



# research article

# Inquiry and computer program Onko-Online: 25 years of clinical registry for breast cancer at the University Medical Centre Maribor

Darja Arko<sup>1,2</sup>, Iztok Takac<sup>1,2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> University Medical Centre Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia
- <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Medicine, University of Maribor, Slovenia

Radiol Oncol 2019; 53(3): 348-356.

Received 17 February 2019 Accepted 16 May 2019

Correspondence to: Prof. Iztok Takač, M.D., Ph.D., Adviser; Division of Gynaecology and Perinatology, Maribor University Medical Centre, Ljubljanska 5, 2000 Maribor, Slovenia. Phone: +386 2 321 2445; E-mail: iztok.takac@ukc-mb.si

Disclosure: No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

The authors acknowledge the project Identification of molecular biomarkers for prognosis of clinical outcome and metastasis in triple negative breast cancer patients, ID J3-9272, was finacially supported by the Slovenian Research Agency.

**Background.** High-quality routine care data collected in the clinical registry play a significant role in improving the management of cancer patients. Clinical cancer registries record important data in the course of cancer diagnosis, treatment, follow-up and survival. Analyses of such comprehensive data pool make it possible to improve the quality of patients care and compare with other health care providers.

**Methods.** The first inquiry at the Department of Gynaecologic and Breast Oncology of the then General Hospital Maribor to follow breast cancer patients has been introduced in 1994. Based on our experience and new approaches in breast cancer treatment, the context of inquiry has been changed and extended to the present form, which served as a model for developing a relevant computer programme named *Onko-Online* in 2014.

**Results.** During the 25-year period, we collected data from about 3,600 breast cancer patients. The computer program *Onko-Online* allowed for quick and reliable collection, processing and analysis of 167 different data of breast cancer patients including general information, medical history, diagnostics, treatment, and follow-up.

**Conclusions.** The clinical registry for breast cancer *Onko-Online* provides data that help us to improve diagnostics and treatment of breast cancer patients, organize the daily practice and to compare the results of our treatment to the national and international standards. A limitation of the registry is the potentially incomplete or incorrect data input by different healthcare providers, involved in the treatment of breast cancer patients.

Key words: clinical registry; computer program; breast cancer

# Introduction

In Slovenia, we have one of the oldest population-based cancer registries in Europe named the Cancer Registry of Republic of Slovenia. It was founded at the Institute of Oncology in Ljubljana in 1950. This registry monitors the population burden for all malignant and non-malignant oncological diseases.¹ Clinical registers in Slovenia are needed for collecting additional information on certain cancers.² The Clinical Register of Skin Melanoma was founded in 2017 as the first special clinical registry for Slovenia.³

At our Department of Gynaecologic and Breast Oncology we introduced seven different inquiries for gynaecological (vulvar, vaginal, cervical, endometrial, ovarian, fallopian tube cancer) and breast cancer in 1994. For all of them, a computer program running in Microsoft Access has been designed and we published two articles on the use of this software for follow-up of patients with ovarian malignancies in 1996 and 1999.<sup>4,5</sup>

### **Methods**

In the last decades, treatment of the most common female carcinoma, breast cancer, changed dramatically in terms of surgery and systemic treatment. Regarding previous experience with collecting data of cancer patients and including relevant data, the context of the inquiry for breast cancer has been changed and extended to achieve the form, which we use nowadays. The updated inquiry served as a model for developing an adequate computer program named *Onko-Online* in 2014, which records data during diagnostics, treatment and follow-up.

The paper inquiry was completed during diagnostic and treatment procedures. Included in the program were all breast cancer patients at first presentation who started treatment at our institution irrespective of the disease stage. If a patient underwent diagnostic procedures at a different institution, it was possible to collect data based on medical records. Therefore, these patients were also included to the program in case their first treatment was initiated at our institution. General data were partly collected when the diagnosis of breast malignancy was established.

After completing primary treatment, data were recorded using the computer program *Onko-*

Online, which allowed for processing and analysing of the obtained data. Hard copies were completed by the doctor in charge. The data from hard copies were put into the computer program by a clerk with adequate training.

The documentation was also kept in the form of printed copies as part of health records.

### Results

The inquiry for breast cancer covered 167 different information, divided into 11 sections: general data (G), medical history (MH), clinical examination (CE), mammography (M), ultrasound (US), preoperative investigations (PI), surgery (S), radiotherapy (RT), histopathology (H), systemic treatment (ST), and follow-up (FU).

General data consisted of the identification data and data regarding treatment collected at the end of primary treatment (Figure 1).

