expanding their ranges in Europe, particularly in synanthropic environments. These are predominantly Mediterranean species that have become cosmopolitans due to human introduction (Szlavec et al. 2018). The ten most common woodlice in urban environments (Szlavec et al. 2018) have already been recorded in synanthropic habitats in Slovenia, although some of these species have only been reported on single occasions (*Porcellio dilatatus*, *Porcellio laevis*), which makes it difficult to assess how common and widespread they are and whether or not they are permanently present. None of these species can be considered introduced.

A note must be made regarding the records of *Trachelipus illyricus*. Although the World catalogue of terrestrial isopods (Schmalfuss 2003) considers *T. illyricus* a synonym of *Trachelipus camerani* and one of its subspecies, *T. illyricus lasiorum*, a synonym of *Trachelipus ratzeburgii*, Schmidt (1997) synonymised *T. illyricus* exclusively with *T. ratzeburgii* and not *T. camerani* in his revision of the genus. While this synonymization was based only on the examination of male pereopod 7 and pleopod 1, these characters should be sufficient to distinguish *T. ratzeburgii* from *T. camerani*. Based on these considerations, it is best to regard past records of *T. illyricus* as *T. ratzeburgii*.

The richness of freshwater species is remarkable considering the size of Slovenia. Most of this richness is found in subterranean waters, which are also home to the greatest number of Slovenian endemic isopods. Parallels with this can be found in the freshwater fauna of amphipods in Slovenia, the great majority of which inhabit groundwater (Fišer et al. 2021). However, even groundwater species with distributions reaching into neighbouring countries have small distribution ranges (Stoch 1989; Prevorčnik et al. 2010; Konec et al. 2016).

The recorded isopod richness in the Slovenian Sea is relatively high compared to regions of similar size elsewhere in the Adriatic (Zavodnik & Kovačič 2000; Zavodnik et al. 2006). Thirty recorded species in the Slovenian Sea represent almost 40% of all species listed for the Adriatic Sea (Castelló et al. 2020). Among new species for the country, the records of *Campecopea hirsuta* in samples from hard bottom upper mediolittoral taken at different locations along the Slovenian coast and identified on the basis of well-defined characters (Bruce & Holdich 2002) are, to our knowledge, the first records of this species for the Adriatic Sea.

Slovenian coastal waters are continuously monitored by the Marine Biology Station of the National Institute of Biology, generally providing good insight into the benthic fauna. Nevertheless, dedicated publications dealing with isopods are scarce. Several specimens await determination to species level, such as those from the genus *Eurydice* (Pitacco et al. 2013) and *Arcturus* (Vrišer 2003). An additional problem with marine isopod taxonomy is that species descriptions, especially those from the late 19th and early 20th centuries, are vague and incomplete, calling for species redescriptions (Rincón et al. 2018). More systematic studies of

marine species are needed to improve our knowledge of the richness and the distribution of native isopods and to detect potential invasive species. The latter is important as numerous marine isopods can have considerable economic impact. Wood boring isopods, such as *Limnoria tripunctata*, can damage infrastructure (Borges et al. 2014), whereas parasitic taxa, such as representatives of Gnathiidae and Cymothoidae, can affect fisheries.

Even though research in some isopods, for example those in terrestrial habitats, has a long history in Slovenia, very little information is available on their distribution patterns. In the future, systematic distribution studies of the isopod fauna can fill this gap, resulting in distribution maps as prepared for ants in the territory of Slovenia by Bračko (2023), or for the terrestrial isopod fauna of Belgium, a country of similar size (Boeraeve et al. 2022). In addition, we can expect the detection of further terrestrial isopod species. Some species have likely been missed either by chance due to limited sampling or due to species not yet described at the time of collection. There is considerable likelihood that further species remain to be discovered in subterranean habitats. The use of molecular methods will further help identify species, as large genetic diversity has been confirmed within freshwater isopods and new species descriptions may follow. In the Slovenian Sea, the marine isopod fauna is also likely richer than is currently known and more studies are needed.

Further studies in different habitats are important also to detect introductions of alien species. Changes in land use and climate as well as transport of alien species due to increased traffic of goods provides opportunities for the introduction or natural expansion of species currently not found in Slovenia. In this way, several terrestrial isopod species have been spreading across Europe in recent years, such as *Armadillidium arcangelii* (Noél et al. 2022), *A. nasatum* and *Agabiformius lentus* (Cochard et al. 2010). In the Slovenian Sea, several introduced species have been identified, and emphasis should be put on detecting new ones, as they can have ecological and economic consequences.

