



Vertex-primitive digraphs with large fixity

Marco Barbieri¹ · Primož Potočnik^{2,3}

Received: 4 October 2023 / Accepted: 8 March 2024 / Published online: 12 April 2024
© The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

The relative fixity of a digraph Γ is defined as the ratio between the largest number of vertices fixed by a nontrivial automorphism of Γ and the number of vertices of Γ . We characterize the vertex-primitive digraphs whose relative fixity is at least $\frac{1}{3}$, and we show that there are only finitely many vertex-primitive digraphs of bounded out-valency and relative fixity exceeding a positive constant.

Keywords Vertex-primitive · Fixity · Product action · Digraph · Graph

Mathematics Subject Classification 05C25 · 20B25

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we use the word *digraph* to denote a combinatorial structure Γ determined by a finite nonempty set of *vertices* $V\Gamma$ and a set of *arcs* $A\Gamma \subseteq V\Gamma \times V\Gamma$, sometimes also viewed as a binary relation on $V\Gamma$. If the set $A\Gamma$ is symmetric (when viewed as a binary relation on $V\Gamma$), then the digraph Γ is called a *graph* and unordered pairs $\{u, v\}$ such that (u, v) and (v, u) are arcs are called *edges* of Γ .

The *fixity* of a finite digraph Γ , denoted by $\text{Fix}(\Gamma)$, is defined as the largest number of vertices that are left fixed by a nontrivial automorphism of Γ , while the *relative fixity* of Γ is defined as the ratio

$$\text{RelFix}(\Gamma) = \frac{\text{Fix}(\Gamma)}{|V\Gamma|}.$$

✉ Marco Barbieri
marco.barbieri07@universitadipavia.it

Primož Potočnik
primoz.potocnik@fmf.uni-lj.si

¹ Dipartimento di Matematica “Felice Casorati”, University of Pavia, Via Ferrata 5, 27100 Pavia, Italy

² Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, Jadranska ulica 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

³ Institute of Mathematics, Physics, and Mechanics, Jadranska ulica 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

The notion of fixity of (di)graphs was introduced in a 2014 paper of Babai [1] (see also [2]), where several deep results regarding the fixity of strongly regular graphs were proved (these results were later used in his work on the graph isomorphism problem [3]). To convey the flavour of his work, let us mention [2, Theorem 1.6], which states that the relative fixity of a strongly regular graph (other than a complete bipartite graph or the line graph of a complete graph) is at most $\frac{7}{8}$.

The study of the fixity of graphs continued in a series of papers [4–6] by P. Spiga and coauthors (including the authors of the present paper), where the problem was studied in the context of vertex-transitive graphs of fixed valency.

Let us mention that fixity is a well studied parameter in the slightly more general context of permutation groups, where, instead of fixity, it is more common to consider the dual notion of *minimal degree* of a permutation group G , defined by

$$\mu(G) = \min_{g \in G \setminus \{1_G\}} |\text{supp}(g)|,$$

where $\text{supp}(g)$ denotes the set of all nonfixed points of $g \in G$. Note that the fixity of a digraph Γ and the minimal degree of its automorphism group $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ are related via the equality

$$\text{Fix}(\Gamma) = |V(\Gamma)| - \mu(\text{Aut}(\Gamma)).$$

A vast majority of papers on the topic of minimal degree of permutation groups (including the original work of Jordan on primitive permutation groups of minimal degree c for a fixed constant c) concentrates on *primitive permutation groups* (see, for example, [7–12]). It is thus natural to ask the following question:

Question 1 *What can be said about a digraph with large relative fixity whose automorphism group acts primitively on the vertex-set?*

In this paper, we answer this question in the setting where the relative fixity is more than $\frac{1}{3}$. In our analysis, we rely heavily on the recent classification of primitive permutation groups of minimal degree at most $\frac{2}{3}$ of the degree of the permutation group from [8]. The essence of our work thus consists of determining the digraphs upon which the permutation groups from this classification act upon.

Before stating our main result, let us first introduce a few graph theoretical concepts and constructions. First, recall that the *direct product of the family of digraphs* $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_r$ (sometimes also called the *tensor product* or the *categorical product*) is the digraph $\Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_r$ whose vertex-set is the Cartesian product $V\Gamma_1 \times \dots \times V\Gamma_r$ and whose arc-set is

$$A(\Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_r) = \{((u_1, \dots, u_r), (v_1, \dots, v_r)) \mid (u_i, v_i) \in A\Gamma_i \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, r\}\}.$$

Recall also that a *union of digraphs* Γ_1 and Γ_2 is the digraph whose vertex-set and arc-set are the sets $V\Gamma_1 \cup V\Gamma_2$ and $A\Gamma_1 \cup A\Gamma_2$, respectively. Note that when Γ_1 and Γ_2 share the same vertex-set, their union is then obtained simply by taking the union of their arc-sets. Further, for a positive integer m , let \mathbf{L}_m and \mathbf{K}_m denote the *loop graph* and the *complete graph* on a vertex-set V of cardinality m and with arc-sets $\{(v, v) \mid v \in V\}$ and $\{(u, v) \mid u, v \in V, u \neq v\}$, respectively.

We now have all the ingredients needed to present a construction yielding the digraph appearing in our main result.

Construction 2 *Let $\mathcal{G} = \{\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k\}$ be a list of $k+1$ pairwise distinct digraphs sharing the same vertex-set Δ . Further, let r be a positive integer, and let \mathcal{J} be a subset of the r -fold*

Cartesian power X^r , where $X = \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$. Given this input, construct the digraph

$$\mathcal{P}(r, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}) = \bigcup_{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r) \in \mathcal{J}} \Gamma_{j_1} \times \Gamma_{j_2} \times \dots \times \Gamma_{j_r}$$

and call it the merged product action digraph.

Our interest lies in applying Construction 2 with \mathcal{G} being the set of all orbital digraphs for a primitive permutation group. In this case, we may assume that Γ_0 is the diagonal orbital digraph, that is, that Γ_0 is the loop graph L_m where $m = |\Delta|$ is the loop graph. Meanwhile the union of the remaining digraphs in \mathcal{G} is the complete graph, that is,

$$\mathbf{K}_m = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \Gamma_i.$$

For the sake of a simpler and more compact presentation, we assume that this property holds for all the families \mathcal{G} .

Remark 3 We give some example to give a flavour of what can be obtained using Construction 2.

If $r = 1$, then $\mathcal{P}(1, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J})$ is simply the union of some digraphs from the set \mathcal{G} .

If $r = 2$ and $\mathcal{J} = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$, then $\mathcal{P}(2, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}) = \mathbf{L}_m \times \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_1 \times \mathbf{L}_m$, which is, in fact, the Cartesian product $\Gamma_1 \square \Gamma_1$. (This product is sometimes called the *box product*, and we refer to [13] for its definition.)

More generally, if $\mathcal{J} = \{e_i \mid i \in \{1, \dots, r\}\}$, where $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the r -tuple with 1 in the i -th component and zeroes elsewhere, then $\mathcal{P}(r, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}) = (\Gamma_1)^{\square r}$, the r -th Cartesian power of the graph $\Gamma_1 \in \mathcal{G}$. More specifically, if $\Gamma_1 = \mathbf{K}_m$ and \mathcal{J} is as above, then $\mathcal{P}(r, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J})$ is the Hamming graph $\mathbf{H}(r, m) = \mathbf{K}_m^{\square r}$.

While \mathcal{J} can be an arbitrary set of r -tuples in X^r , we will be mostly interested in the case where $\mathcal{J} \subseteq X^r$ is invariant under the induced action of some permutation group $H \leq \text{Sym}(r)$ on the set X^r given by the rule

$$(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r)^h = (j_{1h^{-1}}, j_{2h^{-1}}, \dots, j_{rh^{-1}}).$$

(Throughout this paper, in the indices, we choose to write ih^{-1} instead of $i^{h^{-1}}$ for improved legibility.) We shall say that \mathcal{J} is an H -invariant subset of X^r in this case. A subset $\mathcal{J} \subseteq X^r$ which is H -invariant for some transitive subgroup of $\text{Sym}(r)$ will be called *homogeneous*.

The last example of Remark 3 justifies the introduction of the following new family of graphs.

Definition 4 Let r, m be two positive integers, and let $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^r$ be a homogeneous set. The graph $\mathcal{P}(r, \{\mathbf{L}_m, \mathbf{K}_m\}, \mathcal{J})$ is called *generalised Hamming graph* and is denoted by $\mathbf{H}(r, m, \mathcal{J})$.

