

Article

Effects of Hydrogen Dissociation During Gas Flooding on Formation of Metal Hydride Cluster Ions in Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry

Jernej Ekar^{1,2,3,[*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4324-246X)}⁰[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3529-3371) Sabina Markelj^{1,[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1366-9147)}, Miran Mozetič^{1,2}⁰, Rok Zaplotnik^{1,2}⁰ and Janez Kovač^{1,2,*}⁰

- 1 Jožef Stefan Institute, Jamova Cesta 39, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia; miran.mozetic@ijs.si (M.M.); rok.zaplotnik@ijs.si (R.Z.)
- $\overline{2}$ Jožef Stefan International Postgraduate School, Jamova Cesta 39, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- ³ Elettra Sincrotrone Trieste, Strada Statale 14, 34149 Basovizza, Trieste, Italy
	- ***** Correspondence: jernej.ekar@ijs.si (J.E.); janez.kovac@ijs.si (J.K.); Tel.: +386-31-633-056 (J.E.); +386-1-477-34-03 (J.K.)

Abstract: The application of hydrogen flooding was recently shown to be a simple and effective approach for improved layer differentiation and interface determination during secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) depth profiling of thin films, as well as an approach with potential in the field of quantitative SIMS analyses. To study the effects of hydrogen further, flooding of H_2 molecules was compared to reactions with atomic H on samples of pure metals and their alloys. H_2 was introduced into the analytical chamber via a capillary, which was heated to approximately 2200 K to achieve dissociation. Dissociation of H_2 up to 30% resulted in a significant increase in the intensity of the metal hydride cluster secondary ions originating from the metallic samples. Comparison of the time scales of possible processes provided insight into the mechanism of hydride cluster secondary ion formation. Cluster ions presumably form during the recombination of the atoms and molecules from the sample and atoms and molecules adsorbed from the gas. This process occurs on the surface or just above it during the sputtering process. These findings coincide with those of previous mechanistic and computational studies.

check for updates

Citation: Ekar, J.; Markelj, S.; Mozetič, M.; Zaplotnik, R.; Kovač, J. Effects of Hydrogen Dissociation During Gas Flooding on Formation of Metal Hydride Cluster Ions in Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry. *Nanomaterials* **2024**, *14*, 1687. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/nano14211687) [10.3390/nano14211687](https://doi.org/10.3390/nano14211687)

Academic Editor: José Miguel Doña Rodríguez

Received: 24 September 2024 Revised: 15 October 2024 Accepted: 18 October 2024 Published: 22 October 2024

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

Keywords: hydrogen atmosphere; molecule dissociation; gas flooding; cluster ions; secondary ion mass spectrometry

1. Introduction

Secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) is a widely used analytical method that provides the user with information about the elemental, molecular, and isotopic composition of a sample [\[1\]](#page-11-0). Analytes are detected as secondary ions formed as a consequence of bombardment of the surface with the primary ions [\[2\]](#page-11-1). This method is primarily surface-sensitive (to the topmost few nm) and offers measurements of mass spectra and mapping of the chemical composition of an analyte on the surface (imaging) [\[1,](#page-11-0)[3\]](#page-11-2). If the ion current density of the primary ions is high enough, depth profiling via the application of one or two ion beams (dual-beam depth profiling) can be performed [\[4\]](#page-11-3). By combining 2D imaging and depth profiling, the generation of 3D representations becomes possible [\[3\]](#page-11-2).

SIMS also has its limitations, with one of the more complex ones being the matrix effect, which can be described as the dependence of the ionization yield of the sputtered material on the substrate composition $[5-7]$ $[5-7]$. The intensity of the secondary ion current (I_m) is a function of the current of the primary ions (I_p) , the sputter yield (Y_m) , the ionization probability (*α* +/−), the concentration of the compound analyzed (*θ*m), and the transmission of the analytical system (*η*). Their relation is described by Equation (1) [\[1\]](#page-11-0).

$$
I_{\mathbf{m}} = I_{\mathbf{p}} Y_{\mathbf{m}} \alpha^{+/-} \theta_{\mathbf{m}} \eta \tag{1}
$$

The ionization probability is significantly influenced by the effect of the electronic properties of the substrate and changes in these, as a consequence of the matrix effect, even for a few orders of magnitude [\[5\]](#page-11-4). The matrix effect notably affects the detection limits and almost entirely prevents quantification of the measured spectra [\[6\]](#page-11-6). Different approaches, such as the relative sensitivity factor (RSF) method incorporating internal standards $[8-10]$ $[8-10]$ and MCs⁺ and MCs₂⁺ analyses (M being the metal of interest) combined with reactive Cs⁺ sputtering [\[11](#page-11-9)[,12\]](#page-11-10), have been developed for at least semi-quantitative analysis. Other SIMS variations target improvement of the ionization yield and reductions in the matrix effect. These include laser [\[13](#page-11-11)[–15\]](#page-11-12) and electron beam [\[16–](#page-11-13)[18\]](#page-12-0) postionization of sputtered neutrals (secondary neutral mass spectrometry (SNMS)); metal-assisted SIMS, utilizing deposition of very thin metallic layers onto the surface of the sample [\[19–](#page-12-1)[21\]](#page-12-2); matrix-enhanced SIMS, improving the ionization with an overlayer of MALDI (matrixassisted laser desorption/ionization) matrices or ionic liquids [\[22–](#page-12-3)[24\]](#page-12-4); dynamic reactive ionization (DRI), combining Ar clusters, HCl, and H_2O molecules [\[25,](#page-12-5)[26\]](#page-12-6); and reactive gas flooding with gases such as O_2 and XeF₂ [\[27–](#page-12-7)[29\]](#page-12-8).

 $H₂$ and $O₂$ atmospheres were recently proven by our group to improve the capabilities of SIMS quantification significantly by reducing the matrix effect [\[30\]](#page-12-9). The novel H_2 flooding approach substantially improves the capabilities of SIMS concerning depth profiling of metals, metal oxides, and alloys by providing unambiguous identification of different layers, which is otherwise impossible as a consequence of the matrix effect [\[31\]](#page-12-10). The presence of $H₂$ during depth profiling also reduced ion-sputtering-induced surface roughening [\[32\]](#page-12-11). Differentiation between layers of metals and their oxides, interface clarity, and depth resolution are of high importance during research om corrosion processes [\[33–](#page-12-12)[35\]](#page-12-13), analysis of thin films and multilayered samples [\[36–](#page-12-14)[38\]](#page-12-15), characterization of nanomaterials and nanoparticles [\[39](#page-12-16)[,40\]](#page-12-17), evaluation of protective surface (oxide) layers formed during metal and alloy treatments [\[41](#page-12-18)[,42\]](#page-12-19), and the study of catalytic processes [\[43\]](#page-13-0).

To improve the positive effects of the presence of hydrogen during SIMS analyses further and to gain insight into the mechanism of cluster secondary ion formation, we studied the influence of atomic hydrogen on alloys composed of different metals. H radicals (neutral hydrogen atoms in the ground electronic state) are more reactive than H² molecules, so they are often used to enhance the intensity of surface reactions [\[44](#page-13-1)[–47\]](#page-13-2). Hydrogen dissolves extensively in some of the metallic materials that can be used as solid storage materials [\[48,](#page-13-3)[49\]](#page-13-4), and the effects of atomic hydrogen are also of interest in terms of these properties.