The data were recorded using the computer program when patients completed their primary treat-

		BREAS	T CANCER						
G1 year/no.:									
G2 NAME AND G3. FAMILY NA	AME:	G4 GENDE	R:						
G5 PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION	ON NUMBER:	G6 AGE:	36 AGE:						
G7 DATE OF BIRTH:									
G8 CARD NO. OF CBD (BREA CENTER):	ST DISEASE	G9 CARD N	IO. GIN:	PC NO.:					
G10 DATE OF LAST EXAMINA (last check-up, field S1.)	ATION (or EX):								
G11 STATUS AT LAST FOLLO (last check-up, field S8.)	W-UP (or EX):								
O alive, no symptoms 1 alive, partial remission (PR) 2 alive, stable disease (SD) 3 alive, relapse 4 alive, progressive disease (PE 5 alive, condition unknown	0)		6 ex due to breast malignancy 7 ex during treatment 8 ex due to other disease, no breast cancer symptoms 9 ex due to other disease, breast cancer symptoms present 10 ex, cause unknown 11 condition unknown						
G12 DG:			G13 DATE OF DG:						
1 DCIS 2 ductal carcinoma 3 LCIS 4 lobular carcinoma 5 medullary carcinoma	□R □ □R □ □R □	L   L   L   L	6 mucinous carcinoma 7 tubular carcinoma 8 other (please, specify)	OR OL					
G14 STAGE:									
TX T0 TIS T	1 T1mi T1	a T1b	T1c T2 T3 T4a	T4b T4c T4d					
NX N0 N1 N	12 N2a N2	2b N3 I	N3a N3b N3c						
MX M0 M1									
G15 DIFFERENTIATION:	1 G1 2 G	2 3 G3							
G16 INTRINSIC TUMOR SUBT		2 lumin sitive non-luminal	al B, HER2 negative 3 luminal 5 triple negative	B, HER2 positive					
G17 TREATMENT:				1					
0 no 1 tumorectomy 2 mastectomy 3 SNB 4 axillary clearance	OR OL OR OL OR OL		5 complete/full chemotherapy 6 non-complete chemotherapy 7 non-adjuvant chemotherapy 8 beam radiation	9 hormone therapy 10 other (please, specify)					
G18 DATE OF 1st RELAPSE	G21 DATE OF 2nd	d RELAPSE	G24 DATE of 3rd RELAPSE	G27 DATE of 4th RELAPSE					
G19 SITE OF 1st RELAPSE 1 bores 7 same breast 2 axilia 3 cher breast 3 lungs 9 soft issues 4 liver 10 chest wal 1 other 6 local relapse  G20 1st LINE TREATMENT 0 no 1 surgical 2 systemic chemotherapy 3 systemic-targeted 4 systemic hormome therapy	2 axilla 8 d 3 lungs 9 si 4 liver 10 d	same breast other breast off tissues hest wall 11 other  EATMENT therapy d	255 SITE of 3rd RELAPSE	G28 SITE of 4th RELAPSE 1 bones 7 same breast 2 axilia 8 other breast 3 lungs 9 soft issues 4 liver 10 chest wail 5 brain 11 other 6 local relapse 10 no 1 surgical 2 systemic chemotherapy 3 systemic targeted 4 systemic hargeted 4 systemic hargeted					
5 beam radiation 6 other (please, specify)	5 beam radiation 6 other (please, sp		5 beam radiation 6 other (please, specify)	5 beam radiation 6 other (please, specify)					

FIGURE 1. General data.

MEDICAL HISTORY	
MH1 FAMILY HISTORY	MH18 MENOPAUSE
0 none	0 not yet (go to A20)
1 tuberculosis	1 natural
2 diabetes 3 allergies	2 artificial/triggered
4 mental disorders	MH13 AGE AT MENOPAUSE (years)
5 STDs	MITTO AGE AT MEROPAGGE (years)
6 other ( )	
MH2 FAMILY HISTORY OF CANCER	MH20 HORMONE THERAPY (PERI- OR POSTMENOPAUSE)
0 none (go to A5)	0 never (go to A23)
1 breast	1 estrogen
2 ovary	2 estrogen-progesterone
3 uterus 4 GIT	3 other ()
5 other ( )	MH21 NUMBER OF YEARS of HRT USE
5 SHET (	MH21 NUMBER OF YEARS OF HRT USE
MH3 FAMILY RELATIONSHIP	
1 mother	MH22 NUMBER OF YEARS since DISCONTINUED HRT
2 sister	MH22 NUMBER OF TEARS SINCE DISCONTINUED HRT
3 other ()	
MH4 AGE AT DISEASE ONSET (in years) (see A3)	MH23 SMOKING
1	0 never (go to A25)
2	1 before
3	2 now
MH5 FIRST PERIOD (age in years)	MH24 NUMBER OF PACKAGES-YEARS (number of years x no. of packages daily)
	,, ,
MH6 NUMBER OF PREGNANCIES	
	MH25 ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION
	0 never
MH7 NUMBER OF MISCARRIAGES	1 moderate (< 20g [1 unit] per day)
	2 excessive (> 20g per day)
	MH26 PREVIOUS OR PRESENT CONDITIONS
MH8 NUMBER OF INDUCED ABORTIONS	0 none
	1 arterial hypertension
	2 diabetes
MH9 NUMBER OF DELIVERIES/BIRTHS	3 obesity 4 coronary heart disease
	5 other
MH13 AGE AT FIRST BIRTH (years)	MH27 PREVIOUS OR CURRENT CANCER DISEASES
MH13 AGE AT FIRST BIRTH (years)	0 none
	1 other breast
	2 ovary
MH11 BREASTFEEDING	3 GIT
0 no (go to A13) 1 yes	4 other
MH12 TOTAL DURATION OF BREASTFEEDING	MH28 SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS
	0 none
	1 palpable tumor
MH13 HORMONAL CONTRACEPTION	2 painful breast
0 never (go to A15)	3 skin changes
1 before	4 nipple discharge 5 palpable lymph nodes
2 now	6 pain in bones
	7 abdominal pain
MH14 NUMBER OF YEARS of OCP USE	8 dyspnea
	9 coughing
	10 neurological symptoms
MH15 FERTILITY TREATMENT	11 losing weight
0 no (go to A18)	12 other
1 yes	MH29 DURATION OF SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS (in months)
MH16 DURATION OF FERTILITY TREATMENT (months)	minute between the cross and other rolls (in months)
MITTO DONATION OF FENTIER FIREATMENT (MORUIS)	
MH17 NUMBER OF STIMULATED CYCLES	
	1