Remark 5 The generalised Hamming graphs $\mathbf{H}(r, m, \mathcal{J})$, where \mathcal{J} is H -invariant, are precisely the unions of orbital digraphs for the group $\text{Sym}(m)$ wr H endowed with the product action (see Lemma 15 for further details).

Since the union of all nondiagonal orbital digraphs is \mathbf{K}_{m^r} , all the complete graphs are generalised Hamming graphs. Furthermore, \mathbf{K}_{m^r} can be explicitly build from Definition 4 upon choosing \mathcal{J} containing only the vectors with all entries equal to 1.

A homogeneous set \mathcal{J} is said to be *Hamming* if,

$$\mathcal{J} = \bigcup_{h \in H} \left((X \setminus \{0\})^a \times X^b \times \{0\}^{r-a-b} \right)^h,$$

for some nonnegative integers a, b such that $a + b \leq r$ and a transitive group $H \leq \text{Sym}(r)$. It is said to be *non-Hamming* otherwise.

Remark 6 Let $\mathcal{P}(r, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J})$ be a merged product action digraph where \mathcal{J} is a Hamming set. Since the union of all the digraphs that are not labelled by 0 is \mathbf{K}_m by assumption, upon switching the order of the unions and of the direct products in Construction 2, we obtain that every factor of $\mathcal{P}(r, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J})$ (which we can now think of as a direct product of digraphs) is isomorphic either to \mathbf{L}_m , to \mathbf{K}_m or to $\mathbf{L}_m \cup \mathbf{K}_m$. We can be more precise with this observation. Build $\mathcal{J}' \subseteq \{0, 1\}^r$ from \mathcal{J} by substituting any nonzero entry of a sequence in \mathcal{J} with 1. Then

$$\mathcal{P}(r, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{P}(r, \{\mathbf{L}_m, \mathbf{K}_m\}, \mathcal{J}').$$

In particular, a generalised Hamming graph arises from Construction 2 if and only if \mathcal{J} is a Hamming set.

Remark 7 The ordering of the Cartesian components in the definition of a Hamming set does not matter: indeed, a permutation of the components corresponds to a conjugation of the group H in $\text{Sym}(r)$, thus defining isomorphic digraphs in Construction 2.

We are ready to state our main result.

Theorem A *Let Γ be a finite vertex-primitive digraph with at least one arc. Then*

$$\text{RelFix}(\Gamma) > \frac{1}{3}$$

if and only if one of the following occurs:

- (i) Γ is a generalised Hamming graph $\mathbf{H}(r, m, \mathcal{J})$, with $m \geq 4$, and, if m is optimal in the sense of Definition 16, then

$$\text{RelFix}(\Gamma) = 1 - \frac{2}{m};$$

- (ii) Γ is a merged product action graph $\mathcal{P}(r, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J})$, where $r \geq 1$, where \mathcal{J} is a non-Hamming subset of X^r with $X = \{0, 1, \dots, |\mathcal{G}| - 1\}$, and where \mathcal{G} is as in one of the following:

- (a) $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{J}(m, k, i) \mid i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}\}$ is the family of distance- i Johnson graphs, where k, m are fixed integers such that $k \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2k + 2$ (see Sect. 4.2 for details), and

$$\text{RelFix}(\Gamma) = 1 - \frac{2k(m - k)}{m(m - 1)};$$

- (b) $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{QJ}(2m, m, i) \mid i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor m/2 \rfloor\}\}$ is the family of squashed distance- i Johnson graphs, where m is a fixed integer with $m \geq 4$ (see Sect. 4.3 for details), and

$$\text{RelFix}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2m - 1} \right);$$

(c) $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{L}_m, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2\}$, where Γ_1 is a strongly regular graph listed in Sect. 4.4, Γ_2 is its complement, and

$$\text{RelFix}(\Gamma) = \text{RelFix}(\Gamma_1)$$

(the relative fixities are collected in Table 1).

Remark 8 Although we do not assume that a vertex-primitive digraph Γ in Theorem A is a graph, the assumption of large relative fixity forces it to be such. In other words, every vertex-primitive digraph of relative fixity larger than $\frac{1}{3}$ is a graph.

Remark 9 The relative fixity can be arbitrarily close to 1. Indeed, this can be achieved by choosing a generalised Hamming graph $\mathbf{H}(r, m, \mathcal{J})$ with m arbitrarily large.

By analysing the vertex-primitive graphs of relative fixity more than $\frac{1}{3}$, one can notice that the out-valency of these graphs must grow as the number of vertices grows. More explicitly, a careful inspection of the families in Theorem A leads to the following result, the proof of which we leave out.

Remark 10 There exists a constant C such that every finite connected vertex-primitive digraph Γ with

$$\text{RelFix}(\Gamma) > \frac{1}{3}$$

satisfies

$$\text{val}(\Gamma) \geq C \log(|V\Gamma|).$$

Observe that, for the Hamming graphs $\mathbf{H}(r, m)$ with $m \geq 4$, we have that

$$\text{val}(\mathbf{H}(r, m)) = r(m - 1) \geq r \log(m) = \log(|V\mathbf{H}(r, m)|).$$

In particular, as both expressions are linear in r , a logarithmic bound in Remark 10 is the best that can be achieved.

One of the consequences of Remark 10 is that for every positive integer d there exist only finitely many connected vertex-primitive digraphs of out-valency at most d and relative fixity exceeding $\frac{1}{3}$.

As Theorem B and Corollary C show, this remains to be true if $\frac{1}{3}$ is substituted by an arbitrary positive constant. We thank P. Spiga for providing us with the main ideas used in the proof.

Theorem B Let α and β be two positive constants, and let \mathcal{F} be a family of quasiprimitive permutation groups G on Ω satisfying:

- (a) $\mu(G) \leq (1 - \alpha)|\Omega|$; and
- (b) $|G_\omega| \leq \beta$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$.

Then \mathcal{F} is a finite family.

Corollary C Let α be a positive constant, and let d be a positive integer. There are only finitely many vertex-primitive digraphs of out-valency at most d and relative fixity exceeding α .

The proof of Theorem A can be found in Sect. 5, while Theorem B and Corollary C are proved in Sect. 6.

2 Basic concepts and notations

2.1 Product action

We start by recalling the definition of a wreath product and its product action. By doing so, we also settle the notation for the rest of the paper. We refer to [14, Section 2.6 and 2.7] for further details.

Let H be a permutation group on a finite set Ω . Suppose that $r = |\Omega|$, and, without loss of generality, identify Ω with the set $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. For an arbitrary set X , we may define a *permutation action of H of rank r over X* as the action of H on the set X^r given by the rule

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)^h = (x_{1h^{-1}}, x_{2h^{-1}}, \dots, x_{rh^{-1}}).$$

Let K be a permutation group on a set Δ . We can consider the permutation action of H of rank r over K by letting

$$(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)^h = (k_{1h^{-1}}, k_{2h^{-1}}, \dots, k_{rh^{-1}}) \text{ for all } (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) \in K^r, h \in H.$$

If we denote by ϑ the homomorphism $H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(K^r)$ corresponding to this action, then the *wreath product of K by H* , in symbols $K \text{ wr } H$, is the semidirect product $K^r \rtimes_{\vartheta} H$. We call K^r the *base group*, and H the *top group* of this wreath product.

Note that the base and the top group are both embedded into $K \text{ wr } H$ via the monomorphisms

$$(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) \mapsto ((k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r), 1_H)$$

and

$$h \mapsto ((1_K, 1_K, \dots, 1_K), h).$$

In this way, we may view the base and the top group as subgroups of the wreath product and identify an element $((k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r), h) \in K \text{ wr } H$ with the product $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)h$ of $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) \in K^r$ and $h \in H$ (both viewed as elements of the group $K \text{ wr } H$).

The wreath product $K \text{ wr } H$ can be endowed with an action on Δ^r by letting

$$(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_r)^{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)h} = \left(\delta_1^{k_1}, \delta_2^{k_2}, \dots, \delta_r^{k_r} \right)^h = \left(\delta_{1h^{-1}}^{k_1}, \delta_{2h^{-1}}^{k_2}, \dots, \delta_{rh^{-1}}^{k_r} \right),$$

for all $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_r) \in \Delta^r, (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) \in K^r$, and $h \in H$. We call this action the *product action of the wreath product $K \text{ wr } H$ on Δ^r* .

We recall the condition for a wreath product endowed with product action to be primitive.

Lemma 11 [14, Lemma 2.7A] *Let K be a permutation group on Δ and let H be a permutation group on Ω , with $|\Omega| = r$. The wreath product $K \text{ wr } H$ endowed with the product action on Δ^r is primitive if and only if H is transitive on Ω and K is primitive but not regular on Δ .*

We now introduce some notation to deal with any subgroup G of $\text{Sym}(\Delta) \text{ wr } \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ endowed with product action on Δ^r .