During the SIMS measurements, higher intensities of the metal hydride cluster secondary ions at the same pressure of gas were observed during the operation of the hydrogen atom beam source due to the enhanced adsorption of H atoms compared to that of H_2 molecules. The increase in the intensity of different hydride secondary ions was found to correlate with the number of H atoms/ions constituting these secondary ions. The highest increase in intensity was observed for ions composed of two or more H atoms/ions. The increase in the intensity of hydride ions also correlated with an increase in the heating power of the atom beam source, leading to a higher degree of H_2 dissociation. The mechanism of cluster secondary ion formation upon treatment with a mixture of atomic and molecular hydrogen was discussed and evaluated as well. Through descriptive explanations and mathematically derived conclusions, it was confirmed that the formation of cluster secondary ions occurs after the adsorption of gaseous species (monolayer formation) and during the sputtering process on the surface or immediately after the particles are sputtered away just above the surface.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of the Samples

We used two different alloys with a homogenous distribution of elements. The composition in atomic percentages was 50% Ni and 50% Ti for the NiTi alloy and 65% Fe, 19% Cr, 12% Ni, 1.5% Mn, 1.5% Mo, and 1% Si for the stainless steel sample. The NiTi sample was

characterized by the producer Alfa Aesar (Thermo Fisher, Haverhill, MA, USA), while the composition of the stainless steel sample was determined using energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDXS) [\[30\]](#page-12-9). Both samples had their surfaces sufficiently polished during the process of their formation (a NiTi sheet and a stainless steel cube) to enable successful SIMS analysis. The alloys were also covered with a thin layer of native oxide, which was removed using 1 keV Cs⁺ ion sputtering prior to studying the effects of hydrogen dissociation.

2.2. Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry Measurements

The ToF-SIMS analyses were performed using the TOF.SIMS 5 instrument produced by IONTOF, Münster, Germany. A $Bi⁺$ primary ion beam with a lateral resolution of approximately 5 μ m was used for the analysis. The energy of the Bi⁺ ions was 30 keV, and the current was between 1.1 and 1.5 pA. The ion beam was pulsed with a pulse length of 7 ns, providing a mass resolution *m*/∆*m* between 4000 and 15,000 depending on the type of secondary ion detected.

All the analyses were performed during dual-beam depth profiling, with $Cs⁺$ ions used as in the sputtering ion beam. Their energy was 1 keV, and their current was between 60 and 69 nA. Sputtering with the Cs⁺ ions was performed over a 400 μ m \times 400 μ m area, while analysis with the Bi⁺ ions was performed over a 50 μ m \times 50 μ m area located in the center of the depth profiling crater.

The H_2 used for the gas flooding had a purity of 99.9999%. It was introduced into the analysis chamber via the hydrogen atom beam source. The pressure of the hydrogen in the analytical chamber during the measurements was 7×10^{-7} mbar, and the pressure before the capillary of the atom beam source (the driving pressure) was approximately 0.1 mbar. The pressure before hydrogen flooding was always approximately 1×10^{-8} mbar. These pressures were determined using cold cathode gauges.

2.3. Principles of the Hydrogen Atom Beam Source's Operation

In these experiments, we used a commercial hydrogen atom beam source (HABS) from MBE Komponenten GmbH, Weil der Stadt, Germany [\(https://www.mbe-komponenten.](https://www.mbe-komponenten.de/gas-sources/habs/) [de/gas-sources/habs/,](https://www.mbe-komponenten.de/gas-sources/habs/) accessed on 19 October 2024) [\[50\]](#page-13-5). This HABS is a thermal gas cracker that produces an ion-free hydrogen gas beam. The atomic hydrogen is generated in a hot tungsten capillary and heated by the thermal radiation from a surrounding tungsten filament up to 2200 K. The tungsten filament around the tungsten tube is resistively heated to heat the tube by thermal radiation. The heat loss is minimized by a thermal shield made of Ta. The shield is then surrounded by water-cooled copper housing. The temperature of the source is measured using a free-standing thermocouple (TC) mounted inside the thermal shield. The capillary has a temperature approximately 100–200 K higher than that measured by the TC. The W capillary, of a 1 mm inner diameter and a 10 mm length, is the only hot part of the HABS with direct contact with the hydrogen gas. The formation of a narrow-shaped atomic hydrogen beam results from the long heated area of the W tube. The tube's inner diameter allows a gas flux of up to 0.5–1.0 standard cubic centimeters per minute (sccm). The gas flow within the W tube forms a narrow angled gas jet with a FWHM (full width at half maximum) of about 15-30°, dependent on the flow rate. At low rates, the gas beam is more focused. A basic description of the source, with some results on its characterization, is published in other literature works [\[50](#page-13-5)[–52\]](#page-13-6).

The hydrogen atom beam source is equipped with a tantalum recombinator that can be placed in front of the atom beam orifice, 15 mm away, without disturbing the flow. If the recombinator is opened, the H atoms are directed at the sample. If the recombinator is closed, the H atoms cannot hit the sample directly but experience a few collisions with the recombinator and the metallic walls of the analysis chamber. The H atoms are likely to stick onto metallic surfaces and recombine if the fluence of the atoms is large enough [\[53,](#page-13-7)[54\]](#page-13-8). Therefore, the recombinator suppresses the flux of H atoms onto a sample's surface significantly. On the other hand, the molecules that drift from the capillary are not affected much by the recombinator, except that they are thermalized during elastic collisions with surfaces, so their temperature should be lower if the recombinator is placed closer to the exhaust of the capillary.

When the capillary is not heated, the molecules experience free adiabatic expansion from the capillary to the analysis chamber, so they are close to room temperature. If the capillary is heated and the recombinator is absent, they experience relatively high temperatures. If the capillary is heated and the recombinator is positioned in between the exhaust and the sample, the temperature of hydrogen molecules is moderate. However, it was not possible to measure the gas temperature close to the sample during these experiments.

3. Results

3.1. Comparison of the Effects of Hot H² Molecules and H Atoms on the SIMS Signals

In the first step, the effects of the heated H_2 molecules and the H atoms were compared. Their influence on the SIMS signals was controlled via opening and closing of the recombinator in front of the hydrogen atom beam source. The effects of hydrogen dissociation were evaluated for the NiTi sample. As seen in Figure [1,](#page-4-0) the opening of the recombinator at 800 s caused an increase in intensity of all metal hydride secondary ions. Closing the recombinator at 1150 s resulted in a decrease in their intensities. The relative change in intensity was the highest for secondary ions that contain two or three hydrogen atoms/ions (NiH₂⁻ and TiH_3^-), and it was approximately 50%. In the case of secondary ions with only one H atom/ion (Ni2H−, NiH−, and TiH−), this change was only between 20% and 25%. Such observations correspond to the fact that the increased reactivity of the H atoms in comparison to the H_2 molecules and consequently their faster adsorption onto the sample surface will most significantly influence the intensity of secondary ions containing the highest number of H atoms/ions. The high density of hydrogen atoms on the surface is most important for the recombination of metal atoms with numerous H atoms. Without the presence of H_2 , the signal intensity of the metal hydride secondary ions is close to zero, as already shown previously in pressure-dependence experiments [\[30\]](#page-12-9). The intensity of the $\mathrm{Ni_{2}}^{-}$ signal shows no observable change as a consequence of opening or closing the recombinator, so it can be concluded that its formation is not influenced by the increased reactivity of the H atoms. Careful monitoring of the green curve in Figure [1](#page-4-0) might lead to the conclusion that the Ni_2^- signal is lowered when the recombinator is opened and recovers to its initial value when the recombinator is closed, but this difference is marginal, so we cannot be conclusive. The main reason for the constant $\mathrm{Ni_{2}}^{-}$ signal is the fact that it is composed of Ni only, and the higher concentration of H atoms on the surface has no direct influence on its formation. However, the adsorption of hydrogen can cause slight changes in the work function, promoting the formation of secondary ions of a specific polarity. Furthermore, as the intensities of the nickel hydride secondary ions increase, more Ni is used for their formation, and less of it remains available to be sputtered in the form of Ni*n* [−], where *n* is a positive integer. These could also be reasons for the small and inconclusive changes in the intensity of $\mathrm{Ni_{2}}^{-}$.