If we compare the Decree on Protected Wild Animal Species (Ur. l. RS. 2004), listing twelve species and one subspecies as protected, and the national Red List with 45 species and 9 subspecies with determined statuses (Ur. l. RS. 2002), there are some inconsistencies between the two documents. According to the Decree, all taxa with protection statuses should be listed in the Red List, but this is not always the case, as the Red List has not been updated since its publication in 2002. For example, there is only one subspecies of *Monolistra*, *M. racovitzaiconopyge*, included in the Red List, but *M. racovitzae* is protected according to the Decree. In another case, it is vice versa. The subspecies *Asellus aquaticus cavernicolus* is listed in the Decree, while the species *A. aquaticus* has vulnerable status in the Red List. In such cases, the status is valid also for the subspecies. These examples point out the need for a review of the current national Red List of endangered species, as taxonomy and knowledge of the distribution of species have changed. Importantly, more data on species distribution, habitat requirements and temporal changes in distribution would help improve the assignment of conservation statuses. The acquisition of such data has been performed on a scale comparable to the size of Slovenia in Flanders (De Smedt et al. 2022). The lack of data was also pointed out as an important issue by researchers who prepared the initial publications proposing Red List statuses for isopod taxa in Slovenia (Potočnik 1992; Sket 1992). In these publications, endemism, small documented distribution ranges, threats to habitats and type localities in Slovenia were major criteria for the proposal of Red List statuses and protective measures. Even though we provide comments on the potential transfer of statuses in the current situation, this should not be treated

as a suggestion on species/subspecies statuses in the reviewed Red List. The latter should be made by expert work considering all relevant taxonomic and distributional data on each taxon and an evaluation of its conservation status in the country.

Povzetek

Enakonožci so skupina višjih rakov z več kot 10.000 vrstami, od katerih nekatere živijo v morju, druge v sladkih vodah, številne pa so kopenske (Boyko et al. 2008). So pomembni razkrojevalci tako v vodnih kot kopenskih okoljih. Med vodnimi predstavniki je precej parazitov, najpogosteje na ribah, in ti so lahko ekonomsko pomembni (Čolac et al. 2018). Številni so pomembni razkrojevalci v vodnih in kopenskih okoljih (Wilson 2008; David 2012). Enakonožci so uspešna skupina tudi v podzemlju (Coineau & Boutin 2004; Sfenthourakis & Hornung 2018). Zaradi njihove ekološke pestrosti so pregledi njihovega vrstnega bogastva razdrobljeni in običajno ločeni po habitatih. Za ozemlje Slovenije obstajajo starejši seznama vrst, ki obravnavajo bodisi vrste celinskih vod (Sket 1967) bodisi kopenske vrste (Potočnik 1989), za morske pa takšnega seznama še ni bilo. V tem prispevku smo pripravili prvi skupni pregled vrst enakonožcev v Sloveniji. Pregled upošteva spremembe taksonomije ter vrste, zaznane v zadnjih treh desetletjih. Podatke o pojavljanju vrst smo zbrali iz objavljene literature ter podatkovnih baz Nacionalnega inštituta za biologijo, Oddelka za biologijo Biotehniške fakultete Univerze v Ljubljani ter Centra za kartografijo favne in flore. Skupno smo v Sloveniji zaznali 125 vrst enakonožcev, od tega 30 morskih vrst, 21 vrst v celinskih vodah in 74 kopenskih vrst. Med morskimi po pestrosti prevladuje družina Sphaeromatidae, ki je na račun rodu *Monolista* močno zastopana tudi v podzemeljskih celinskih vodah. V slednjih je najpestrejša družina Asellidae, na kopnem pa prednjači družina Trichoniscidae. V tem prispevku prvič poročamo o pojavljanju sedmih morskih in ene kopenske vrste. Velik delež vrstnega bogastva enakonožcev celinskih vod in na kopnem sestavljajo vrste, živeče v podzemlju, med katerimi je tudi večina (10) slovenskih endemitov. V površinskih celinskih vodah ter na kopnem najdemo zgolj po eno endemično vrsto. Med morskimi vrstami je šest parazitov ter dve tujerodni vrsti, medtem ko tujerodnih vrst v ostalih dveh kategorijah nismo zasledili. Zgolj 41 vrst, navedenih v Pravilniku o uvrstitvi ogroženih rastlinskih in živalskih vrst v rdeči seznam (Ur. l. RS 2002) ter v Uredbi o zavarovanih prosto živečih živalskih vrstah (Ur. l. RS 2004), je v trenutni taksonomiji ohranilo svoje taksonomske statuse, medtem ko so številne v zakonodaji navedene vrste in podvrste bodisi neveljavni taksoni bodisi sinonimi drugih vrst enakonožcev. To kaže na potrebo po reviziji varstvenih aktov. Za vzpostavitev ustreznega varstva enakonožcev bi bilo nujno pridobivanje podatkov o njihovi razširjenosti in njenem spreminjanju, ki trenutno manjkajo.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr Tomi Trilar and Tea Knapič from the Slovenian Museum of Natural History for the possibility to examine deposited isopod material. Dr Pallieter De Smedt kindly provided helpful advice on the determination of *Trichoniscus provisorius*. The preparation of this checklist has been encouraged by the need for a national checklist of taxa in Slovenia, as a necessary backbone of taxa to be included in the NarcIS - Nature Conservation Information System, established within the scope of the EU-funded project LIFE NarcIS (LIFE19 GIE/SI/000161). The past field work and research of isopods was funded by the Slovenian Research and Innovation Agency through core programme P1-0184.