By abuse of notation, we identify the set Δ with

$$\{ \{\delta\} \times \Delta^{r-1} \mid \delta \in \Delta \}$$

via the mapping $\delta \mapsto \{\delta\} \times \Delta^{r-1}$. We denote by G_{Δ}^{Δ} the permutation group that G_{Δ} induces on Δ , that is,

$$G_{\Delta}^{\Delta} \cong G_{\Delta}/G_{(\Delta)}.$$

(Recall that $G_{(\Delta)}$ denotes the pointwise stabilizer of Δ .)

Moreover, recalling that every element of G can be written uniquely as gh , for some $g \in \text{Sym}(\Delta)^r$ and some $h \in \text{Sym}(\Omega)$, we can define the group homomorphism

$$\psi : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\Omega), \quad gh \mapsto h.$$

This map defines a new permutational representation of G acting on Ω . We denote by G^{Ω} the permutation group corresponding to the faithful action that G defines on Ω , that is,

$$G^{\Omega} \cong G/\ker(\psi).$$

Recall that a primitive group G , according to the O’Nan–Scott classification (see, for instance, [15, III(b)(i)]), is said to be of *product action type* if there exists a transitive group $H \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ and a primitive almost simple group $K \leq \text{Sym}(\Delta)$ with socle T such that, for some integer $r \geq 2$,

$$T^r \leq G \leq K \text{ wr } H,$$

where T^r is the socle of G , thus contained in the base group K^r . A detailed description of primitive groups of product action type was given by Kovács in [16].

Remark 12 By [17, Theorem 1.1 (b)], a group G of product action type is permutationally isomorphic to a subgroup of $G_{\Delta}^{\Delta} \text{ wr } G^{\Omega}$. Therefore, up to a conjugation in $\text{Sym}(\Delta^r)$, the group K can always be chosen as G_{Δ}^{Δ} , and H as G^{Ω} .

2.2 Groups acting on digraphs

We give a short summary of standard notations for digraphs and graphs.

If a subgroup $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is primitive on $V\Gamma$, we say that Γ is *G-vertex-primitive*. In a similar way, if G is transitive on $A\Gamma$, we say that Γ is *G-arc-transitive*. The analogue notions can be defined for graphs, and when $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ we drop the prefix G .

For any vertex $v \in V\Gamma$, we denote by $\Gamma(v)$ its *out-neighbourhood*, that is, the set of vertices $u \in \Gamma$ such that $(v, u) \in A\Gamma$. The size of the out-neighbourhood of a vertex v , $|\Gamma(v)|$, is called *out-valency of v*. If Γ is *G-vertex-primitive*, for some group G , then the out-valency is independent of the choice of the vertex v , thus we will refer to it as the *out-valency of Γ* , in symbols $\text{val}(\Gamma)$. Whenever Γ is a graph, *neighbourhood* and *valency* can be defined in the same way.

An *orbital for G* is an orbit of G in its induced action on $\Omega \times \Omega$. An *orbital digraphs for G* is a digraph whose vertex-set is Ω , and whose arc-set is an orbital for G . An example of orbital for G is the *diagonal orbital* $(\omega, \omega)^G$, whose corresponding disconnected orbital graph is called *diagonal orbital graph*. We refer to [14, Section 3.2] for further details.

Note that an orbital graph for G is always *G-arc-transitive*, and, conversely, every *G-arc-transitive* digraph is an orbital graph for G . Furthermore, if $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is a group of automorphism for a given digraph Γ , then Γ is a union of orbitals for G acting on $V\Gamma$.

The number of distinct orbital digraphs for G is called the *permutational rank of G*. In particular, 2-transitive permutation groups are precisely those of permutational rank 2.

If $A \subseteq \Omega \times \Omega$ is an orbital for G , then so is the set $A^* = \{(\beta, \alpha) \mid (\alpha, \beta) \in A\}$. If $A = A^*$, then the orbital A is called *self-paired*. Similarly, an orbital digraph is *self-paired* if its arc-set is a self-paired orbital. Note that any G -arc-transitive graph is obtained from a self-paired orbital digraph for G .

3 Orbital digraphs for wreath products in product action

We are interested in reconstructing the orbital digraphs for a wreath product $K \text{ wr } H$ endowed with product action once the orbital digraphs for K are known.

Lemma 13 *Let $K \text{ wr } H$ be a wreath product endowed with the product action on Δ^r , and let*

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k\}$$

be the complete list of the orbital digraphs for K . Then any orbital digraph for $K \text{ wr } H$ is a merged product action digraph of the form

$$\mathcal{P} \left(r, \mathcal{G}, (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r)^H \right),$$

for a sequence of indices $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r) \in X^r$, where $X = \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$.

Proof Let Γ be an orbital digraph for $K \text{ wr } H$. Suppose that $(u, v) \in A\Gamma$, where $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r)$ and $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r)$. We aim to compute the $K \text{ wr } H$ -orbit of (u, v) , and, in doing so, proving that there is a sequence of indices $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r) \in X^r$ such that

$$A\Gamma = A\mathcal{P} \left(r, \mathcal{G}, (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r)^H \right).$$

We start by computing the K^r -orbit of (u, v) (where by K^r we refer to the base group of $K \text{ wr } H$). Since this action is componentwise, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (u, v)^{K^r} &= \left\{ \left((u_1^{k_1}, u_2^{k_2}, \dots, u_r^{k_r}), (v_1^{k_1}, v_2^{k_2}, \dots, v_r^{k_r}) \right) \mid (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) \in K^r \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left((u'_1, \dots, u'_r), (v'_1, \dots, v'_r) \right) \mid (u'_i, v'_i) \in (u_i, v_i)^K \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, r\} \right\} \\ &= A \left(\Gamma_{j_1} \times \Gamma_{j_2} \times \dots \times \Gamma_{j_r} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that there is a unique index $j_i \in X$ such that (u_i, v_i) is an arc of Γ_{j_i} . (Recall that orbital graphs partition the arc-set of the complete digraph on Δ .)

The top group H acts by permuting the components, so that

$$(u, v)^{K \text{ wr } H} = \bigcup_{(j'_1, j'_2, \dots, j'_r) \in (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r)^H} A \left(\Gamma_{j'_1} \times \Gamma_{j'_2} \times \dots \times \Gamma_{j'_r} \right)$$

Therefore, the arc-sets of Γ and $\mathcal{P} \left(r, \mathcal{G}, (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r)^H \right)$ coincide.

As their vertex-sets are both Δ^r , the proof is complete. □

Now that we know how to build the orbital digraphs for a permutation group in product action, we ask ourselves what can we say about the orbital digraphs of its subgroups.

Theorem 14 *Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Delta) \text{ wr } \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ be a primitive group of product action type, and let T be the socle of G_Δ^Δ . Suppose that T and G_Δ^Δ share the same orbital digraphs. Then the orbital digraphs for G coincide with the orbital digraphs for $G_\Delta^\Delta \text{ wr } G^\Omega$, or, equivalently, for $T \text{ wr } G^\Omega$.*

Proof Since G is a primitive group of product action type, we can suppose that G is a subgroup of $G_{\Delta}^{\Delta} \text{wr} G^{\Omega}$ with socle T^r , where $r = |\Omega|$. Further, we set $K = G_{\Delta}^{\Delta}$, $H = G^{\Omega}$.

As $G \leq K \text{ wr} H$, the partition of $\Delta^r \times \Delta^r$ in arc-sets of orbital digraphs for $K \text{ wr} H$ is coarser than the one for G . Hence, our aim is to show that a generic orbital digraph for $K \text{ wr} H$ is also an orbital digraph for G .

Let

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k\}$$

be the complete list of orbital digraphs for T acting on Δ , and let $X = \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$. Observe that the set of orbital digraphs for T^r can be identified with the Cartesian product of r copies of \mathcal{G} : indeed, we can bijectively map the generic orbital digraph for T^r , say $\Gamma_{j_1} \times \Gamma_{j_2} \times \dots \times \Gamma_{j_r}$, for some $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r) \in X^r$, to the generic r -tuple of the Cartesian product \mathcal{G}^r of the form $(\Gamma_{j_1}, \Gamma_{j_2}, \dots, \Gamma_{j_r})$. This point of view explains why H can act on the set of orbital digraphs for T^r with its action of rank r .