The normalized intensities of the TiH⁻, TiH₃⁻, NiH⁻, NiH₂⁻, Ni₂⁻, and Ni₂H⁻ ions are also plotted in Figure [2.](#page-5-0) Their intensities were integrated over the sputter time between 600 and 750 s (with the recombinator closed) and the sputter time between 900 and 1050 s (with the recombinator opened) at a hydrogen pressure of 7×10^{-7} mbar and a hydrogen atom beam source power of 200 W. The changes in intensity in different secondary ions depending on the state of the recombinator clearly indicate the observation already noted of the larger differences in intensity present for hydride cluster ions containing two or three hydrogen atoms/ions.

Here, it is worth mentioning that the analyses of both the NiTi and stainless steel samples were performed during SIMS depth profiling using $Bi⁺$ and $Cs⁺$ ion beams. This approach was necessary to remove the surface oxide layer. This is why the x-axis in Figure [1](#page-4-0) does not originate at the sputtering time of 0 s. It is also well known that depth profiling with Cs⁺ ions results in the implantation of cesium onto a sample's surface. The presence of cesium causes a reduction in the work function and a consequent enhancement of the ionization of negative secondary ions [\[28,](#page-12-20)[55\]](#page-13-9). Since metal hydrides are ionized more efficiently in negative polarity than in positive polarity, Cs⁺ sputtering increases the intensity of the MH_n^- signals, with M being the metal, thus increasing the signal-tonoise ratio.

Figure 1. The intensity of different secondary ions in the SIMS depth profile from the NiTi sample **Figure 1.** The intensity of different secondary ions in the SIMS depth profile from the NiTi sample as a function of opening or closing the recombinator in front of the hydrogen atom beam source. The profile was measured at a hydrogen pressure of 7×10^{-7} mbar and a hydrogen atom beam source power of 200 W. The sputter time on the x-axis corresponds to the measurement performed in the power of 200 W. The sputter time on the x-axis corresponds to the measurement performed in the $\overline{\text{S}}$ SIMS depth profiling mode, i.e., when using both Bi^+ and Cs^+ beams. The intensities of some of the secondary ions were multiplied by different factors to reduce the width of the y-axis.

Secondary ion intensities as a function of the state of the recombinator

Figure 2. The normalized intensities of the TiH[−], TiH₃[−], NiH[−], NiH₂[−], Ni₂[−], and Ni₂H[−] ions integrated over the sputter times between 600 and 750 s (closed recombinator) and between 900 and 1050 s (opened recombinator) from the depth profile in Figure [1.](#page-4-0) Secondary ions were normalized by 1050 s (opened recombinator) from the depth profile in Figure 1. Secondary ions were normalized by total dose of primary Bi+ ions. the total dose of primary Bi⁺ ions.

3.2. Effect of the Hydrogen Atom Beam Source's Power on the Secondary Ion Intensity 3.2. Effect of the Hydrogen Atom Beam Source's Power on the Secondary Ion Intensity

The next set of experiments was performed using the stainless steel sample. The intensity of the metal hydride cluster secondary ions formed during H₂ flooding depends on the pressure of the H_2 in the analytical chamber [[30\].](#page-12-9) A similar dependence on the proportion of atomic H compared to molecular H₂ was expected. Since the ratio of molecular to atomic hydrogen depends on the working power of the hydrogen atom beam source, the intensity of the metal hydride signals was measured against the power at a constant hydrogen pressure of 7×10^{-7} mbar. The hydrogen atom beam source's power was gradually increased from 0 to 200 W, which means the temperature of the capillary was gradually increased. H_2 starts to dissociate into H atoms when the temperature is above 1500 K. At a maximum power of 200 W, the fraction of H_2 dissociation expected is approximately 30% [\[50\]](#page-13-5). Figure [3](#page-6-0) shows the intensities of different hydride cluster secondary ions as a 30% [50]. Figure 3 shows the intensities of different hydride cluster secondary ions as a function of the hydrogen atom beam source's power and the state of the recombinator. The source power versus the sputtering time is also presented in Fig[ure](#page-6-0) 3 and corresponds to the lower temperature limit required for H_2 dissociation accordingly.

An increase in the intensity of the metal hydride ions can indeed be observed during An increase in the intensity of the metal hydride ions can indeed be observed during the increase in the atom beam source's power. The most pronounced change in intensity is present between 100 and 200 W, which corresponds to the sputtering time between 450 and and 700 s. Below 100 W, when the temperature is below or around 1000 K, these changes 700 s. Below 100 W, when the temperature is below or around 1000 K, these changes are minimal. The effect of closing (1000 s) and opening (1200 s) the hydrogen atom beam source recombinator again was tested, and these results were qualitatively the same as observed when using the NiTi sampl[e \(](#page-4-0)Figure 1). The same trend was also observed regarding the relative change in intensity depending on the number of H atoms/ions constituting the secondary ions. However, a slight difference in the intensity of the secondary ions. shown in Fig[ur](#page-6-0)e 3 can be observed if the power is 0 W and the recombinator is opened (the sputter time between 0 and 50 s) and if the power is 200 W and the recombinator is (the sputter time between 0 and 50 s) and if the power is 200 W and the recombinator is closed (the sputter time between 1000 and 1200 s). The slightly higher intensity at 200 W closed (the sputter time between 1000 and 1200 s). The slightly higher intensity at 200 W

can be explained by the incomplete recombination of the H atoms despite the closure of the recombinator and/or by the higher kinetic energy of the heated H_2 molecules as a result of the hydrogen atom beam source heating them at approximately 2200 K. Incomplete of the hydrogen atom beam source heating them at approximately 2200 K. Incomplete recombination of H atoms on the surface of solid materials (with a recombination coefficient < 1) has been reported by numerous authors on numerous [ma](#page-13-10)[teri](#page-13-11)als [56-59]. The coefficient for other atoms like O has never been reported to be above 0.1 and is typically in the order of 0.1 for many metal[s an](#page-13-12)d alloys $[60]$.