References

- Avčin A, Keržan I, Kubik L, Meith-Avčin N, Štirn J, Tušnik P, Valentinčič T, Vrišer B, Vukovič S. 1973. Aquatic ecosystems in the Bay of Strunjan I. *Prispevki k znanosti o morju*. 5: 168-215.
- Balázs G, Biró A, Fišer Ž, Fišer C, Herczeg G. 2021. Parallel morphological evolution and habitat-dependent sexual dimorphism in cave- vs. surface populations of the *Asellus aquaticus* (Crustacea: Isopoda: Asellidae) species complex. *Ecology and Evolution*. 11: 15389-15403. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8233>
- Boeraeve P, Arijs G, Segers S, Brosens D, Desmet P, Swinnen K, Lambrechts J, De Smedt P. 2022. Inventory of the terrestrial isopods in Belgium (2011–2020). *ZooKeys*. 1101: 57-69. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1101.65810>
- Borges LM, Merckelbach LM, Cragg SM. 2014. Biogeography of wood-boring crustaceans (Isopoda: Limnoriidae) established in European coastal waters. *PLoS One*. 9(10): e109593. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109593>
- Boyko CB, Bruce NL, Hadfield KA, Merrin KL, Ota Y, Poore GCB, Taiti S, editors. 2008 onwards. World marine, freshwater and terrestrial isopod crustaceans database; [accessed 9.1.2023]. <https://www.marinespecies.org/isopoda>, <https://doi.org/10.14284/365>
- Bračko G. 2023. Atlas of the ants of Slovenia. Ljubljana: Biotechnical Faculty.
- Brancelj A, Žibrat U, Jamnik B. 2016. Differences between groundwater fauna in shallow and in deep intergranular aquifers as an indication of different characteristics of habitats and hydraulic connections. *Journal of limnology*. 75: 2. <https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2016.1294>
- Broly P, Deville P, Maillet S. 2013. The origin of terrestrial isopods (Crustacea: Isopoda: Oniscidea). *Evolutionary Ecology*. 27(3): 461-476. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-012-9625-8>
- Bruce NL, Holdich DM. 2002. Revision of the isopod crustacean genus *Campecopea* (Flabellifera: Sphaeromatidae) with discussion of dorsal processes as characters of phylogenetic significance. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*. 82(1): 51-68. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315402005179>
- Castelló J, Bitar G, Zibrowius H. 2020. Isopoda (Crustacea) from the Levantine sea with comments on the biogeography of mediterranean isopods. *Mediterranean Marine Science*. 21(2): 308-339. <https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.20329>