Observe that the set of orbital digraphs for T^r is equal to the set of orbital digraphs for K^r . Moreover, T^r is a subgroup of G , and K^r is a subgroup of $K \text{ wr} H$. Thus the orbital digraphs for G and for $K \text{ wr} H$ are obtained as a suitable unions of the elements of \mathcal{G}^r .

By Lemma 13, the orbital digraphs for $K \text{ wr} H$ are of the form

$$\bigcup_{(j'_1, j'_2, \dots, j'_r) \in (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r)^H} \Gamma_{j'_1} \times \Gamma_{j'_2} \times \dots \times \Gamma_{j'_r},$$

for some $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r) \in X^r$. Aiming for a contradiction, suppose that

$$\Gamma_{j_1} \times \Gamma_{j_2} \times \dots \times \Gamma_{j_r} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{i_1} \times \Gamma_{i_2} \times \dots \times \Gamma_{i_r}$$

are two distinct orbital digraphs for T^r that are merged under the action of top group H , but they are not under the action of G . The first portion of the assumption yields that there is an element $h \in H$ such that

$$(\Gamma_{j_1} \times \Gamma_{j_2} \times \dots \times \Gamma_{j_r})^h = \Gamma_{i_1} \times \Gamma_{i_2} \times \dots \times \Gamma_{i_r}.$$

By definition of $H = G^{\Omega}$, there is an element in G of the form

$$(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r)h \in G.$$

Recalling that, for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, $g_i \in K$, we get

$$(\Gamma_{j_1} \times \Gamma_{j_2} \times \dots \times \Gamma_{j_r})^{(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r)h} = \Gamma_{i_1} \times \Gamma_{i_2} \times \dots \times \Gamma_{i_r}.$$

Therefore, the merging among these orbital graphs is also realised under the action of G , a contradiction.

By the initial remark, the proof is complete. □

4 Daily specials

The aim of this section is to give a descriptions of the digraphs appearing in Theorem A.

4.1 Generalised Hamming graphs

In this section, we clarify Remark 5 and we compute the relative fixity of the generalised Hamming graphs.

Lemma 15 *Let $H \leq \text{Sym}(r)$ be a transitive permutation group, let $G = \text{Alt}(\Delta) \text{ wr } H$ endowed with the product action on Δ^r , and let Γ be a digraph with vertex-set $V\Gamma = \Delta^r$. Then $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ if and only if Γ is a generalised Hamming graph $\mathbf{H}(r, m, \mathcal{J})$, where $|\Delta| = m$ and $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^r$ is H -invariant.*

Proof By applying Lemma 13 to the group G , the fact that the orbital digraphs of $\text{Alt}(\Delta)$ are $\mathbf{L}_{|\Delta|}$ and $\mathbf{K}_{|\Delta|}$, and Definition 4, we obtain that Γ is a generalised Hamming graph $\mathbf{H}(r, m, \mathcal{J})$, with the prescribed properties that $|\Delta| = m$ and $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^r$ is H -invariant. This completes the proof of the left-to-right direction of the equivalence.

Let us now deal with the converse implication. Let $\Gamma = \mathbf{H}(r, m, \mathcal{J})$, where $|\Delta| = m$ and $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^r$ is H -invariant. Remark 7 gives us the opportunity of writing a normal form for the Hamming graph $\mathbf{H}(r, m, \mathcal{J})$. Indeed, suppose to reorder the entries of the vectors in \mathcal{J} so that the representative of each orbit is a vector whose first entries are all 1, while the last ones are all 0. More explicitly, up to reordering of the Cartesian components, there are two nonnegative integers a, b with $a + b \leq r$ such that

$$\mathcal{J} = \left\{ \sum_{i=a}^{a+j} e_i \mid j \in \{0, \dots, b\} \right\}^H,$$

where e_i denotes the r -tuple whose only nonzero entry is in the i -th position. Substituting this \mathcal{J} in Construction 2 and Definition 4, we obtain

$$\mathbf{H}(r, m, \mathcal{J}) = \bigcup_{h \in H} \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^b \mathbf{K}_m^{a+i} \times \mathbf{L}_m^{r-a-i} \right)^h = \bigcup_{i=0}^b \left(\bigcup_{h \in H} (\mathbf{K}_m^{a+i} \times \mathbf{L}_m^{r-a-i})^h \right).$$

Observe that the automorphism group of a Cartesian product of digraphs contains the Cartesian product of the automorphism group of the factors. Since the automorphism groups of $\mathbf{K}_m, \mathbf{L}_m$ or $\mathbf{K}_m \cup \mathbf{L}_m$ are isomorphic to $\text{Sym}(m)$, the previous observation implies

$$\text{Alt}(m)^r \leq \text{Sym}(m)^r \leq \text{Aut}(\mathbf{H}(r, m, \mathcal{J})).$$

Moreover, as \mathcal{J} is H -invariant, the action of rank r that H induces on Δ^r preserves the arc-set of $\mathbf{H}(r, m, \mathcal{J})$. As G is generated by $\text{Alt}(m)^r$ and H in their actions on Δ^r , this implies that $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, as claimed. □

For the sake of clarity, we would like to stress the fact that, in Lemma 15, the parameters m and r are not unique and they do not depend on Γ alone, but rather they depend on the Cartesian product Δ^r which G preserves. It follows that multiple groups with such property for distinct Cartesian products can be found in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. On the other hand, note that, for every Γ generalised Hamming graph, as $|V\Gamma|$ is finite, the integer

$$\mathbf{m}(\Gamma) := \max \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid \Gamma \text{ is isomorphic to } \mathbf{H}(m, r, \mathcal{J})\}$$

is well-defined. We would like to always choose m in such a way, as this guarantees that

$$\text{Alt}(m)^r \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \leq \text{Sym}(m) \text{ wr } \text{Sym}(r).$$

Definition 16 We say that the parameter m of a generalised Hamming graph $\mathbf{H}(m, r, \mathcal{J})$ is *optimal* whenever

$$m = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{H}(m, r, \mathcal{J})).$$

Instead of directly computing the relative fixity of $\mathbf{H}(r, m, \mathcal{J})$, we prove the following, slightly stronger, result.

Lemma 17 *Let K wr H be a wreath product endowed with the product action on Δ^r , and let Γ be a digraph with vertex set Δ^r . Suppose that*

$$K \text{ wr } H \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \leq \text{Sym}(\Delta) \text{ wr } \text{Sym}(r).$$

Then

$$\text{RelFix}(\Gamma) = 1 - \frac{\mu(\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \text{Sym}(\Delta)^r)}{|\mathbf{V}\Gamma|}.$$

In particular, whenever the parameter m is optimal in the sense of Definition 16, the relative fixity of a generalised Hamming graph is

$$\text{RelFix}(\mathbf{H}(r, m, \mathcal{J})) = 1 - \frac{2}{m}.$$

Proof For simplicity sake, let us write $|\Delta| = m$. We claim that the automorphism that realizes the minimal support size must be contained in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \text{Sym}(m)^r$ (where $\text{Sym}(m)^r$ is the base group of $\text{Sym}(m) \text{ wr } \text{Sym}(r)$). Indeed, upon choosing an element of minimal support size in $K \times \{\text{id}\} \times \dots \times \{\text{id}\}$ and a transposition from the top group in $\text{Sym}(m) \text{ wr } \text{Sym}(r)$, we obtain the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \cap \text{Sym}(m)^r) &\leq \mu(K)m^{r-1} \\ &\leq (m-1)m^{r-1} \\ &\leq \min\{|\text{supp}(g)| \mid g \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \setminus \text{Sym}(m)^r\} \end{aligned}$$

This is enough to prove the first portion of the statement.

Since $\mathbf{H}(r, m, \mathcal{J})$ could be realized by multiple choices of the parameters m and r , we choose m to be optimal in the sense of Definition 16. This assumption guarantees that the automorphism group of the graph embeds into $\text{Sym}(m) \text{ wr } \text{Sym}(r)$. In particular, it is enough to look at the action of $\text{Sym}(m)$ on a single component. Thus, upon choosing a transposition in $\text{Sym}(m) \times \{\text{id}\} \times \dots \times \{\text{id}\}$, we obtain

$$\text{RelFix}(\mathbf{H}(r, m, \mathcal{J})) = 1 - \frac{2m^{r-1}}{m^r} = 1 - \frac{2}{m}. \quad \square$$

4.2 Distance- i Johnson graphs

The nomenclature dealing with possible generalizations of the Johnson graph is as lush as confusing. In this paper, we are adopting the one from [18].