Figure 3. The changes in intensity of different hydride secondary ions from the stainless steel sample **Figure 3.** The changes in intensity of different hydride secondary ions from the stainless steel sample as a function of increasing the hydrogen atom beam source's power from 0 to 200 W and opening as a function of increasing the hydrogen atom beam source's power from 0 to 200 W and opening or or closing the recombinator in front of the hydrogen atom beam source. The powers and the state of closing the recombinator in front of the hydrogen atom beam source. The powers and the state of the recombinator are not on the upper x-axis. The verified on the profile was measured at a hydrogen pressure the recombinator are noted on the upper x-axis. The profile was measured at a hydrogen pressure of 7×10^{-7} mbar. The intensities of some of the secondary ions were multiplied by different factors to reduce the width of the y-axis.

In Figure 4, mass spectra in the *m*/*z* range between 55.7 and 60.2 are presented. The In Figure [4,](#page-7-0) mass spectra in the *m*/*z* range between 55.7 and 60.2 are presented. The Fe and Ni signals from the stainless steel sample analyzed at the hydrogen pressure of 7 Fe and Ni signals from the stainless steel sample analyzed at the hydrogen pressure of 7×10^{-7} mbar and atom beam source power of 200 W are shown. The upper, red spectrum 7×10^{-7} was measured when the recombinator was closed, and the lower, green spectrum when was measured when the recombinator was closed, and the lower, green spectrum when the recombinator was opened. It can be clearly seen that the opening of the recombinator the recombinator was opened. It can be clearly seen that the opening of the recombinator causes an increase in the intensity of the larger-exact-mass signal for each nominal mass causes an increase in the intensity of the larger-exact-mass signal for each nominal mass pair (Fe[−] and ⁵⁴FeH₂[−], Ni[−] and FeH₂[−], NiH[−] and FeH₃[−], and ⁶⁰Ni[−] and NiH₂[−]). The with the larger mass (the ones on the right) always have the larger number of hydrogenesis with the larger mass (the ones on the right) always have the larger number of signals with the larger mass (the ones on the right) always have the larger number of

hydrogen atoms/ions, thus proving that the highest intensity increase is observed for the secondary ions with the larger number of hydrogen atoms/ions.

Figure 4. The intensities of the Fe and Ni signals from the stainless steel sample in the m/z range from 55.7 to 60.2. The upper red spectrum was measured between 1025 and 1175 s in the depth from 55.7 to 60.2. The upper red spectrum was measured between 1025 and 1175 s in the depth profile from Figure [3](#page-6-0) (with the recombinator closed). The lower green spectrum was measured between 1225 t_{max} and t_{max} and t_{max} in the recombinator operator o and 1375 s in that same depth profile (with the recombinator opened). The hydrogen pressure was 200 W. The metal with the 7×10^{-7} mbar, and the power of the hydrogen atom beam source was 200 W.

4. Discussion 4. Discussion

4.1. Adsorption Affinity of H2 and H 4.1. Adsorption Affinity of H² and H

Figure[s 1](#page-4-0)-4 clearly show that the dissociation of hydrogen molecules causes an increase in the intensity of the metal hydride secondary ions. The reason for this is most probably the higher adsorption rate of atomic H due to its higher reactivi[ty](#page-6-0). Figure 3 also indicates that heating the H_2 , despite it remaining in the molecular form, will also have a similar effect but to a much smaller extent. By increasing the kinetic energy of molecules, their reactivity becomes higher, and the probability of successful adsorption increases. However, reactivity becomes higher, and the probability of successful adsorption increases. However,
the effect of the heating applied during our experiments affected the probability of metal hydride secondary ion formation to a significantly lesser extent than the dissociation and ation and formation of H radicals. As already described, hydrogen dissociation influences formation of H radicals. As already described, hydrogen dissociation influences metal metal hydride secondary ion formation to different extents depending on the number of hydride secondary ion formation to different extents depending on the number of H atoms/ions constituting the metal hydride ions in question. The requirement for a higher surface hydrogen density for the formation of secondary ions composed of numerous H atoms/ions (MH_{*n*}[−], *n* > 1) is the reason for their relatively higher change in intensity in comparison to that of monohydride ions (MH^{$-$}).

4.2. Mechanism of Metal Hydride Secondary Ion Formation

Cluster secondary ions can theoretically form on the surface or just above it during the sputtering process or in the plume of sputtered particles in the vacuum after the completion of the sputtering process due to collisions with gaseous atoms and molecules. Previous studies have indicated that the recombination process indeed happens on the surface [\[61–](#page-13-13)[63\]](#page-13-14) or just above it [\[61](#page-13-13)[,64](#page-13-15)[,65\]](#page-13-16), and similar conclusions can be obtained from the results of our hydrogen flooding experiments. Firstly, the formation of high-intensity secondary ions such as TiH_3^- in the vacuum would require a high rate of occurrence of successful three-particle collision reactions, which is highly unlikely. In the case of atomic H, a three-particle reaction would be partially required for the formation of dihydride ions as well, although it would not be completely necessary due to the incomplete dissociation of hydrogen. If the in-vacuum formation of cluster secondary ions would indeed be their main formation pathway, then according to particle collision reactions, the dissociation of hydrogen would most significantly increase the intensity of monohydride secondary ions. This is not the case since H_2 dissociation results in the most pronounced increase in intensity of hydride secondary ions composed of numerous H atoms/ions. Already, based on this, we can conclude that the formation of hydride cluster secondary ions originating from the metallic surfaces does not primarily occur in the vacuum.

The next argument against the in-vacuum formation of hydride cluster ions is the time scale of the collisions and the time available for successful reactions to occur. The latter corresponds to the time frame between the primary ion pulse and the end of the extraction into the analyzer, which is a few μ s at most. The average time required for collisions to occur can be calculated via the kinetic theory of gases. The root mean square (RMS) speed of the gas can be calculated via Equation (2) [\[66\]](#page-13-17):

$$
v_{\rm RMS} = \sqrt{\frac{3RT}{M}}
$$
 (2)

where v_{RMS} is the RMS speed of the gas particle, *R* is the molar gas constant of 8.31 J/molK, *T* is the temperature in K, and *M* is the molar mass. The thermal temperature of the hydrogen molecules exiting the hydrogen atom beam source depends on the heating power and can be up to 2200 K. When H atoms or H_2 molecules hit the recombinator, they lose some of their kinetic energy, and they cool down. The same thing happens when these molecules hit the chamber walls. Therefore, it is practically impossible to determine the temperature of the molecules when they reach the sample. Nevertheless, if we estimate a gas temperature of approximately 1000 K, the speed of the H_2 molecules can be calculated as approximately 3500 m/s and the speed of H atoms as 5000 m/s. According to Equation (3),

 l

$$
=\frac{k_{\rm B}T}{\sqrt{2}\pi d_1 d_2 p'},\tag{3}
$$

and the mean free path of the gaseous particle can be calculated as well [\[66\]](#page-13-17). Here, *l* denotes the mean free path, k_B the Boltzmann constant of 1.38 \times 10⁻²³ J/K, *d* the diameter of the colliding particles, and *p* the pressure in Pa, which was 7×10^{-5} Pa. Since collisions of H₂ molecules or H atoms with metal atoms are of interest, d_1 and d_2 should correspond to the diameters of the metal atoms and the H_2 molecules or H atoms. There are also possible deviations in these diameters due to the potential ionization of the atoms or molecules, but for the purpose of this discussion, these will be disregarded. Fe, with an atomic diameter of 252 pm [\[67\]](#page-13-18), can be chosen as a common example of a metal atom. The kinetic and van der Waals diameters of a H_2 molecule and a H atom are 289 [\[68\]](#page-13-19) and 220 pm [\[69\]](#page-13-20), respectively. Therefore, mean free paths of 610 m for the H_2 molecules and 800 m for the H atoms can be calculated. The average times required for metal– H_2/H collisions (t) can be determined via Equation (4):

$$
t = \frac{l}{v}.\tag{4}
$$

The values of 170 ms $(H₂)$ and 160 ms (H) are more than four orders of magnitude larger than the time frame during which the collision reactions can occur. This is another indicator of the low probability that in-vacuum collision reactions represent a significant pathway for metal hydride cluster ion formation.