FIGURE 2. Medical history.

CLINICAL EXAMINATION			
CE1 REASON FOR VISIT		CE12)NO. OF EXCRETORY	ORO L
0 screening		DUCTS	
1 palpable tumor			
2 physician's recommendation		I	
3 diagnostics		CE13 REGIONAL LYMPH	□R□ L
4 other		NODES	
		0 not palpable	
CE2 INSPECTION	ORO L	1 mobile non-suspicious axillary	
0 NAD (nothing abnormal		lymph nodes	
detected)		2 mobile suspicious axillary lymph	
1 asymmetric		nodes	
2 skin retraction 3 skin redness		3 fixed axillary lymph nodes	
3 skin redness 4 skin edema		4 supraclavicular lymph nodes	
4 skin edema 5 nipple retraction		CE14 CLINICAL IMPRESSION	ORO L
6 nipple retraction		0 normal breast	UNU L
7 ulcer		1 inflammation	
8 scar		2 lump (probably benign)	
9 other		3 lump (probably malignant)	
		4 carcinoma	
CE3 LUMPS	ORO L	1	
0 not present		CE15 BODY WEIGHT(kg)	
1 less obvious		1	
2 obvious		l ———	
		I	
CE4 THICKENED TISSUE IN	ORO L	CE16 HEIGHT (cm)	
BREAST		I	
0 not present			
1 single palpable		I	
induration/nodule		I	
2 several palpable indurations/nodules		CE17 BODY MASS INDEX (BMI) (k	
3 diffuse nodules		CETT BODT MASS INDEX (BMI) (K	g/mz)
o amase riodules		I	
CE5 SITE OF CHANGE	ORO L	MAMMOGRAPHY	
1 upper outer quadrant	D.C. E		
2 lower outer quadrant		M1 MAMMOGRAM RESULTS (BIR	ADS)
3 upper inner quadrant		1 normal	
4 lower inner quadrant		2 clearly benign	10
5 central		3 probably benign - follow-up at 6 to	
		4 suspicious - X-ray or ultrasound-gu 4A low suspicion of malign	uided core-needle biopsy recommended
CE6 CONSISTENCY	□R□ L	4A low suspicion of malign 4B moderate suspicion of	
1 hard		5 high probability of malignancy - co	
2 soft		6 known cancer proven by biopsy	ic necore propay reconfillended
3 elastic		2 prover by biopay	
CE7 FIXITY	ORO L	ULTRASOUND	
1 mobile	UNU L		
2 fixed to skin		US1 ULTRASOUND RESULTS (BIF	RADS)
3 fixed to skin 3 fixed to underlying structures		1 normal	
(fascia)		2 clearly benign	
,,		3 probably benign - follow-up at 6 to	
CE8 SURFACE	ORO L		uided core-needle biopsy recommended
1 smooth		4A low suspicion of malign	nancy
2 tethering (knotty)		4B moderately low suspici	on or malignancy
3 infiltrating		4C high suspicion of malig 5 highly suggestive of malignancy - of	
_		6 known cancer proven by biopsy	core-needed biopsy recommended
CE9 MAX. DIAMETER (mm)	□R□ L	C MONT cancer proven by blopsy	
l · · ·		US2 TUMOUR SIZE (mm)	
CE10 NIPPLE DISCHARGE			
0 none	555.1	1	
1 spontaneous	□R□ L	US3 TUMOUR BLOOD SUPPLY	
2 triggered		1 decreased	
CE11 COLOUR OF NIPPLE		2 increased	
DISCHARGE		1	
1 clear	ORO L	US4 AXILLARY LYMPH NODES	
2 milky		0 not suspicious (go to US5 and US6	6)
3 purulent		1 suspicious	
4 dark			one ()
5 bloodstain		US5 SIZE OF LARGEST LYMPH N	ODE (MM)
		1	
		US6 NO. OF SUSPICIOUS LIMPH I	NODES
		030 NO. OF SUSPICIOUS LIMPH I	HODES
		l ————	
		1	

FIGURE 3. Clinical	examination and	breast imaging.
--------------------	-----------------	-----------------