- Charfi-Cheikhrouha F. 2000. Description d'*Idotea hectica* (Pallas, 1772) des côtes tunisiennes (Isopode Valvifère). *Crustaceana*. 73(2): 153-161. <https://doi.org/10.1163/156854000504228>
- Cochard P-O, Vilisics F, Sechet E. 2010. Alien terrestrial crustaceans (Isopods and Amphipods). In: Roques A, Kenis M, Lees D, Lopez-Vaamonde C, Rabitsch W, Rasplus J-Y, Roy D, editors. Alien terrestrial arthropods of Europe. *BioRisk*. 4(1): 81-96.
- Coineau N., Boutin C. (2004): Isopoda (aquatic). In: Gunn J, editor. *Encyclopedia of Caves and Karst Science*. London: Fitzroy Dearborn. p. 540-547.
- Čolak S, Kolega M, Mejdandžić D, Župan I, Šarić T, Piplović E, Mustać B. 2018. Prevalence and effects of the cymothoid isopod (*Ceratothoa oestroides*, Risso 1816) on cultured meagre (*Argyrosomus regius*, Asso 1801) in the Eastern Adriatic Sea. *Aquaculture Research*. 49(2): 1001-1007. <https://doi.org/10.1111/are.13547>
- David JF. 2014. The role of litter-feeding macroarthropods in decomposition processes: a reappraisal of common views. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 76: 109-118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.05.009>
- De Smedt P, Boeraeve P, Arijis G, Segers S, Lambrechts J, Maes D. 2022. A Red List of terrestrial isopods (Isopoda: Oniscidea) in Flanders (northern Belgium) and its implications for conservation. *Journal of insect conservation*. 26(4): 525-535. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10841-022-00390-7>
- Ferrario J, Bogi C, Cardecchia A, Langeneck J, Marchini A, Ulman A, Occhipinti Ambrogi A. 2018. Fouling community in the harbour of Piran (Slovenia). *Biologia Marina Mediterranea*. 25(1): 147-151.
- Fišer C, Mavrič B, Govedič M, Pekolj A, Zagmajster M. 2021. Checklist of amphipod crustaceans (Crustacea: Amphipoda) in Slovenia. *Natura Sloveniae*. 23(2): 5-24. <https://doi.org/10.14720/ns.23.2.5-24>
- GBIF. 2023. *Oroniscus calcivagus* Verhoeff, 1908. In: GBIF Secretariat. GBIF Backbone Taxonomy; [accessed 27.10.2023]. <https://www.gbif.org>. Checklist dataset: <https://doi.org/10.15468/39omej>
- Graeffe E. 1902. Uebersicht der Fauna des Golfes von Trieste V. *Crustacea. Arbeiten aus dem Zoologischen Institut der Universität Wien und der Zoologischen Station in Triest*. 13(1): 1-48.
- Hobbs HH. 2012. Crustacea. In: White WB, Culver DC, editors. *Encyclopedia of Caves*. 2nd ed. Waltham (MA): Academic Press. p. 177-194.
- Hornung E. 2011. Evolutionary adaptation of oniscidean isopods to terrestrial life: structure, physiology and behavior. *Terrestrial Arthropod Reviews*. 4: 95-130. <https://doi.org/10.1163/187498311X576262>
- Karaman IM, Horvatović M. 2018. Revision of the genera *Cyphonethes* Verhoeff, 1926 and *Titanethes* Schioedte, 1849 (Isopoda: Oniscoidea: Trichoniscidae) with a description of a new genus and three new taxa. *Zootaxa*. 4459(2): 261-284. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4459.2.3>
- Karaman M. 1966. Kopnezi izopodi (Isopoda terrestria) Jugoslavije. *Zbornik filozofskog fakulteta u Prištini*. 3: 371-404.
- Konec M, Delić T, Trontelj P. 2016. DNA barcoding sheds light on hidden subterranean boundary between Adriatic and Danubian drainage basins. *Ecohydrology*. 9(7): 1304-1312. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1727>