The formation of hydride cluster ions on the surface of the sputtered samples will consequently be considered in the following. Comparison of the monolayer formation via gas adsorption and its removal via sputtering indicates a high probability of this mechanism being the most important one. Continuing the analysis considering iron, its numerical density of 8.5 \times 10²⁸ atoms/m³ can be calculated via Equation (5):

$$
\rho_{\rm N} = \frac{\rho_{\rm m} N_{\rm A}}{M} \tag{5}
$$

where ρ_N represents the numerical density, ρ_m the mass density, and N_A the Avogadro constant of 6.02×10^{23} mol⁻¹. The number of Fe atoms per meter can be obtained from the value of ρ_N and is 4.4×10^9 atoms/m. This value can be transformed further into the size of the atoms, although due to the arrangement of the atoms in the crystal structure of the metal, it is closer to the thickness of the monolayer, which is 230 pm. Due to the tightly packed atoms in the solid Fe, this value corresponds appropriately to the slightly larger diameter of Fe atoms of 252 pm [\[67\]](#page-13-18). The sputter rate of iron in the H_2 atmosphere with 1 keV Cs⁺ ions and under the same analysis conditions was measured as 84 pm/s, which can be translated into 0.37 monolayer/s. Therefore, approximately 2.7 s are needed to sputter one monolayer of Fe with adsorbed hydrogen.

The time needed for the complete formation of a hydrogen monolayer can be determined as well. If a sticking coefficient of 1 (each atom that hits the surface is also adsorbed) and the adsorption of one gas particle per atom on the surface are assumed, then Equation (6),

$$
\tau = \frac{4Dk_{\rm B}T}{pv_{\rm RMS}},\tag{6}
$$

can be applied. *τ* is the time needed for the monolayer formation, and *D* is the dose of gas particles that, according to our assumption, equals the surface density of Fe atoms $(1.9 \times 10^{19} \text{ atoms/m}^2)$. At a temperature of 1000 K and a pressure of 7×10^{-5} Pa, τ equals 4.3 s for the H_2 molecules and 3.0 s for the exclusively H atoms. Equation (6) is derived from Equation (7) [\[70\]](#page-13-21),

$$
D = j\tau,\tag{7}
$$

where *j* represents the current of the gas molecules and is defined via Equation (8) [\[70\]](#page-13-21) as

$$
j = \frac{\rho_{\rm G} v_{\rm RMS}}{4}.\tag{8}
$$

 v_{RMS} is defined by Equation (2), and ρ_G is the density of the gas in particles/m³ defined by Equation (9):

$$
\rho_{\rm G} = \frac{p}{k_{\rm B}T}.\tag{9}
$$

In the case of the recombinator in front of the hydrogen atom beam source being opened, Equation (6) does not describe the process of adsorption completely since gas is introduced via the point source. However, considering the distance from the source to the sample and the driving pressure of the atom beam source in relation to previously measured gas currents [\[71\]](#page-14-0), it can be concluded that the gas current in this system is even lower if the point source is considered instead of a random distribution. The latter gives a value of *j* in the range of 10¹⁸ m^{−2}s^{−1}, while calculation via approximation of the point source gives a value of *j* in the range of 10¹⁷ m⁻²s⁻¹. A lower current of gas molecules *j* results in an even longer monolayer formation time *τ*.

Furthermore, the assumption of dose *D* being equal to the surface density of the metal ions is not necessarily correct. A comparison with Equation (10),

$$
\tau = \frac{3.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Pa} \times \text{s}}{p} \tag{10}
$$

which represents an approximation of the time needed for gas molecules to form one monolayer, that is, the monolayer formation time [\[72\]](#page-14-1), is consequently sensible. The approximation in Equation (10) also assumes a sticking coefficient of 1. At a pressure of 7 × 10−⁵ Pa, *τ* as calculated via Equation (10) equals 4.6 s for both H² and H. The monolayer formation time in this case is slightly longer than that when assuming equal values for the gas dose and the surface density of metal ions. It is consequently possible to conclude that slightly more than one H atom is adsorbed into each Fe atom. Such a conclusion corresponds to the notable size difference between Fe and H atoms. Furthermore, although hydrogen is a relatively reactive gas and freshly sputtered surfaces are proven to adsorb gaseous species at a high rate [\[73\]](#page-14-2), the sticking coefficient of 1, especially in the case of $H₂$, is too high. Since the dissociation ratio of $H₂$ molecules reaches approximately 30%, a sticking coefficient below 1 can be expected during SIMS analyses combined with the use of the hydrogen atom beam source as well. The adsorption of H_2 and H onto the surface, as well as their dissociation and recombination, is also affected by the roughness and polycrystallinity of the surfaces analyzed. However, all the samples were, regardless of the state of the atom beam source, analyzed under the same conditions, so these effects were the same for molecular and atomic hydrogen.

The consequence of a lower sticking coefficient is an even longer monolayer formation time. When accounting for all of the above effects, it is possible to conclude with a relatively high degree of certainty that the monolayer formation time under the given conditions, especially concerning H_2 molecules, is notably longer (almost twofold) than the time needed for the removal of one monolayer of Fe with adsorbed hydrogen, which accounts for 2.7 s. Dissociation accelerates the monolayer formation through the adsorption of more reactive H atoms with a higher sticking coefficient, therefore creating a larger portion of the monolayer before the latter is sputtered away. Since molecule dissociation also causes an increase in the intensity of the metal hydride secondary ions, it can be concluded that a higher degree of hydrogen adsorption and a higher percentage of hydrogen monolayer formation increase the formation rate of hydride secondary ions. This correlation proves the importance of the adsorbed species and indicates that cluster secondary ions are preferentially formed on the surface or just above it during the sputtering process, with the mechanism being the recombination of sample particles with pre-adsorbed atoms and molecules.