		PRE	OPERATIVE INVESTI	GATION			
PI1 COLPOSCOPY							
0 not performed	1 O,E,CP	2 L,D,N	I,aCP 3 ca	rcinoma	4 other (please, specify)		
PI2 CERVICAL CYTO 0 not performed	LOGY SCREENIN 1 A	G (SMEAR): 2 B	3 C	APC-N	4 C APC-VS	5 C PIL-NS	
6 C PIL-VS	7 C P-CA	8 C AG	C-N 9 C	AGC-FN	10 CAIS	11 C A-CA	
12 C SUSP-N	13 C MLG-N						
PI3 GYN ULTRASOU 0 not performed	IND: 1 normal finding	s 2 fibroids	3 ovarian cyst - right	4 ovarian cyst left	- 5 no uterus or adnexa	6 other (please, specify)	
PI4 ENDOMETRIAL	THICKNESS:						
Date of							
measurement: Thickness (mm):					+	_	
PI5 LIVER ULTRASC 0 not performed	UND SCAN: 1 normal find	ings 2 cholelithiasi	is 3 steatosis	4 cirrhosis	5 metastases	6 other (please, specify)	
PI6 LIVER CT SCAN: 0 not performed	1 normal find	ings 2 one tumor	3 several tumo	rs 4 steatosis	5 cirrhosis	6 other (please, specify)	
PI7 CHEST RADIOGI 0 not performed	RAPH: 1 normal find	ings 2 atelectasis	3 metastases	4 effusion R	5 effusion L	6 other (please, specify)	
PI8 SPINAL RADIOG 0 not performed PI9 BONE SCINTIGR 0 not performed	1 de	generative changes 1 normal findings	2 osteomalacia	3 metasta:	ses 4 oth	ner (please, specify)	
PI10 MINERAL BONI	E DENSITY:	i normai inidings	31111	inted accumulation	3 other (piez	ise, specify)	
measurement:							
spine (T):							
hip (T):							
	PH2 L:		P113 Hb:	PH4 T:	P115	AST:	
hip (T): radius (T):	PH2 L:	it:	PH3 Hb:	PH4 T:		AST:	
hip (T): radius (T): PI11 SR:	ΡΗ7 γ6	STATUS					
hip (T): radius (T): PH1 SR: PH6 ALT: PI20 WHO Karnofsky	PH7 yG	STATUS	PH8 AP:				
hip (T): radius (T): PI11 SR: PI16 ALT: PI20 WHO Karnofsky 0 100	PH7 yG	STATUS ce of disease	PI18 AP:				
PI10 MHO Karnofsky 0 100 1 90	PH7 yG  PERFORMANCE Active, no evidence Active, minor sign Reduced activity,	STATUS ce of disease s or symptoms of dise some signs of symptoms	PI18 AP:	PH9 CEA			
hip (T): radius (T): PH1 SR:  PH6 ALT:  PI20 WHO Karnofsky 0 100 1 90 1 80	PH7 yG  PERFORMANCE Active, no evidence Active, minor sign Reduced activity,	STATUS ce of disease s or symptoms of dise some signs of sympto able to carry on norma	PH8 AP:	PH9 CEA			
PH1 SR:  PH6 ALT:  PI20 WHO Karnofsky 0 100 1 90 1 88 2 70	PHT yC  PERFORMANCE Active, no eviden Active, no eviden Reduced activity, Cares for self, una Requires occasion	STATUS ce of disease s or symptoms of dise some signs of sympto able to carry on norma nal assistance	PH8 AP:	PH9 CEA			
Nip (T)	PH7 yG  / PERFORMANCE Active, no evident Active, minor sign Reduced activity, Cares for self, una Requires occasion Requires consider	STATUS  e of disease  s or symptoms of dise  some signs of sympto  able to carry on norma  nal assistance  rable assistance and t	PH8 AP:  passe pms of disease al activity or do active w frequent medical care	PH9 CEA			
PH6 ALT:  PI20 WHO Karnofsky 0 10 90 1 80 2 70 2 60 3 40	PH7 yG  / PERFORMANCE Active, no evident Active, minor sign Reduced activity, Cares for self, un Requires occasion Requires conside Disabled; requires	STATUS  se of disease sor symptoms of dise some signs of sympto able to carry on norma nal assistance rable assistance and to sepecial care and assistance	PI18 AP:  ease oms of disease al activity or do active w frequent medical care istance	PH9 CEA			
PIT SR:  PIT	PERFORMANCE Active, no evident Active, minor sign Reduced activity, Cares for self, un Requires conside Requires conside Severely disabled Severely disabled	STATUS e of disease s or symptoms of dise some signs of sympto able to carry on norma nal assistance rable assistance and t s special care and ass t, hospitalization is ind	PI18 AP:  ms of disease all activity or do active w frequent medical care istance	PH9 CEA			
PI1 SR:  PI1 SR:  PI20 WHO Karnofsky 0 100 1 80 2 70 2 60 3 50 3 40 4 20	PERFORMANCE Active, no evidenc Active, no revidenc Active, no evidenc Active, more sign Reduced activity. Cares for self, un Requires occasion Requires conside Disabled; requires Severely disabled Very sick; hospita	STATUS e of disease s or symptoms of dise some signs of sympto able to carry on norma nal assistance rable assistance and t s special care and ass t, hospitalization is ind	PI18 AP:  ease oms of disease al activity or do active w frequent medical care istance	PH9 CEA			
PIT SR:  PIT	PERFORMANCE Active, no evident Active, minor sign Reduced activity, Cares for self, un Requires conside Requires conside Severely disabled Severely disabled	STATUS e of disease s or symptoms of dise some signs of sympto able to carry on norma nal assistance rable assistance and t s special care and ass t, hospitalization is ind	PI18 AP:  ms of disease all activity or do active w frequent medical care istance	PH9 CEA			