Let m, k, i be integers such that $m \geq 1, 1 \leq k \leq m$ and $0 \leq i \leq k$. A *distance- i Johnson graph*, denoted by $\mathbf{J}(m, k, i)$ is a graph whose vertex-set is the family of k -subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, and such that two k -subsets, say X and Y , are adjacent whenever $|X \cap Y| = k - i$. The usual Johnson graph is then $\mathbf{J}(m, k, 1)$, and two k -subsets X and Y of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ are adjacent in $\mathbf{J}(m, k, i)$ if and only if they are at distance i in $\mathbf{J}(m, k, 1)$.

Lemma 18 *Let m, k be two positive integers such that $m \geq 2k + 2$. The orbital digraphs of $\text{Alt}(m)$ and of $\text{Sym}(m)$ in their action on k -subsets are the distance- i Johnson graphs $\mathbf{J}(m, k, i)$, one for each choice of $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$.*

Proof Suppose that two k -subsets X and Y of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ are such that (X, Y) is an arc of the considered orbital digraph and $|X \cap Y| = k - i$, for a nonnegative integer $i \leq k$. Since $\text{Alt}(m)$ is $(m - 2)$ -transitive and $2k \leq m - 2$, the $\text{Alt}(m)$ -orbit of the arc (X, Y) contains all the pairs (Z, W) , where Z and W are k -subsets with $|Z \cap W| = k - i$. Therefore, the statement is true for the alternating group. The same proof can be repeated *verbatim* for $\text{Sym}(m)$. \square

Lemma 19 *Let m, k, i be three positive integers such that $m \geq 2k + 2$ and $i < k$. Then the relative fixity of the distance- i Johnson graphs $\mathbf{J}(m, k, i)$ is*

$$\text{RelFix}(\mathbf{J}(m, k, i)) = 1 - \frac{2k(m - k)}{m(m - 1)}.$$

Proof Under our assumption, by [19, Theorem 2 (a)], the automorphism group of $\mathbf{J}(m, k, i)$ is $\text{Sym}(m)$ in its action on k subsets. Its minimal degree is achieved by any transposition (see [9, Section 1]), where

$$\mu(\text{Sym}(m)) = 2 \binom{m - 2}{k - 1}.$$

Hence, we find that

$$\text{RelFix}(\mathbf{J}(m, k, i)) = 1 - \frac{2k(m - k)}{m(m - 1)}. \quad \square$$

4.3 Squashed distance- i Johnson graphs

A usual construction in the realm of distance transitive graphs consist in obtaining smaller example starting from a distance transitive graph and identifying vertices at maximal distance. We need to apply this idea to a family of generalised Johnson graphs.

Consider the distance- i Johnson graph $\mathbf{J}(2m, m, i)$, for some integers m and i , with m positive and $0 \leq i \leq m$. We say that two vertices of $\mathbf{J}(2m, m, i)$ are *disjoint* if they have empty intersection as m -subset. Observe that being disjoint is an equivalence relation, and our definition coincides with the usual notion of antipodal for $\mathbf{J}(2m, m, 1)$ seen as a metric space. We can build a new graph $\mathbf{QJ}(2m, m, i)$ whose vertex-set is the set of equivalence classes of the disjoint relation, and such that, if $[X]$ and $[Y]$ are two generic vertices, then $([X], [Y])$ is an arc in $\mathbf{QJ}(2m, m, i)$ whenever there is a pair of representatives, say $X' \in [X]$ and $Y' \in [Y]$, such that (X', Y') is an arc in $\mathbf{J}(2m, m, i)$. We call $\mathbf{QJ}(2m, m, i)$ an *squashed distance- i Johnson graph*.

Observe that $\mathbf{J}(2m, m, i)$ is a regular double cover of $\mathbf{QJ}(2m, m, i)$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{QJ}(2m, m, i)$ and $\mathbf{QJ}(2m, m, m - i)$ are isomorphic graphs, thus we can restrict the range of i to $\{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor m/2 \rfloor\}$.

Lemma 20 *Let $m \geq 3$ be an integer. The orbital digraphs of $\text{Alt}(2m)$ and of $\text{Sym}(2m)$ in their primitive actions with stabilizer $(\text{Sym}(m) \text{ wr } C_2) \cap \text{Alt}(2m)$ and $\text{Sym}(m) \text{ wr } C_2$ respectively are the squashed distance- i Johnson graphs $\mathbf{QJ}(m, k, i)$, one for each choice of $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor m/2 \rfloor\}$.*

Proof To start, we note that the set Ω on which the groups are acting can be identified with the set of partitions of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2m\}$ with two parts of equal size m . Suppose that

$\{X_1, X_2\}$ and $\{Y_1, Y_2\}$ are two such partitions and that $(\{X_1, X_2\}, \{Y_1, Y_2\})$ is an arc of the orbital digraph we are building, with

$$\min\{|X_1 \cap Y_1|, |X_1 \cap Y_2|\} = m - i,$$

for a nonnegative integer $i \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$. To determine the image of $(\{X_1, X_2\}, \{Y_1, Y_2\})$ under the group action, it is enough to know the images of X_1 and Y_2 , that is, of at most $2m - \lceil m/2 \rceil \leq 2m - 2$ distinct points. By the $(2m - 2)$ -transitivity of $\text{Alt}(2m)$, the $\text{Alt}(2m)$ -orbit of $(\{X_1, X_2\}, \{Y_1, Y_2\})$ contains all the arc of the form $(\{Z_1, Z_2\}, \{W_1, W_2\})$, where $\{Z_1, Z_2\}, \{W_1, W_2\} \in \Omega$ and

$$\min\{|Z_1 \cap W_1|, |Z_1 \cap W_2|\} = m - i.$$

To conclude, observe that Ω is the set of m -subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2m\}$ in which two elements are identified if they are disjoint, and that

$$\min\{|X_1 \cap Y_1|, |X_1 \cap Y_2|\} = m - i,$$

is the adjacency condition in an squashed distance- i Johnson graph. As in Lemma 18, the same reasoning can be extended to $\text{Sym}(2m)$. Therefore, the orbital digraphs of $\text{Alt}(2m)$ and of $\text{Sym}(2m)$ in these primitive actions are the squashed distance- i Johnson graphs $\mathbf{QJ}(2m, m, i)$, for some $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor m/2 \rfloor\}$. □

Lemma 21 *Let m, i be two positive integers such that $m \geq 3$ and $i < \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$. Then the relative fixity of the distance- i Johnson graphs $\mathbf{QJ}(2m, m, i)$ is*

$$\text{RelFix}(\mathbf{QJ}(2m, m, i)) = 1 - \frac{2k(m - k)}{m(m - 1)}.$$

Proof Consider $\mathbf{J}(2m, m, i)$, the regular double covering of $\mathbf{QJ}(2m, m, i)$. In view of [19, Theorem 2 (e)], the automorphism group of $\mathbf{J}(2m, m, i)$ is $\text{Sym}(2m) \times \text{Sym}(2)$, where the central involution swaps pairs disjoint vertices. It follows that the automorphism group of $\mathbf{QJ}(2m, m, i)$ is $\text{Sym}(2m)$. Now, we can immediately verify that the stabilizer of the vertex $\{\{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \{m + 1, m + 2, \dots, 2m\}\}$ is $\text{Sym}(m) \text{ wr } C_2$. The minimal degree of the primitive action of $\text{Sym}(2m)$ with stabilizer $\text{Sym}(m) \text{ wr } C_2$ is

$$\mu(\text{Sym}(2m)) = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2m - 1} \right) \frac{(2m)!}{m!^2}$$

(see [8, Theorem 4]). Thus, we find that

$$\text{RelFix}(\mathbf{QJ}(2m, m, i)) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2m - 1} \right). \quad \square$$

4.4 Strongly regular graphs

We list all the strongly regular graphs appearing as Γ_1 in Theorem A (c). We divide them according to the socle L of the almost simple group that acts on them. Further, the present enumeration corresponds to the one of the groups that act on these graphs as listed in (the soon to be enunciated) Theorem 22 (e).