5. Conclusions

A significant increase in the intensity of metal hydride secondary ions was observed after the dissociation of hydrogen molecules, with much lesser effects caused by heated but undissociated H_2 . The increased formation rate of the metal hydride secondary ions is a consequence of the higher reactivity of H atoms in comparison to H_2 molecules. H atoms are more easily adsorbed onto the freshly sputtered surface, with the consequence being faster hydrogen monolayer formation. The correlation of the monolayer formation and the sputter rate with the intensity of the hydride secondary ions indicates that cluster secondary ions are formed by the recombination of atoms and molecules from the sample with atoms and molecules adsorbed from the gas on the surface or just above it during the sputtering process. The portion of cluster secondary ions formed in the vacuum after sputtering is minimal, if present at all. However, these mechanistic explanations would benefit from additional studies to evaluate them further. The most straightforward approach, planned for future publication, is a comparison of the effects of different sputter rates, controlled via the sputtering parameters, and different monolayer formation times, controlled via the gas pressure.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.E., M.M. and J.K.; methodology, J.E., S.M. and R.Z.; formal analysis, J.E. and R.Z.; investigation, J.E., S.M. and R.Z.; resources, J.E., S.M., M.M., R.Z. and J.K.; data curation, J.E.; writing—original draft preparation, J.E. and S.M.; writing—review and editing, J.E., S.M., M.M., R.Z. and J.K.; visualization, J.E., S.M. and M.M.; supervision, R.Z. and J.K.; project administration, J.E., S.M. and J.K.; funding acquisition, S.M., M.M., R.Z. and J.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Slovenian Research and Innovation Agency (ARIS) through Program P2-0082 and Project PR-09757.

Data Availability Statement: The additional data in the form of the original SIMS spectra and depth profiles will be made available on request. The reason for this is the format in which the measurements are encoded. If the potential user does not have the appropriate software at their disposal, we will discuss what form they want the data in with them (for example, ASCII). Furthermore, the measurements are accompanied by written notes considering the changes in the output power of the hydrogen atom beam source and the closing or opening of the recombinator at specific times. Since the hydrogen atom beam source is not an original part of the TOF.SIMS 5 instrument, such information is not recognized or recorded by the IONTOF software SurfaceLab 7 or older. We consider explaining the details of the data interpretation to a potential user to be much more effective and preventative of unwanted misunderstandings, so we have decided to opt for this approach of including our help.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest. The funders had no role in the design, execution, interpretation, or writing of this study.