FIGURE 4. Investigations before treatment.

ment. Until now, data about 3,600 patients have been included in this computer program.

Twenty-nine anamnestic data focus on known risk factors for breast cancer as well as current symptoms and signs. Among the risk factors, detailed data on family history of breast cancer and other malignancies, reproductive data, use of hormonal therapy, smoking, and use of alcohol were recorded. Detailed data are listed in Figure 2. The anamnestic data ended with signs and symptoms in the breast, such as breast lump, pain, skin changes, nipple discharge, enlarged axillary lymph nodes as well as their duration and general symptoms, such as bone pain, abdominal pain, dyspnoea, cough, neurological symptoms, and loss of weight.

Next section covered a clinical examination with 17 parameters, including inspection and palpation of the breasts and regional lymph nodes, including axillary and supraclavicular lymph nodes. Body mass index data were recorded and data on breast imaging, mammography and ultrasonography of the breast and axillary lymph nodes were collected (Figure 3).

The following section contained data about different extended investigations before treatment: gynaecological examinations (colposcopy, gynaecological ultrasound), imaging examinations of liver, lung and bones and certain laboratory testing with the focus on the most common sites of metastases. At the end of this section, WHO and Karnofsky performance status was recorded (Figure 4).

The section containing data about the surgical procedure and postoperative care included 16 parameters. Date of procedure, type of surgery, use of frozen section, complications during procedure, and placement of drains were recorded immediately after the surgery. Later, the removal of drains, antibiotic therapy and possible complications were added before the patient leaves hospital (Figure 5). For an easy and fast completion of the inquiry, six types of surgical procedures were listed with separate marks for the right and left breast. The most common complications during and after surgery were also listed, including the complications in the breasts, such as bleeding or hematoma, seroma,

H1 DIAGNOSTIC METHODS:

2 mannings 3 cytology 4 histology (wide core needle biopsy) 5 histology (biopsy) 6 histology (frozen section) 7 other (please, specify)

H2 FINE-NEEDLE ASPIRATION (FNA): 0 not performed

1 clinical 2 mammogram

S	SURGERY			RADIATIO	ON THERAPY
S1 DATE OF PRIMARY SURGE	RY:			RT1 RADIATION THERAPY :	
S2 DATE OF SECONDARY SUF	RGERY:			0 no (go to H1) 1 yes 2 declined by patient	
S3 INTERVENTION done in prin	mary surgery:			2 declined by patient	
1 tumorectomy		□R		RT2 TYPE OF RADIATION THER	APY:
2 quadrantectomy 3 mastectomy		□R □R		1 preoperative	
4 SNB		□R	- i	2 postoperative 3 radical	
5 axillary clearance		□R		4 palliative	
6 tumor bed re-excision		□R		5 other (please, specify)	
7 other (please, specify) 8 declined by patient		□R			
S4 INTERVENTION done in sec					
1 tumorectomy	onuary surgery.	□R		RT3 KIND OF RADIATION THER	APY:
2 quadrantectomy		□R	□ L	1 beam radiation	
3 mastectomy		□R		2 interstitial brachytherapy	
4 SNB		□R □R		3 other (please, specify)	
5 axillary clearance 6 tumor bed re-excision		□R	81	RT4 DURATION OF RADIATION	THERADY.
7 other (please, specify)		□R	Пī	From (dd-mm-yyyy):	Until (dd-mm-yyyy):
				r rom (dd-mm-yyyy).	onai (dd-inn-yyyy).
S5 FROZEN SECTION: 0 no (go to O7) 1 yes					
S6 FROZEN SECTION RESULT	'S:			RT5 SOURCE OF RADIATION:	
0 benign tumor				1 linear accelerator	
1 probably malignant tumor 2 malignant tumor				2 iodine-125 3 iridium-192	
S7 COMPLICATIONS DURING	SURGERY:			RT6 NUMBER OF FRACTIONS:	
1 bleeding				RT7 TOTAL RADIATION DOSE (	Gy):
2 nerve damage					
3 vascular damage 4 anesthetic				RT8 COMPLICATIONS FOLLOW 0 no	4 dermatitis
5 other (please, specify)				1 anemia	5 exitus
				2 leukopenia	6 other (please, specify)
				3 thrombocytopenia	
S8 BREAST DRAINAGE: 0 no (go to O11) 1 yes					
S9 DRAINAGE OUTPUT (mL):					
S10 NO. OF DAYS WITH DRAIN	IAGE:				
S11 AXILLARY DRAINAGE:					
0 no (go to 50)					
1 yes					
S12 AXILLARY DRAINAGE OU	TPUT (ml):				
S13 NO. OF DAYS WITH AXILL	ARY DRAINAGE:				
S14 PERIOPERATIVE ANTIBIO	TICS:				
0 no					
1 yes					
	OTICS:				
\$15 INTRAOPERATIVE ANTIBI					
0 no					
0 no 1 yes	JCATIONS:				
0 no	ICATIONS: 6 febrile condition				
0 no 1 yes S16 POST-OPERATIVE COMPL 0 no 1 bleeding	6 febrile condition 7 sepsis				
0 no 1 yes S16 POST-OPERATIVE COMPL 0 no 1 bleeding 2 seroma	6 febrile condition 7 sepsis 8 deep vein thromb				
0 no 1 yes <b>516 POST-OPERATIVE COMPL</b> 0 no 1 bleeding 2 seroma 3 hematoma	6 febrile condition 7 sepsis				
0 no 1 yes S16 POST-OPERATIVE COMPL 0 no 1 bleeding 2 seroma	6 febrile condition 7 sepsis 8 deep vein thromb 9 pulmonary emboli	ism			