- (i) $L = U_4(q)$, $q \in \{2, 3\}$, acting on totally singular 2-dimensional subspaces of the natural module, two vertices of Γ are adjacent if there is a third 2-dimensional subspace that intersect both vertices in a 1-dimensional subspace (see [20, Section 2.2.12]);

- (ii) $L = \Omega_{2m+1}(3), m \geq 2$, acting on the singular points of the natural module, two vertices of Γ are adjacent if they are orthogonal (see [20, Theorem 2.2.12]);
- (iii) $L = \Omega_{2m+1}(3), m \geq 2$, acting on the nonsingular points of the natural module, two vertices of Γ are adjacent if the line that connects them is tangent to the quadric where the quadratic form vanishes (see [20, Section 3.1.4]);
- (iv) $L = P\Omega_{2m}^\varepsilon(2), \varepsilon \in \{+, -\}, m \geq 3$, acting on the singular points of the natural module, two vertices of Γ are adjacent if they are orthogonal (see [20, Theorem 2.2.12]);
- (v) $L = P\Omega_{2m}^\varepsilon(2), \varepsilon \in \{+, -\}, m \geq 2$, acting on the nonsingular points of the natural module, two vertices of Γ are adjacent if they are orthogonal (see [20, Section 3.1.2]);
- (vi) $L = P\Omega_{2m}^+(3), m \geq 2$ acting on the nonsingular points of the natural module, two vertices of Γ are adjacent if they are orthogonal (see [20, Section 3.1.3]);
- (vii) $L = P\Omega_{2m}^-(3), m \geq 3$ acting on the singular points of the natural module, two vertices of Γ are adjacent if they are orthogonal (see [20, Theorem 2.2.12]);
- (viii) $L = P\Omega_{2m}^-(3), m \geq 2$ acting on the nonsingular points of the natural module, two vertices of Γ are adjacent if they are orthogonal (see [20, Section 3.1.3]).

Table 1 collects the usual parameters of a strongly regular graph, (v, d, λ, μ) , and their relative fixity. Recall that v is the number of vertices, d is the valency of the graph, λ is the number of common neighbours between two adjacent vertices, and μ is the number of common neighbours between two nonadjacent vertices. As $\mu(G)$ can be found in [8, Theorem 4], the relative fixity is computed as

$$\text{RelFix}(\Gamma) = 1 - \frac{\mu(G)}{v}.$$

5 Proof of Theorem A

The primitive permutation groups we are concerned with were classified by Burness and Guralnick in [8]. We report their result here. For the sake of our proof, we explicitly write the permutational rank of the almost simple groups of Lie type. This information can be easily obtained combining the complete list of 2-transitive finite permutation groups, first described by Cameron in [21, Section 5], and the complete list of classical finite permutation groups of permutational rank 3, compiled by Kantor and Liebler in [22, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 22 [8, Theorem 4] *Let G be a permutation group of degree n with*

$$\mu(G) < \frac{2n}{3}.$$

Then one of the following holds:

- (a) $\text{Alt}(m) \leq G \leq \text{Sym}(m)$, for some $m \geq 3$, in its action on k -subsets, for some $k < m/2$;
- (b) $G = \text{Sym}(2m)$, for some $m \geq 2$, in its primitive action with stabilizer $G_\alpha = \text{Sym}(m) \text{ wr } C_2$;
- (c) $G = M_{22} : 2$ in its primitive action of degree 22 with stabilizer $G_\alpha = L_3(4).2_2$;
- (d) G is an almost simple group of socle L and permutational rank 2, and one of the following occurs:
 - (i) $L = L_m(2), m \geq 3$, in its natural action;
 - (ii) $L = L_m(3), m \geq 3$, in its natural action, and G contains an element of the form $(-I_{n-1}, I_1)$;

Table 1 Parameters of strongly regular graphs with large fixity

Socle	v	d	λ	μ	RelFix	Comments
(i) $U_4(2)$	27	10	1	5	$\frac{7}{27}$	
$U_4(3)$	112	30	2	10	$\frac{11}{56}$	
(ii) $\Omega_{2m+1}(3)$	$\frac{1}{2}(9a-1)$	$\frac{3}{2}(a^2-1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(a^2-9)+2$	$\frac{1}{2}(a^2-1)$	$\frac{a+1}{3a+1}$	$a = 3^{m-1}$
(iii) $\Omega_{2m+1}(3)$	$\frac{3a}{2}(3a-1)$	$(a-1)(3a+1)$	$2(a^2-a-1)$	$2a(a-1)$	$\frac{3a^2+a+1}{3a(3a-1)}$	
(iv) $P\Omega_{2m}^+(2)$	$(4b-1)(2b-1)$	$2(2b-1)(b+1)$	$(2b-2)(b-2)+1$	$(2b-1)(b+1)$	$\frac{b-1}{2b-1}$	$b = 2^{m-2}$
$P\Omega_{2m}^-(2)$	$4b^2-1$	$2(b^2-1)$	b^2-3	b^2-1	$\frac{2b+1}{4b+1}$	
(v) $P\Omega_{2m}^\varepsilon(2)$	$2b(4b-\varepsilon)$	$4b^2-1$	$2(b^2-1)$	$b(2b+\varepsilon)$	$\frac{2b}{4b-\varepsilon}$	$\varepsilon = \pm 1$
(vi) $P\Omega_{2m}^+(3)$	$\frac{3c}{2}(9c-1)$	$\frac{3c}{2}(3c-1)$	$\frac{c}{2}(3c-1)$	$\frac{3c}{2}(c-1)$	$\frac{3(c+1)}{9c-1}$	$c = 3^{m-2}$
(vii) $P\Omega_{2m}^-(3)$	$\frac{1}{2}(9c^2-1)$	$\frac{3}{2}(c^2-1)$	$\frac{1}{2}(c^2-9)+2$	$\frac{1}{2}(c^2-1)$	$\frac{3c+1}{9c+1}$	
(viii) $P\Omega_{2m}^-(3)$	$\frac{3c}{2}(9c+1)$	$\frac{3c}{2}(3c+1)$	$\frac{c}{2}(3c-1)$	$\frac{3c}{2}(c+1)$	$\frac{9c^2+3c-2}{3c(9c+1)}$	

- (iii) $L = \text{Sp}_{2m}(2)$, $m \geq 3$, in its action on the singular points of the natural module;
 - (iv) $L = \text{Sp}_{2m}(2)$, $m \geq 3$, in its action on the right cosets of $\text{SO}_{2m}^-(2)$;
 - (v) $L = \text{Sp}_{2m}(2)$, $m \geq 3$, in its action on the right cosets of $\text{SO}_{2m}^+(2)$;
- (e) G is an almost simple group of socle L and permutational rank 3, and one of the following occurs:
- (i) $L = U_4(q)$, $q \in \{2, 3\}$, in its primitive action on totally singular 2-dimensional subspaces, and G contains the graph automorphism τ ;
 - (ii) $L = \Omega_{2m+1}(3)$ in its action on the singular points of the natural module, and G contains an element of the form $(-I_{2m}, I_1)$ with a $+$ -type (-1) -eigenspace;
 - (iii) $L = \Omega_{2m+1}(3)$ in its action on the nonsingular points of the natural module whose orthogonal complement is an orthogonal space of $-$ -type, and G contains an element of the form $(-I_{2m}, I_1)$ with a $-$ -type (-1) -eigenspace;
 - (iv) $L = \text{P}\Omega_{2m}^\varepsilon(2)$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$, in its action on the singular points on the natural module, and $G = \text{SO}_{2m}^\varepsilon(2)$;
 - (v) $L = \text{P}\Omega_{2m}^\varepsilon(2)$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$, in its action on the nonsingular points on the natural module, and $G = \text{SO}_{2m}^\varepsilon(2)$;
 - (vi) $L = \text{P}\Omega_{2m}^+(3)$ in its action on the nonsingular points on the natural module, and G contains an element of the form $(-I_{2m-1}, I_1)$ such that the discriminant of the 1-dimensional 1-eigenspace is a nonsquare;
 - (vii) $L = \text{P}\Omega_{2m}^-(3)$ in its action on the singular points on the natural module, and G contains an element of the form $(-I_{2m-1}, I_1)$;
 - (viii) $L = \text{P}\Omega_{2m}^-(3)$ in its action on the nonsingular points on the natural module, and G contains an element of the form $(-I_{2m-1}, I_1)$ such that the discriminant of the 1-dimensional 1-eigenspace is a square;
- (f) $G \leq K \text{wr Sym}(r)$ is a primitive group of product action type, where K is a permutation group appearing in points (a) – (e), the wreath product is endowed with the product action, and $r \geq 2$;
- (g) G is an affine group with a regular normal socle N , which is an elementary abelian 2-subgroup.

Proof of Theorem A The proof is split in two independent chunks. First, we prove that every vertex-primitive digraph of relative fixity exceeding $\frac{1}{3}$ belongs to one of the families appearing in Theorem A. Then, we tackle the problem of computing the relative fixities of the graphs appearing in Theorem A, thus showing that they indeed all have relative fixity larger than $\frac{1}{3}$.

Assume that Γ is a digraph on n vertices with at least one arc and with $\text{RelFix}(\Gamma) > \frac{1}{3}$ such that $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is primitive. If Γ is disconnected, then the primitivity of G implies that $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{L}_n$. Hence we may assume that Γ is connected. Moreover, $\text{RelFix}(\Gamma) > \frac{1}{3}$ implies that $\mu(G) < \frac{2n}{3}$. Hence G is one of the groups determined in [8] and described in Theorem 22.