References

- 1. Vickerman, J.C. Prologue: ToF-SIMS—An evolving mass spectrometry of materials. In *ToF-SIMS: Materials Analysis by Mass Spectrometry*, 2nd ed.; Vickerman, D., Briggs, J.C., Eds.; IM Publications LLP and SurfaceSpectra Limited: Chichester, Manchester, UK, 2013; pp. 1–37.
- 2. van der Heide, P. Sputtering and Ion Formation. In *Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry—An Introduction to Principles Practices*; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2014; pp. 44–143.
- 3. van der Heide, P. The Art of Measurement. In *Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry—An Introduction to Principles Practices*; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2014; pp. 195–208.
- 4. Niehuis, E.; Grehl, T. Depth profiling of inorganic materials. In *ToF-SIMS: Materials Analysis by Mass Spectrometry*, 2nd ed.; Vickerman, D., Briggs, J.C., Eds.; IM Publications LLP and SurfaceSpectra Limited: Chichester, Manchester, UK, 2013; pp. 613–635.
- 5. van der Heide, P. Secondary Ion Yields. In *Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry—An Introduction to Principles Practices*; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2014; pp. 93–121.
- 6. Grasserbauer, M. Quantitative Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry. *J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand.* **1988**, *93*, 510–518. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.6028/jres.093.140)
- 7. Priebe, A.; Xie, T.; Bürki, G.; Pethö, L.; Michler, J. The matrix effect in TOF-SIMS analysis of two-element inorganic thin films. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **2020**, *35*, 1156–1166. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9JA00428A)
- 8. Karki, V.; Singh, M. Quantitative depth distribution analysis of elements in high alloy steel using MCs⁺-SIMS approach. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **2018**, *430*, 22–30. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2018.04.001)
- 9. Satoh, H.; Owari, M.; Nihei, Y. Relative sensitivity factors for submicron secondary ion mass spectrometry with gallium primary ion beam. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **1993**, *32*, 3616–3620. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1143/JJAP.32.3616)
- 10. MacPherson, G.J.; Beckett, J.; Kita, N.T.; Nagashima, K.; Krot, A.N.; Fournelle, J.; Kööp, L.; Hertwig, A.T.; Rose, T.R.; Davis, A.M. SIMS relative sensitivity factors for Al/Mg in synthetic and Madagascar hibonite. *Chem. Geol.* **2023**, *617*, 121272. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2022.121272)
- 11. Hongo, C.; Tomita, M.; Suzuki, M. Quantitative secondary ion mass spectrometry analysis of impurities in GaN and Al_xGa_{1-x}N films using molecular ions MCs⁺ and MCs₂⁺. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **1999**, 144–145, 306–309. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-4332(98)00815-0)
- 12. Jang, Y.J.; Kim, S.H.; Kim, K.J.; Kim, D.; Lee, Y. Comparison of quantitative analyses using SIMS, atom probe tomography, and femtosecond laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry with Si_{1−X}Ge_X and Fe_{1−X}Ni_X binary alloys. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B* **2020**, *38*, 034009. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1116/6.0000101)
- 13. Wucher, A. Laser post-ionisation—Fundamentals. In *ToF-SIMS: Materials Analysis by Mass Spectrometry*, 2nd ed.; Vickerman, D., Briggs, J.C., Eds.; IM Publications LLP and SurfaceSpectra Limited: Chichester, Manchester, UK, 2013; pp. 217–246.
- 14. Breuer, L.; Tian, H.; Wucher, A.; Winograd, N. Molecular SIMS Ionization Probability Studied with Laser Postionization: Influence of the Projectile Cluster. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2019**, *123*, 565–574. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b10245)
- 15. Breuer, L.; Popczun, N.J.; Wucher, A.; Winograd, N. Reducing the Matrix Effect in Molecular Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry by Laser Post-Ionization. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2017**, *121*, 19705–19715. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b02596)
- 16. Kopnarski, M.; Jenett, H. Electron-Impact (EI) Secondary Neutral Mass Spectrometry (SNMS). In *Surface and Thin Film Analysis*; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2011; pp. 161–177.
- 17. Wilson, R.; Van Den Berg, J.A.; Vickerman, J.C. Quantitative surface analysis using electron beam SNMS: Calibrations and applications. *Surf. Interface Anal.* **1989**, *14*, 393–400. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/sia.740140617)
- 18. Oechsner, H. Electron Gas SNMS. In *Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry SIMS V, Proceedings of the Fifth SIMS International Conference, Washington, DC, USA, 30 September–4 October 1985*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1986; pp. 70–74.
- 19. Debord, J.D.; Prabhakaran, A.; Eller, M.J.; Verkhoturov, S.V.; Delcorte, A.; Schweikert, E.A. Metal-assisted SIMS with hypervelocity gold cluster projectiles. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **2013**, *343–344*, 28–36. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2013.03.012)
- 20. Dunham, S.J.B.; Comi, T.J.; Ko, K.; Li, B.; Baig, N.F.; Morales-Soto, N.; Shrout, J.D.; Bohn, P.W.; Sweedler, J.V. Metal-assisted polyatomic SIMS and laser desorption/ionization for enhanced small molecule imaging of bacterial biofilms. *Biointerphases* **2016**, *11*, 02A325. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1116/1.4942884) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26945568)
- 21. Yamazaki, A.; Tobe, T.; Akiba, S.; Owari, M. Metal-assisted SIMS for three-dimensional analysis using shave-off section processing. *Surf. Interface Anal.* **2014**, *46*, 1215–1218. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/sia.5589)
- 22. Lanni, E.J.; Dunham, S.J.B.; Nemes, P.; Rubakhin, S.S.; Sweedler, J.V. Biomolecular imaging with a C₆₀-SIMS/MALDI dual ion source hybrid mass spectrometer: Instrumentation, matrix enhancement, and single cell analysis. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **2014**, *25*, 1897–1907. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-014-0978-9)
- 23. Locklear, J.E.; Guillermier, C.; Verkhoturov, S.V.; Schweikert, E.A. Matrix-enhanced cluster-SIMS. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2006**, *252*, 6624–6627. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2006.02.206)
- 24. Fitzgerald, J.J.D.; Kunnath, P.; Walker, A.V. Matrix-enhanced secondary ion mass spectrometry (ME SIMS) using room temperature ionic liquid matrices. *Anal. Chem.* **2010**, *82*, 4413–4419. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac100133c) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20462181)
- 25. Tian, H.; Wucher, A.; Winograd, N. Dynamic Reactive Ionization with Cluster Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **2016**, *27*, 285–292. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-015-1283-y)
- 26. Tian, H.; Wucher, A.; Winograd, N. Reducing the Matrix Effect in Organic Cluster SIMS Using Dynamic Reactive Ionization. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **2016**, *27*, 2014–2024. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13361-016-1492-z)
- 27. Priebe, A.; Utke, I.; Pethö, L.; Michler, J. Application of a Gas-Injection System during the FIB-TOF-SIMS Analysis—Influence of Water Vapor and Fluorine Gas on Secondary Ion Signals and Sputtering Rates. *Anal. Chem.* **2019**, *91*, 11712–11722. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b02287)
- 28. Kudriatsev, Y.; Villegas, A.; Gallardo, S.; Ramirez, G.; Asomoza, R.; Mishurnuy, V. Cesium ion sputtering with oxygen flooding: Experimental SIMS study of work function change. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2008**, *254*, 4961–4964. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2008.01.145)
- 29. Williams, P.; Franzreb, K.; Sobers, R.C.; Lörinčík, J. On the effect of oxygen flooding on the detection of noble gas ions in a SIMS instrument. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. Mater. Atoms.* **2010**, *268*, 2758–2765. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2010.05.037)
- 30. Ekar, J.; Kos, S.; Kovač, J. Quantitative aspects of ToF-SIMS analysis of metals and alloys in a UHV, O₂ and H₂ atmosphere. *Surf. Interfaces* **2024**, *49*, 104408. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfin.2024.104408)
- 31. Ekar, J.; Panjan, P.; Drev, S.; Kovač, J. ToF-SIMS Depth Profiling of Metal, Metal Oxide, and Alloy Multilayers in Atmospheres of H² , C2H² , CO, and O² . *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **2022**, *33*, 31–44. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jasms.1c00218)
- 32. Ekar, J.; Kovač, J. AFM Study of Roughness Development during ToF-SIMS Depth Profiling of Multilayers with a Cs⁺ Ion Beam in a H² Atmosphere. *Langmuir* **2022**, *38*, 12871–12880. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c01837)
- 33. Kozlica, D.K.; Ekar, J.; Kovaˇc, J.; Milošev, I. Roles of Chloride Ions in the Formation of Corrosion Protective Films on Copper. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2021**, *168*, 031504. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1149/1945-7111/abe34a)
- 34. Abe, Y.; Komatsu, M.; Okuhira, H. Estimation of ToF-SIMS information depth in micro-corrosion analysis. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2003**, *203–204*, 859–862. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-4332(02)00824-3)
- 35. Guo, Y.; Ali, R.; Zhang, X.; Tian, W.; Zhang, L.; Lu, H.; Jian, X.; Xie, J.; Deng, L. Raman and XPS depth profiling technique to investigate the corrosion behavior of FeSiAl alloy in salt spray environment. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2020**, *834*, 155075. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2020.155075)
- 36. Kia, A.M.; Haufe, N.; Esmaeili, S.; Mart, C.; Utriainen, M.; Puurunen, R.L.; Weinreich, W. ToF-SIMS 3D Analysis of Thin Films Deposited in High Aspect Ratio Structures via Atomic Layer Deposition and Chemical Vapor Deposition. *Nanomaterials* **2019**, *9*, 1035. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/nano9071035) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31331020)
- 37. Cwil, M.; Konarski, P.; Ciosek, J. Ion mass interferences and matrix effects on SIMS depth profiling of thin Ti/Si multilayer films induced by hydrogen, carbon and oxygen contaminations. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **2007**, *263*, 54–58. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2006.12.004)