1 insufficient material 2 repetition due to 1 (1x, 2x, 3x) 3 sufficient material obtained H3 FINE NEEDLE ASPIRATION (FNA) RESULTS: H15 ESTROGEN RECEPTORS: 2 present \_\_\_\_\_\_%
3 no data available in % H4 TUMOUR SIZE (mm): H16 PROGESTERONE RECEPTORS: 2 present \_\_\_\_\_\_%
3 no data available in % 3 no data available in %
H17 HER-2 (HISTOCHEMICAL/IMMU
0 not assessed
1 negative (0)
2 weakly positive (1+)
3 moderately/borderline positive (2+)
4 strongly positive (3+) H5 TUMOR HISTOLOGY H18 HER-2 (FISH): H6 CLEAR MARGINS na/ma prot no yes distance to margin in mm: H20 PAI-1: na/ma prot H7 SENTINEL NODE BIOPSY (SNB) H21 Ki-67: 0 not asses 1 assessed H8 NO. OF REMOVED SN H9 CYTOLOGY OF SNB: H10 HISTOLOGY OF SNE H11 AXILLARY CLEARANCE:

H12 NO. OF AXILLARY LIMPH NODES:

H13 NO. OF POSITIVE LIMPH NODES:

FIGURE 5. Surgery and radiotherapy.

FIGURE 6. Histopathology.

wound infection, wound dehiscence and systemic complications, such as fever, deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism.

For radiation therapy, eight boxes were designed: type, dates of starting and ending radiotherapy and possible complications (Figure 5). As in the case of surgery, the most common type and complications of radiotherapy were provided in the inquiry. Because radiotherapy was performed at the Department of Oncology, data about this part of treatment were filled after complete treatment, at the first follow-up visit at the latest.

In the next section, data on cytological and histopathological examination of tumour and lymph nodes were collected. The first part of this section included data on preoperative diagnostics, which could be collected prior to the primary treatment. The inquiry included data on the tumour histology before and after surgery, cytology and histology of sentinel node biopsy (SNB) and/or axillary node dissection and the main predictive and prognostic biomarkers, oestrogen receptors (ER), proges-

terone receptors (PR), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) and proliferation marker Ki67 (Ki67) (Figure 6). Full data on histopathology were usually available after the patient leaves the hospital; hence, this part of the inquiry was completed later on.

Since the systemic therapy represented an important part of breast cancer treatment in the control and cure of breast cancer, a relatively large part of the inquiry was dedicated to this issue.

Detailed information about adjuvant or neoadjuvant chemotherapy was collected in the special section of the inquiry boxes during treatment (Figure 7). Among others, this data included the date of each chemotherapy cycle and chemotherapy regimen. The presence of the adverse events during chemotherapy was collected in the Chemotherapy section. Detailed data regarding the type and severity of adverse events were collected in the section Adverse events.

A separate sheet contained data on systemic anti-cancer treatment, including chemotherapy,

hormonal and targeted therapy, applied as neoadjuvant or adjuvant treatment. The same page contained boxes for systemic treatment in case of recurrent disease. The most frequently used agents were already listed and categorized for chemotherapy, hormonal therapy, and targeted therapy. Over the past decades, adjunctive and supportive therapy of breast cancer have evolved substantially. In the inquiry, the data on bisphosphonates, erythropoietin and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) were collected during the systemic treatment (Figure 8).

The last section of the inquiry was follow-up sheet (Figure 9). All nine boxes were completed at every follow-up visit. Data collected at follow-up were limited to performance status, pain, clinical examination, mammography, laboratory tests, and the clinical state of the patient.

All data collected with the paper inquiry were recorded using the computer program *Onko-Online* for processing data and statistical analysis. The program enables to find, list and sort data in a quick and easy manner. The existing data could be modified or new data could be added, if necessary.