Suppose that G is an almost simple group. Then G is one of the groups appearing in parts (a) – (e) of Theorem 22. Since any G -vertex-primitive graph is a union of orbital digraphs for G , the digraphs arising from these cases will be merged product action digraphs $\mathcal{P}(1, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J})$ (see Remark 3). Hence, our goal is to consider these almost simple groups in turn and compile their list of orbitals digraphs \mathcal{G} .

Let G be a group as described in Theorem 22 (a). Lemma 18 states the orbital digraphs for G are the distance- i Johnson graph $\mathbf{J}(m, k, i)$.

Assume that $k = 1$, that is, consider the natural action of either $\text{Alt}(m)$ or $\text{Sym}(m)$ of degree m . Since this action is 2-transitive, their set of orbital digraphs is $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{L}_m, \mathbf{K}_m\}$. In

particular, $\mathcal{P}(1, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}) = \mathbf{H}(1, m, \mathcal{J})$. This case exhausts the generalized Hamming graphs with $r = 1$, which appear in Theorem A (i). Therefore, in view of Remark 6, for as long as we suppose $r = 1$, we can also assume that \mathcal{J} is a non-Hamming homogeneous set. Observe $m \geq 4$, otherwise, we go against our assumption on the relative fixity.

Going back to distance- i Johnson graphs, to guarantee that \mathcal{J} is non-Hamming, we have to take $k \geq 2$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{J}(m, k, i) \mid i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}\},$$

which corresponds to Theorem A (ii)(a).

Let $G = \text{Sym}(2m)$ be a permutation group from Theorem 22 (b). If $m = 2$, the degree of G is 3, and the relative fixity of any action of degree 3 can either be 0 or $\frac{1}{3}$. Hence, we must suppose that $m \geq 3$: by Lemma 20, the orbital digraphs for G are the squashed distance- i Johnson graph $\mathbf{QJ}(2m, m, i)$. We obtain that

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{QJ}(2m, m, i) \mid i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor m/2 \rfloor\}\},$$

as described in Theorem A (ii)(b).

Let $G = M_{22} : 2$ in the action described in Theorem 22 (c). Consulting the list of all the primitive groups of degree 22 in MAGMA [23] (which is based on the list compiled in [24]), we realize that they are all 2-transitive. Hence, the set of orbital digraphs is $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{K}_{22}, \mathbf{L}_{22}\}$. In particular, all the graphs are generalised Hamming graphs.

Let G be an almost simple of Lie type appearing in Theorem 22 (d). Since all these groups are 2-transitive with a 2-transitive socle L , their orbital digraphs are either \mathbf{K}_m or \mathbf{L}_m , where $m \geq 7$ is the degree of G . Once again, we obtain only generalise Hamming graphs.

Let G be an almost simple of Lie type described in Theorem 22 (e). Any group of permutational rank 3 defines two non-diagonal orbital digraphs, and, as such digraphs are arc-transitive and one the complement of the other, they are strongly regular digraphs (see, for instance, [20, Section 1.1.5]). The set of orbital digraphs is of the form $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{L}_m, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2\}$, where we listed the possible Γ_1 in Sect. 4.4, and where $m = |V\Gamma_1|$. The graphs described in this paragraph appear in Theorem A (ii)(c).

We have exhausted the almost simple groups from Theorem 22. Hence, we pass to Theorem 22 (f). Suppose that $G \leq K \text{ wr } \text{Sym}(r)$ is a primitive group of product action type. We want to apply Theorem 14 to G . The only hypothesis we miss is that T and G_{Δ}^{Δ} share the same set of orbital digraphs.

We claim that T and K induces the same set of orbital digraphs. If K is either alternating or symmetric, the claim follows from Lemmas 18 and 20. If K is 2-transitive, then we can observe that its socle L is also 2-transitive: the socle of $M_{22} : 2$ is $T = M_{22}$ in its natural 3-transitive action, while the socle T of the almost simple groups of Lie type of rank 2 is 2-transitive by [21, Section 5]. In particular, K and T both have $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{L}_m, \mathbf{K}_m\}$ as their set of orbital graphs. Finally, suppose that K is an almost simple group of permutational rank 3. We have that its socle T is also of permutational rank 3 by [22, Theorem 1.1]. Observe that, since any orbital digraph for T is a subgraph of an orbital digraph for G , the fact that G and L both have permutational rank 3 implies that they share the same set of orbital digraphs. Therefore, the claim is true.

By our claim together with the double inclusion

$$T \leq G_{\Delta}^{\Delta} \leq K,$$

we obtain that T, G_{Δ}^{Δ} and K all induce the same set of orbital digraphs. Therefore, we can apply Theorem 14 to G : we obtain that G shares its orbital graphs with $T \text{ wr } G^{\Omega}$.

Therefore, all the G -vertex-primitive digraphs are union of orbital digraphs for $T \text{ wr } H$, with T the socle type of G and H a transitive permutation group isomorphic to G^Ω . In other words, we found all the graphs $\mathcal{P}(r, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J})$ with $r \geq 2$ described in Theorem A. (Recall that, by Definition 4, among the graphs $\mathcal{P}(r, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J})$, we find all the generalised Hamming graphs.)

Suppose that G is an affine group with a regular normal socle N , which is an elementary abelian 2-subgroup. We have that G can be written as the split extension $N : H$, where H is a group of matrices that acts irreducibly on N . It follows that G is 2-transitive on N , hence, as $|N| \geq 4$, the graphs arising in this scenario are $\mathbf{L}_{|N|}, \mathbf{K}_{|N|}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{|N|} \cup \mathbf{K}_{|N|}$, which are generalised Hamming graphs.

We have completed the first part of the proof, showing that the list of vertex-primitive digraphs appearing in Theorem A is exhaustive. As all the orbital digraphs in \mathcal{G} are actually graphs, the same property is true for the graphs in the list, as we have underlined in Remark 8.

We can now pass to the second part of the proof, that is, we can now tackle the computation of relative fixities. We already took care of the generalised Hamming graphs in Lemma 17. Thus, we can suppose that Γ is a merged product action graph $\mathcal{P}(r, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J})$ appearing in Theorem A (ii).

Suppose that $r = 1$, that is, Γ is a union of graphs for some primitive almost simple group K . (We are tacitly assuming that K is maximal among the groups appearing in a given part of Theorem 22.) In view of [25, Theorem], we have that K is a maximal subgroup of either $\text{Alt}(|V\Gamma|)$ or $\text{Sym}(|V\Gamma|)$. Therefore, there are just two options for $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$: either it is isomorphic to K or it contains $\text{Alt}(|V\Gamma|)$. In the latter scenario, as $\text{Alt}(|V\Gamma|)$ is 2-transitive on the vertices, we obtain that $\Gamma \in \{\mathbf{L}_m, \mathbf{K}_m, \mathbf{L}_m \cup \mathbf{K}_m\}$. All those graphs are generalised Hamming graphs, against our assumption on Γ . Therefore, we have $K = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. In particular, the relative fixity for Γ are computed in Lemma 19, Lemma 21 or Table 1 given that \mathcal{G} is described in Theorem A (ii)(a), (ii)(b) or (ii)(c) respectively.

Suppose now that $r \geq 2$. The automorphism group of Γ either embeds into $\text{Sym}(m) \text{ wr } \text{Sym}(r)$, where $m = |V\Gamma_i|$ for any $\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{G}$, or, by maximality of $\text{Sym}(m) \text{ wr } \text{Sym}(r)$, $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = \text{Sym}(m^r)$. In the latter scenario, $\Gamma \in \{\mathbf{L}_m, \mathbf{K}_m, \mathbf{L}_m \cup \mathbf{K}_m\}$. All these graphs can be written as a merged product graph where $r = 1$ and \mathcal{J} is a Hamming set. This goes against our assumption on Γ , thus we must suppose $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \neq \text{Sym}(m^r)$.

As a consequence, we obtain that, for some almost simple group K listed in Theorem 22 (a) – (e), and for some transitive group $H \leq \text{Sym}(r)$, $K \text{ wr } H \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Note that, as $K \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)_\Delta^\Delta$, by [25, Theorem], $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)_\Delta^\Delta$ is either K or it contains $\text{Alt}(m)$. If the latter case occurs, then $\text{Alt}(m)^r \text{ wr } H \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. By Lemma 15, Γ is a generalised Hamming graph, which contradicts our choice of Γ . Therefore, $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \leq K \text{ wr } \text{Sym}(r)$.