- Konec M, Prevorčnik S, Sarbu SM, Verovnik R, Trontelj P. 2015. Parallels between two geographically and ecologically disparate cave invasions by the same species, *Asellus aquaticus* (Isopoda, Crustacea). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*. 28(4): 864-875. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12610>
- Lipej L, Orlando-Bonaca M, Mavrič B. 2016. Biogenic formations in the Slovenian Sea. Piran: National Institute of Biology, Marine Biology Station Piran.
- Lipej L, Mavrič B, Vodopivec M, Orlando Bonaca M. 2013. Monitoring habitatov, flore in favne v Krajinškem parku Strunjan. Poročilo MBP. Piran: National Institute of Biology, Marine Biology Station Piran.
- Lukančič S, Žibrat U, Mezek T, Jerebic A, Simčič T, Brancelj A. 2010. Effects of exposing two non-target crustacean species, *Asellus aquaticus* L., and *Gammarus fossarum* Koch., to atrazine and imidacloprid. *Bulletin of environmental contamination and toxicology*. 84(1): 85-90. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-009-9854-x>
- Noël F, Gregory SJ, Agapakis G. 2022. *Armadillidium arcangelii* Strouhal, 1929 (Isopoda: Oniscidea): a step towards the conquest of Europe? *Bulletin of the British Myriapod & Isopod Group*. 34: 72-82.
- PESI 2023. Pan-European Species directories Infrastructure; [accessed 25.10.2023]. <http://www.eu-nomen.eu/portal/>
- Pitacco V, Mavrič B, Orlando Bonaca M, Lipej L. 2013. Rocky macrozoobenthos mediolittoral community in the Gulf of Trieste (North Adriatic) along a gradient of hydromorphological modifications. *Acta Adriatica*. 54(1): 67-86.
- Plahuta M, Tišler T, Toman MJ, Pintar A. 2017. Toxic and endocrine disrupting effects of wastewater treatment plant influents and effluents on a freshwater isopod *Asellus aquaticus* (Isopoda, Crustacea). *Chemosphere*. 174: 342-353. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.01.137>
- Polak S, Bedek J, Ozimec R., Zakšek V. 2012. Subterranean fauna of twelve Istrian caves. *Annales: Series Historia Naturalis*. 22(1): 7-24.
- Poore GC, Bruce NL. 2012. Global diversity of marine isopods (except Asellota and crustacean symbionts). *PLoS One*. 7: e43529. <https://doi.org/10.1371/annotation/3260cd00-89cf-4e08-ab25-07e0be598ab4>
- Potočnik F. 1979. Prispevek k poznavanju mokric (Isopoda terrestria) Slovenije. *Biološki vestnik*. 27: 63-70.
- Potočnik F. 1980. Prispevek k poznavanju mokric (Isopoda terrestria) v Sloveniji II - Nove vrste za favno Slovenije. *Biološki vestnik*. 28(2): 21-26.
- Potočnik F. 1981. Mokrice (Isopoda terrestria) Triglavskega narodnega parka. Preliminarno poročilo. *Biološki vestnik*. 29(2): 57-66.
- Potočnik F. 1984. Mokrice (Isopoda terrestria) slovenske obale Jadrana. *Biološki vestnik*. 32(1): 77-86.
- Potočnik F. 1989. The survey of the fauna of Woodlice (Isopoda terrestria) of Yugoslavia. *Biološki vestnik*. 37(2): 61-82.
- Potočnik F. 1990. Mokrice (Isopoda terrestria). In: Bole J, Brancelj A, Carnelutti J, Devetak D, Drovenik B, Gogala A, Horvat B, Kos I, Krušnik C, Kryštufek B et al., editors. *Inventarizacija in topografija favne na območju kraškega roba in območju Veli Badanj-Krog (končno poročilo)*. Ljubljana: Inštitut za biologijo Univerze v Ljubljani. p. 25-29.