# **Discussion**

The breast cancer inquiry collected extended information on altogether 167 questions about breast cancer patient medical history, clinical status, treatment, and its outcome.

Among the risk factors, we recorded data known to be associated with high risk for breast cancer. It is well known that there is a two-fold increase in the risk of developing breast cancer for women with breast cancer in their first-degree family, especially among women with a first-degree relative diagnosed before the age of 50.6,7 Among the reproductive data, young age at menarche, late menopause, late age at first pregnancy, low number of deliveries, spontaneous or induced abortions, and lack of breastfeeding are known to increase the risk of breast cancer.<sup>8,9</sup> Known risk factors also include hormonal contraception and hormonal replacement therapy, although the absolute increase in risk, especially for contraception, is small.<sup>10,11</sup> Some studies reported a link between infertility and increased breast cancer risk, while others were not able to find a connection. 12, 13 The results of recently published data in literature strongly support the role of cigarette smoking in breast cancer etiology.14 The risk of breast cancer is significantly increased by alcohol consumption as well.<sup>15</sup> Data on

ST1 CHEMOTHERAPY CYCLE / TREATMENT LEVEL:	1	2	3	4	5	6
ST2 DATE:						
ST3 BODY WEIGHT (kg):						
ST4 HEIGHT (cm):						
ST5 SURFACE (m²):						
ST6 PERFORMANCE STATUS: (See P21) 0 3 1 4 2 5						
ST7 EXAMINATION: 0 NAD 3 lymphedema 1 tumor 4 metastasis 2 hydrothorax 5 other (specify)						
ST8 CHEST RADIOGRAPH: 0 NAD 2 hydrothorax 1 metastases3 other (specify)						
ST9 LIVER ULTRASOUND SCAN: 0 NAD 2ascites 1metastases3 other (specify)						
ST10 BONE SCINTIGRAPHY: 0 NAD (nothing abnormal detected) 1 metastases (site) 2 diffuse accumulation (site)						
ST11 BONE RADIOGRAPHY: 0 NAD (nothing abnormal detected) 1 metastases (site) 2 diffuse changes (please, specify)						
ST12 Ca 15-3						
ST13 DOSE REDUCTION (%)						
ST14 REASON FOR REDUCTION a ↓ L c liver dysfunction b ↓ T d renal dysfunction						
ST15 CYTOTOXIC 1: (mg)						
ST16 CYTOTOXIC 2: (mg)						
ST17 CYTOTOXIC 3: (mg)						
ST18 G-CSF (dose)						
ST19 ANTIEMETIC (mg)						
ST20 PATHOLOGY LAB. RESULTS biochemistry (AP, GT) marker (CEA) other (please, specify)						
ST21 VOMITING: 0 no 2 6x-10x 1 1x-5x 3 > 10x						
ST22 ADVERSE EVENT: (See page 6) 0 no 1 yes						

FIGURE 7. Adjuvant or neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

body mass index were included, since it is known that obesity is associated with an increased relative risk, especially for postmenopausal receptor-positive breast cancer. <sup>16</sup> Known risk factors for breast cancer were included to determine the frequency of these risk factors in our population. Moreover, the knowledge of these risk factors in a subset of patients could lead to a better understanding of different factors involved in the breast cancer development.

Typical local signs and symptoms for breast cancer are: a breast lump, usually painless; skin retraction, nipple retraction, nipple discharge, and swelling in the armpit.<sup>17</sup> All these signs were listed in the inquiry as well as palpable lymph nodes in the axilla.

We also added some typical signs of a metastatic disease (bone pain, dyspnoea, persistent cough, abdominal pain, weigh loss), although primary metastatic cancer is relatively rare. According to our registry, in Slovenia 7.1% of patients were presented with primary metastatic disease in 2015.<sup>1</sup> The data in the literature for developed countries

REATMENT SCHEME (TS1) LEVEL (	(ST2 -	ST7) CHE	MOTHERAP	Y	(ST8 -	ST12) HORMONAL	THERAP	Y	(ST13 - ST19)	TARGETED (	BIOLOGICAL)	FREATMENT	(ST20 - ST22) THERAPY	ADJUVANT	ST23 OUTCOMES, RESPONSE
	0 no 1 yes		No. of cycles  Frequency of cycles	Date - since	0 no 1 yes	1 tamoxifen (Noivadex) 2 anastrazole (Arimidex) 3 exemestane (Aromasin) 4 letrozole (Femara) 5 fulvestrant (Fasiodex) 6 GRRH (Coladex) 7 other (specify)	Dose	Date - until	0 no 1 trastuzumab 2 lapatinib 3 bevacizumab 4 other (specify)	cumulative dose	No. of cycles	Date - until	1 Bisphosphonates 2 Erythropoietins 3 GCSF 4 other (specify)	Date - since	
ON-ADJUJVANT															disease-free     progress during chemotherapy     and/or targeted (biological) treatmen     progress following chemotherapy and     targeted (biological) treatment     3 condition unknown
DJUVANT															0 disease-free 1 progress during chemotherapy and/or targeted (biological) treatment 2 progress following chemotherapy and targeted (biological) treatment 3 condition unknown
RELAPSE (LINE) no pyes, clinical yes, biochemical yes, x-ray, ultrasound, scintigraphy yes, confirmed by biopsy ATE 1. RELAPSE															0 complete remission (CR) 1 partial remission (PR) 2 stable disease (SD) 3 progressive disease (PD) 4 condition unknown
RELAPSE (LINE) no yes, clinical yes, bichemical yes, very, utiliasound, scintigraphy yes, confirmed by biopsy ATE 2. RELAPSE  RELAPSE (LINE) no yes, chinical															0 complete remission (CR) 1 partial remission (PR) 2 stable disease (SD) 3 progressive disease (PD) 4 condition unknown  0 complete remission (CR) 1 partial remission (PR)
es, biochemical ess, Aray, ultrasound, scintigraphy es, confirmed by biopsy  IXE 3. RELAPSE  RELAPSE (LINE) oo c, clinical es, biochemical es, biochemical es, x-ray, ultrasound, scintigraphy															2 stable disease (SD) 3 progressive disease (FD) 4 condition unknown  0 complete remission (CR) 1 partial remission (PR) 2 stable disease (SD) 3 propressive disease (FD)
yes, confirmed by biopsy ATE 4. RELAPSE															4 condition unknown