Observe that we can apply Lemma 17. We obtain that

$$\text{RelFix}(\Gamma) = 1 - \frac{\mu(K)m^{r-1}}{m^r} = 1 - \frac{\mu(K)}{m} = \text{RelFix}(\mathcal{P}(1, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{J}')),$$

for some non-Hamming homogeneous set \mathcal{J}' . In particular, the relative fixities for $r \geq 2$ coincides with those we have already computed for $r = 1$. This complete the proof. \square

6 Proof of Theorem B

Recall that a permutation group G on Ω is *quasiprimitive* if all its nontrivial normal subgroups are transitive on Ω . Clearly, any primitive group is quasiprimitive. Moreover, recall that, by repeating the proof of Cauchy–Frobenius Lemma (see [14, Theorem 1.7A]) on the conjugacy

class of a permutation $x \in G$ (with G transitive), we obtain

$$\text{fix}(x)|x^G| = |x^G \cap G_\omega||\Omega|$$

where $\text{fix}(x) = |\Omega| - |\text{supp}(x)|$ is the number of fixed points of x , and $\omega \in \Omega$ is a generic point of the permutation domain.

Proof of Theorem B (We would like to thank P. Spiga again for pointing out the key ingredients for this proof.) Let G be a quasiprimitive permutation group on a set Ω , and let $x \in G \setminus \{1\}$ be an element achieving $|\text{supp}(x)| \leq (1 - \alpha)|\Omega|$. For any point $\omega \in \Omega$, we obtain

$$\alpha \leq \frac{|x^G \cap G_\omega|}{|x^G|} \leq \frac{|G_\omega|}{|x^G|} \leq \frac{\beta}{|x^G|}.$$

It follows that $|x^G| \leq \alpha^{-1}\beta$. Now consider the normal subgroup of G defined by

$$N := \bigcap_{g \in G} \mathbf{C}_G(x^g).$$

Recall that $|G : \mathbf{C}_G(x)| = |x^G|$. Observe that G acts by conjugation on the set

$$\{\mathbf{C}_G(x^g) \mid g \in G\},$$

it defines a single orbit of size at most $|x^G|$, and N is the kernel of this action. Therefore

$$|G : N| \leq |\{\mathbf{C}_G(x^g) \mid g \in G\}| \leq |x^G| \leq \left\lceil \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right\rceil!,$$

that is, N is a bounded index subgroup of G . Since G is quasiprimitive, either N is trivial or N is transitive.

Aiming for a contradiction, we suppose that N is transitive. We note that, as $\alpha > 0$, $|\text{supp}(x)| < |\Omega|$. In particular, x fixes at least one point, and we choose $\omega \in \Omega$ to be a point that is fixed by x . Since $[N, x] = 1$, for any $n \in N$,

$$\omega^{nx} = \omega^{xn} = \omega^n.$$

The transitivity of N implies that $x = 1$, against our choice of x .

Therefore, N is trivial. It follows that

$$|G| = |G : N| \leq \left\lceil \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right\rceil!.$$

Since there are finitely many abstract groups of bounded size, the proof is complete. □

An equivalent formulation of Sims' Conjecture states that if G is a primitive permutation group and the minimal out-valency among its nondiagonal orbital digraphs is at most d , then the size of a point stabilizer is bounded from above by a function $\mathbf{f}(d)$ depending only on the positive integer d . An answer in the positive to this conjecture was given in [26].

Proof of Corollary C Let Γ be a vertex-primitive digraphs of out-valency at most d and relative fixity exceeding α , and let $G = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. The hypothesis on the out-valency implies that, for any $v \in V\Gamma$, $|G_v| \leq \mathbf{f}(d)$, where $\mathbf{f}(d)$ is the function that solves Sims' Conjecture. The result thus follows by choosing $\beta = \mathbf{f}(d)$ in Theorem B. □

We conclude the paper by observing that, as $\mathbf{f}(d) \geq (d - 1)!$, from Corollary C we cannot obtain a bound as sharp as that in Remark 10.

Acknowledgements The first author is a members of the GNSAGA INdAM group and kindly acknowledges their support. The second author acknowledges the support of the Slovenian Research and Innovation Agency, Project Number N1 0216 and P1 0294.

Funding Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Pavia within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Babai, L.: On the automorphism groups of strongly regular graphs I. In: Proceedings of the 5th Conference on Innovations in Theoretical Computer Science. ITCS '14, pp. 359–368. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1145/2554797.2554830>
2. Babai, L.: On the automorphism groups of strongly regular graphs II. *J. Algebra* **421**, 560–578 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2014.09.007>. (Special issue in memory of Ákos Seress)
3. Babai, L.: Graph isomorphism in quasipolynomial time (2015). [arXiv:1512.03547](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03547)
4. Barbieri, M., Grazian, V., Spiga, P.: On the number of fixed edges of automorphisms of vertex-transitive graphs of small valency. *J. Algebr. Comb.* **57**, 329–348 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10801-022-01176-5>
5. Lehner, F., Potočnik, P., Spiga, P.: On fixity of arc-transitive graphs. *Sci. China Math.* **64**, 2603–2610 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-020-1825-1>
6. Potočnik, P., Spiga, P.: On the number of fixed points of automorphisms of vertex-transitive graphs. *Combinatorica* **41**, 703–747 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00493-020-4509-y>
7. Babai, L.: On the order of uniprimitive permutation groups. *Ann. Math.* **113**(3), 553–568 (1981). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2006997>
8. Burness, T.C., Guralnick, R.M.: Fixed point ratios for finite primitive groups and applications. *Adv. Math.* **411**, 108778 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2022.108778>
9. Guralnick, R., Magaard, K.: On the minimal degree of a primitive permutation group. *J. Algebra* **207**(1), 127–145 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1998.7451>
10. Liebeck, M.W.: On minimal degrees and base sizes of primitive permutation groups. *Arch. Math.* **43**, 11–15 (1984). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01193603>
11. Liebeck, M.W., Saxl, J.: Minimal degrees of primitive permutation groups, with an application to monodromy groups of covers of Riemann surfaces. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **s3-63**(2), 266–314 (1991). <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-63.2.266>
12. Liebeck, M.W., Shalev, A.: Simple groups, permutation groups, and probability. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **12**, 497–520 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-99-00288-X>
13. Imrich, W., Klavžar, S.: Product Graphs: Structure and Recognition. Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization. Wiley, New York (2000)
14. Dixon, J.D., Mortimer, B.: Permutation Groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 163. Springer, New York (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0731-3>
15. Liebeck, M.W., Praeger, C.E., Saxl, J.: On the O’Nan-Scott theorem for finite primitive permutation groups. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **44**(3), 389–396 (1988). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S144678870003216X>
16. Kovács, L.G.: Wreath decompositions of finite permutation groups. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **40**(2), 255–279 (1989). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700004366>
17. Praeger, C.E., Schneider, C.: Embedding permutation groups into wreath products in product action. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **92**(1), 127–136 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788712000110>
18. Jones, G.A., Jaycay, R.: Cayley properties of merged Johnson graphs. *J. Algebr. Comb.* **44**, 1047–1067 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10801-016-0699-1>
19. Jones, G.A.: Automorphisms and regular embeddings of merged johnson graphs. *Eur. J. Comb.* **26**(3), 417–435 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2004.01.012>. (Topological graph theory and graph minors, second issue)

20. Brouwer, A.E., Maldeghem, H.V.: Strongly Regular Graphs. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 182, p. 462. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2022)
21. Cameron, P.J.: Finite permutation groups and finite simple groups. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **13**(1), 1–22 (1981). <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/13.1.1>
22. Kantor, W.M., Liebler, R.A.: The rank 3 permutation representation of the finite classical groups. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **271**(1), 1–71 (1982). <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1982-0648077-6>
23. Bosma, W., Cannon, J., Playoust, C.: The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. J. Symb. Comput. **24**(3–4), 235–265 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1006/jsco.1996.0125>. (**Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993)**)
24. Coutts, H.J., Quick, M., Roney-Dougal, C.M.: The primitive permutation groups of degree less than 4,096. Commun. Algebra **39**(10), 3526–3546 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2010.515521>
25. Liebeck, M.W., Praeger, C.E., Saxl, J.: A classification of the maximal subgroups of the finite alternating and symmetric groups. J. Algebra **111**(2), 365–383 (1987). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(87\)90223-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(87)90223-7)
26. Cameron, P.J., Praeger, C.E., Saxl, J., Seitz, G.M.: On the Sims conjecture and distance transitive graphs. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **15**(5), 499–506 (1983). <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/15.5.499>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.