- Potočnik F. 1992. The red list of endangered Isopoda terrestria (Crustacea) in Slovenia. *Varstvo narave*. 17: 157-164.
- Potočnik F, Novak T. 1980. Über Landasseln (Isopoda terrestria) aus Höhlen Sloweniens. *Anzeiger der math.-naturw. Klasse Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften*. 1980(5): 75-81.
- Prevorčnik S, Verovnik R, Zagamajster M, Sket B. 2010. Biogeography and phylogenetic relations within the Dinaric subgenus *Monolistra* (Microlistra) (Crustacea: Isopoda: Sphaeromatidae), with a description of two new species. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*. 159(1): 1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2009.00593.x>
- Ragkousis M, Abdelali N, Azzurro E, Badreddine A, Bariche M, Bitar G, Crocetta F, Denitto F, Digenis M, El Zrelli R et al. 2020. New alien Mediterranean biodiversity records (October 2020). *Mediterranean Marine Science*. 21 (3): 631-652.
- Re C, Fišer Ž, Perez J, Tacdol A, Trontelj P, Protas ME. 2018. Common genetic basis of eye and pigment loss in two distinct cave populations of the isopod crustacean *Asellus aquaticus*. *Integrative and comparative biology*. 58(3): 421-430. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icy028>
- Rincón B, Arranz S, Junoy J. 2018. Isopods of the genus *Astacilla* (Valvifera, Arcturidae) from the LIFE +INDEMARES project off Alborán Island (S Spain), with the description of a rare species *A. carlosoteroi* (Reboreda, Wägele & Garmendia, 1994). *Mediterranean Marine Science*. 19(3): 504-512. <https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.15594>
- Schmalfuss H. 2003. World catalog of terrestrial isopods (Isopoda: Oniscidea). *Stuttgarter Beiträge zur Naturkunde. Serie A*, 654. Stuttgart: Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde.
- Schmidt C. 1997. Revision of the European species of the genus *Trachelipus* Budde-Lund, 1908 (Crustacea: Isopoda: Oniscidea). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*. 121: 129-244. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1997.tb00337.x>
- Schmölzer K. 1965. Bestimmungsbücher zur Bodenfauna Europas. Lieferung 4 and 5. Ordnung Isopoda (Landasseln). Berlin: Akademie-Verlag.
- Saclier N, Duchemin L, Konecny-Dupré L, Grison P, Eme D, Martin C, Callou C, Lefébure T, François C, Issartel C et al. 2024. A collaborative backbone resource for comparative studies of subterranean evolution: The World Asellidae database. *Molecular Ecology Resources*. 24(1): 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13882>
- Sfenthourakis S, Hornung E. 2018. Isopod distribution and climate change. *ZooKeys*. 801: 25-61. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.801.23533>
- Sfenthourakis S, Taiti S. 2015. Patterns of taxonomic diversity among terrestrial isopods. *ZooKeys*. 515: 13-25. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.515.9332>
- Sket B. 1964. Genus *Sphaeromides* Dollfus 1897 (Crust., Isopoda, Cirolanidae) in Jugoslawien. *Biološki vestnik*. 12(1): 153-168.
- Sket B. 1967. Crustacea. Isopoda (aquatica). *Catalogus faunae Yugoslaviae III/3*. Ljubljana: Slovenska akademija znanosti in umetnosti.
- Sket B. 1971. Vier neue aberrante *Niphargus*-Arten (Amphipoda, Gammaridae) und einige Bemerkungen zur Taxonomie der *Niphargus*-ähnlichen Gruppen. Ljubljana: Slovenska akademija znanosti in umetnosti.