- 38. Kovač, J.; Ekar, J.; Čekada, M.; Zajíčková, L.; Nečas, D.; Blahová, L.; Wang, J.Y.; Mozetič, M. Depth profiling of thin plasmapolymerized amine films using GDOES in an Ar-O² plasma. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2022**, *581*, 152292. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2021.152292)
- 39. Veith, L.; Böttner, J.; Vennemann, A.; Breitenstein, D.; Engelhard, C.; Meijer, J.; Estrela-Lopis, I.; Wiemann, M.; Hagenhoff, B. Detection of ZrO₂ Nanoparticles in Lung Tissue Sections by Time-of-Flight Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry and Ion Beam Microscopy. *Nanomaterials* **2018**, *8*, 44. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/nano8010044) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29342982)
- 40. Radnik, J.; Kersting, R.; Hagenhoff, B.; Bennet, F.; Ciornii, D.; Nymark, P.; Grafström, R.; Hodoroaba, V.D. Reliable Surface Analysis Data of Nanomaterials in Support of Risk Assessment Based on Minimum Information Requirements. *Nanomaterials* **2021**, *11*, 639. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/nano11030639) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33807515)
- 41. Wang, Z.; Charly, C.; Antoine, S.; Sandrine, Z.; Dimitri, M.; Philippe, M. XPS and ToF-SIMS Investigation of Native Oxides and Passive Films Formed on Nickel Alloys Containing Chromium and Molybdenum. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2021**, *168*, 041503. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1149/1945-7111/abf308)
- 42. Jovičević-Klug, P.; Lipovšek, N.; Jovičević-Klug, M.; Mrak, M.; Ekar, J.; Ambrožič, B.; Dražić, G.; Kovač, J.; Podgornik, B. Assessment of deep cryogenic heat-treatment impact on the microstructure and surface chemistry of austenitic stainless steel. *Surf. Interfaces* **2022**, *35*, 102456. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfin.2022.102456)
- 43. Omerzu, A.; Peter, R.; Jardas, D.; Turel, I.; Salamon, K.; Podlogar, M.; Vengust, D.; Badovinac, I.J.; Piltaver, I.K.; Petravic, M. Large enhancement of photocatalytic activity in ZnO thin films grown by plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition. *Surf. Interfaces* **2021**, *23*, 100984. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfin.2021.100984)
- 44. Lee, J.Y.; Kim, J.H.; Jung, Y.; Shin, J.C.; Lee, Y.; Kim, K.; Kim, N.; van der Zande, A.M.; Son, J.; Lee, G.H. Evolution of defect formation during atomically precise desulfurization of monolayer MoS₂. *Commun. Mater.* **2021**, 2, 80. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43246-021-00185-4)
- 45. Kim, B.; Kim, M.; Yoo, S.; Nam, S.K. Atomistic insights on hydrogen plasma treatment for stabilizing High-*k*/Si interface. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2022**, *593*, 153297. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2022.153297)
- 46. Marinov, D.; de Marneffe, J.F.; Smets, Q.; Arutchelvan, G.; Bal, K.M.; Voronina, E.; Rakhimova, T.; Mankelevich, Y.; El Kazzi, S.; Mehta, A.N.; et al. Reactive plasma cleaning and restoration of transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers. *npj 2D Mater. Appl.* **2021**, *5*, 17. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41699-020-00197-7)
- 47. Lee, D.H.; Kang, H.; Kim, Y.; Song, H.; Lee, H.; Choi, J.; Kim, K.T.; Song, Y.H. Plasma-assisted hydrogen generation: A mechanistic review. *Fuel Process. Technol.* **2023**, *247*, 107761. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2023.107761)
- 48. Abe, H.; Mizoguchi, H.; Eguchi, R.; Hosono, H. Exploration of heterogeneous catalyst for molecular hydrogen ortho-para conversion. *Exploration* **2024**, *4*, 20230040. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/EXP.20230040)
- 49. Adaikalam, K.; Vikraman, D.; Karuppasamy, K.; Kim, H.-S. Solar Hydrogen Production and Storage in Solid Form: Prospects for Materials and Methods. *Nanomaterials* **2024**, *14*, 1560. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/nano14191560) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/39404287)
- 50. Tschersich, K.G.; Fleischhauer, J.P.; Schuler, H. Design and characterization of a thermal hydrogen atom source. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2008**, *104*, 34908. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2963956)
- 51. Tschersich, K.G. Intensity of a source of atomic hydrogen based on a hot capillary. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2000**, *87*, 2565–2573. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.372220)
- 52. Tschersich, K.G.; Von Bonin, V. Formation of an atomic hydrogen beam by a hot capillary. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1998**, *84*, 4065–4070. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.368619)
- 53. Mozetič, M.; Drobnič, M.; Zalar, A. Recombination of neutral hydrogen atoms on AISI 304 stainless steel surface. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **1999**, *144–145*, 399–403. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-4332(98)00830-7)
- 54. Joo, J.B.; Dillon, R.; Lee, I.; Yin, Y.; Bardeen, C.J.; Zaera, F. Promotion of atomic hydrogen recombination as an alternative to electron trapping for the role of metals in the photocatalytic production of H₂. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2014, 111, 7942–7947. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1405365111)
- 55. Cong, Z.; Fu, X.; Liu, S.; Wang, W.; Liu, H.; Lei, G.; Zhao, B.; Wu, H.; Gao, C. Enhancing the organic solar cells performances by elevating cesium carboxylate content of graphene oxide based cathode interface layer. *Surf. Interfaces* **2022**, *31*, 102068. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfin.2022.102068)
- 56. Sode, M.; Schwarz-Selinger, T.; Jacob, W.; Kersten, H. Surface loss probability of atomic hydrogen for different electrode cover materials investigated in H² -Ar low-pressure plasmas. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2014**, *116*, 013302. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4886123)
- 57. Zyryanov, S.M.; Kovalev, A.S.; Lopaev, D.V.; Malykhin, E.M.; Rakhimov, A.T.; Rakhimova, T.V.; Koshelev, K.N.; Krivtsun, V.M. Loss of hydrogen atoms in H² plasma on the surfaces of materials used in EUV lithography. *Plasma Phys. Rep.* **2011**, *37*, 881–889. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063780X11090157)
- 58. Rutigliano, M.; Santoro, D.; Balat-Pichelin, M. Hydrogen atom recombination on tungsten at high temperature: Experiment and Molecular Dynamics Simulation. *Surf. Sci.* **2014**, *628*, 66–75. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susc.2014.05.007)
- 59. Wood, B.J.; Wise, H. Kinetics of hydrogen atom recombination on surfaces. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1961**, *65*, 1976–1983. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/j100828a015)
- 60. Paul, D.; Mozetic, M.; Zaplotnik, R.; Primc, G.; Đonlagić, D.; Vesel, A. A Review of Recombination Coefficients of Neutral Oxygen Atoms for Various Materials. *Materials* **2023**, *16*, 1774. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16051774)
- 61. Vlekken, J.; D'Olieslaeger, M.; Knuyt, G.; Vandervorst, W.; De Schepper, L. Investigation of the formation process of MCs⁺molecular ions during sputtering. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **2000**, *11*, 650–658. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1044-0305(00)00130-6) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10883821)
- 62. Honda, F.; Lancaster, G.M.; Fukuda, Y.; Rabalais, J.W. SIMS study of the mechanism of cluster formation during ion bombardment of alkali halides. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1978**, *69*, 4931–4937. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.436480)
- 63. Lancaster, G.M.; Honda, F.; Fukuda, Y.; Rabalais, J.W. Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry of Molecular Solids. Cluster Formation During Ion Bombardment of Frozen Water, Benzene, and Cyclohexane. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 1951–1958. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00502a004)
- 64. Saha, B.; Chakraborty, P. Secondary ion mass spectrometry of MCs*n* ⁺ molecular ion complexes. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B Beam Interact. Mater. Atoms.* **2007**, *258*, 218–225. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2006.12.172)
- 65. Vlekken, J.; Wu, T.D.; D'Olieslaeger, M.; Knuyt, G.; De Schepper, L.; Stals, L.M. Monte Carlo simulation of the formation of MCs⁺ molecular ions. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Process.* **1996**, *156*, 61–66. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1176(96)04424-2)
- 66. Loeb, L.B. *Kinetic Theory of Gases*; McGraw-Hill Book Company: New York, NY, USA, 1927; pp. 10–55.
- 67. Callister, W.D.; Rethwisch, D.G. *Materials Science and Engineering—An Introduction*; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2018; p. III.
- 68. Ismail, A.F.; Khulbe, K.C.; Matsuura, T. Fundamentals of Gas Permeation Through Membranes. In *Gas Separation Membranes: Polymeric and Inorganic*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2015; p. 14.
- 69. Mantina, M.; Chamberlin, A.C.; Valero, R.; Cramer, C.J.; Truhlar, D.G. Consistent van der Waals Radii for the Whole Main Group. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2009**, *113*, 5806–5812. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp8111556)
- 70. Lieberman, M.A.; Lichtenberg, A.J. Basic Plasma Equations and Equilibrium. In *Principles of Plasma Discharges and Materials Processing*, 2nd ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2005; pp. 23–42.
- 71. Markelj, S.; Schwarz-Selinger, T.; Založnik, A.; Kelemen, M.; Vavpetič, P.; Pelicon, P.; Hodille, E.; Grisolia, C. Deuterium retention in tungsten simultaneously damaged by high energy W ions and loaded by D atoms. *Nucl. Mater. Energy* **2017**, *12*, 169–174. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nme.2016.11.010)
- 72. Marquardt, N. Introduction to the principles of vacuum physics. *CERN Accel. Sch. Vac. Technol.* **1999**, 1–24. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5170/CERN-1999-005.1)
- 73. Sameshima, J.; Numao, S. Behavior and process of background signal formation of hydrogen, carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen in silicon wafers during depth profiling using dual-beam TOF-SIMS. *Surf. Interface Anal.* **2022**, *54*, 165–173. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/sia.7035)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.