- Sket B. 1972. Die *Niphargus jovanovici*-Gruppe (Amphipoda, Gammaridae) in Jugoslawien und NO-Italien, taxonomisch, zoogeographisch und phylogenetisch betrachtet. Ljubljana: Slovenska akademija znanosti in umetnosti.
- Sket B. 1982. Some news about the subgenus *Microlistra* (Isopoda, Sphaeromatidae) in the subterranean waters of Yugoslavia. *Biološki vestnik*. 30(1): 143-154.
- Sket B. 1992. The red data list of endangered freshwater Malacostraca: (Isopoda aquatica, Amphipoda, Decapoda) in Slovenia. *Varstvo narave*. 17: 147-155.
- Sket B. 2003. Raki – Crustacea. In: Sket B, Gogala M, Kuštor V, editors. *Živalstvo Slovenije*. Ljubljana: Tehniška založba Slovenije. p. 188-224.
- Sket B. 2008. A contribution to the history of biodiversity research in Slovenia – lower invertebrates mainly. *Acta Biologica Slovenica*. 51(2): 5-19. <https://doi.org/10.14720/abs.51.2>
- Sket B, Velkovrh F. 1981. Podzemeljske živali v termalnih vodah. *Biološki vestnik*. 29(2): 91-120.
- Stoch F. 1989. Considerazioni biogeografiche sugli Isopodi (Crustacea, Peracarida) delle acque interne dell'Italia nordorientale. *Biogeographia*. 13: 595-603. <https://doi.org/10.21426/B613110247>
- Strouhal H. 1940. *Moserius percoi* nov. gen., nov. spec., eine neue Höhlen-Höckerassel, nebst einer Übersicht über die Haplophthalminen. *Zoologischer Anzeiger*. 129: 13-20.
- Strouhal H. 1968. Drei für Österreich neue Landasseln (Isop. terr.). *Sitzungsberichte der österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Klasse, Abteilung I*. 177: 354-360.
- Szlavec K, Vilisics F, Tóth Z, Hornung E. 2018. Terrestrial isopods in urban environments: an overview. *ZooKeys*. 801: 97-126. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.801.29580>
- Taiti S, Ferrara F. 1995. Isopodi terrestri (Crustacea, Oniscidea) delle grotte della Toscana (Italia centrale). *Mémoires de biospéologie*. 22: 169-196.
- Tratar D. 2010. Fauna of certain marine crustacean groups (Crustacea) in mediolittoral and upper infralittoral belts of Slovenian sea. Graduation thesis. Ljubljana: University of Ljubljana, Biotechnical Faculty.
- Ur. l. RS. 2002. Pravilnik o uvrstitvi ogroženih rastlinskih in živalskih vrst v rdeči seznam. Uradni list RS, no. 82/02, 42/10.
- Ur. l. RS. 2004. Uredba o zavarovanih prosto živečih živalskih vrstah. Uradni list RS, no. 46/04, 109/04, 84/05, 115/07, 32/08 – odl. US, 96/08, 36/09, 102/11, 15/14, 64/16, 62/19.
- Van Gestel CA, Loureiro S, Zidar P. 2018. Terrestrial isopods as model organisms in soil ecotoxicology: a review. *ZooKeys*. 801: 127-162. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.801.21970>
- Verhoeff K. 1938. Zur Kenntnis der Gattung *Porcellio* und über Isopoda Oniscoidea der Insel Cherso. *Archiv für Naturgeschichte, Neue Folge*. 7: 97-136.
- Vieira PE, Queiroga H, Costa FO, Holdich DM. 2016. Distribution and species identification in the crustacean isopod genus *Dynamene* Leach, 1814 along the North East Atlantic-Black Sea axis. *ZooKeys*. 635: 1-29. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.635.10240>
- Vilisics F, Lapanje A. 2005. Terrestrial Isopods (Isopoda: Oniscidea) from the Slovenian Karst. *Natura Sloveniae*. 7(1): 13-21. <https://doi.org/10.14720/ns.7.1.13-21>

- Vittori M. 2021. Structure of a hinge joint with textured sliding surfaces in terrestrial isopods (Crustacea: Isopoda: Oniscidea). *Zoological Letters*. 7: 7. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s40851-00177-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40851-021-00177-9)
- Vittori M. 2022. New records of *Trachelipus vespertilio* (Budde- Lund, 1896) (Isopoda, Oniscidea) with a description of the male. *Biodiversity Data Journal*. 10: e91063. <https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.10.e91063>
- Vittori M, Dominko M. 2022. A bibliometric analysis of research on terrestrial isopods. *ZooKeys*. 1101: 13-34. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1101.81016>
- Vrišer B. 2003. Meiobenthic fauna (without Harpacticoida) in the southern part of Gulf of Trieste. *Annales: Series Historia Naturalis*. 13(1): 33-42.
- Wilson GD. 2008. Global diversity of Isopod crustaceans (Crustacea; Isopoda) in freshwater. *Hydrobiologia*. 595: 231-240. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-007-9019-z>
- WoRMS Editorial Board. 2023. World Register of Marine Species; [accessed 24.10.2023]. <https://www.marinespecies.org>, <https://doi.org/10.14284/170>
- Zavodnik D, Kovačić M. 2000. Index of marine fauna in Rijeka bay (Adriatic Sea, Croatia). *Natura Croatica*. 9(4): 297-379.
- Zavodnik D, Legac M, Gluhak T. 2006. An account of the marine fauna of Pag Island (Adriatic Sea, Croatia). *Natura Croatica*. 15(3): 65-107.
- Zimmer M. 2002. Nutrition in terrestrial isopods (Isopoda: Oniscidea): an evolutionary-ecological approach. *Biological Reviews*. 77(4): 455-493. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1464793102005912>



© 2023 Miloš Vittori, Borut Mavrič, Anja Pekolj, Marijan Govedič, Maja Zagmajster

To je prostodostopen članek, objavljen pod določili licence Creative Commons Priznanje avtorstva 4.0 Mednarodna, ki dovoljuje neomejeno rabo, razširjanje in kopiranje v kakršnemkoli mediju ter obliki, pod pogojem, da sta navedena avtor in vir.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.