FIGURE 8. Treatment scheme.

are similar, approximately 5-10% of all breast cancer patients were presented with distant metastases at initial diagnosis.<sup>18</sup>

Clinical breast examination is not a reliable diagnostic tool<sup>19</sup>, but it has to be performed in all known breast cancer patients when planning primary treatment - surgical or neoadjuvant systemic therapy. Ultrasound preoperative examination of axilla was routinely performed to avoid two-stage axillary surgery in selected patients.<sup>20, 21</sup> At the moment, MRI was not included in the inquiry. Since both MRI and digital breast tomosynthesis are nowadays common diagnostic procedures in breast diagnostics, we intended to add both procedures to the pre-treatment diagnostics.

According to Slovenian recommendations for stage I and II breast cancer, laboratory tests, including blood count, liver function tests, alkaline phosphatase, calcium levels, and chest X-ray were routinely performed.<sup>22</sup> In case of clinical symptoms and/or pathological laboratory results as well as in all stage III and IV patients, thoracic and abdominal CT scan and bone scintigraphy were performed.<sup>22</sup>

In the inquiry section covering a surgical procedure, breast reconstruction was not included, since this type of procedure was performed at the Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery at the University Medical Centre Maribor and not within our department. Breast reconstruction is an important part of breast cancer management which has evolved significantly in the past decades because of advances in reconstructive strategy.<sup>23</sup> It is oncologically safe and associated with high satisfaction rates.<sup>24</sup> In the case of breast reconstruction, data was recorded in the inquiry during the first follow-up visit.

Over the last two years, radiation therapy for breast cancer patients has mostly been administered at our hospital at the Department of Oncology at the University Medical Centre Maribor, but some patients still receive therapy at the Institute of Oncology in Ljubljana. All data concerning radiotherapy, including complications, were collected at the first follow-up visit.

According to the data in literature, fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) and core needle biopsy (CNB) have similar values of diagnostic accura-

S1 DATE:	S2 TYPE OF EXAMINATION:	S3 WHO PERFORMANCE STATUS:	S4 PAIN:	S5 EXAMINATION	S6 MAMOGRAPHY:	S7 LABORATORY:	S8 CONDITION ASSESSMENT:	S9 NOTES:
	1 outpatient clinic 2 hospital	0 asymptomatic, but completely ambulatory 1 symptomatic,	0 no pain 1 mild pain 2 moderate pain 3 severe pain	NAD (nothing abnormal detected)     tumor (size)     lymph nodes in axilla     lymph nodes above	0 N/A D 1 NAD (nothing 1 abnormal detected) 2 2 suspicious findings 3 3 carcinoma 9	1 NAD (nothing abnormal detected) 2 high ESR levels 3 anemia 4 leukopenia	0 alive, no symptoms (CR or DF) 1 alive, partial remission (PR) 2 alive, stable disease (SD) 3 alive, relapse 4 alive, progressive disease (PD) 5 alive, condition unknown	
		2 symptomatic, up and about more than 50% of waking hours		collar bone 5 hand edema 6 other (describe)	4 other (specify)	5 leukocytosis 6 high AST levels 7 high ALT levels 8 high γGT levels	6 ex due to breast malignancy 7 ex during treatment	
		3 symptomatic, confined to bed or chair more than 50% of waking hours				8 high yGT levels 9 high ALP levels 10 high CEA levels 11 high CA 15-3 12 other (specify)	8 ex due to other disease, no breast symptoms 9 ex due to other disease, breast symptoms present 10 ex, cause unknown 11 condition unknown	
		4 confined to bed				12 other (specify)		
					_			

FIGURE 9. Follow-up.

cy.<sup>25, 26</sup> We routinely used CNB as the first method in breast cancer diagnostics, because hormonal receptor (HR) status and expression of HER2 can be tested. Sometimes, this information was crucial for planning the treatment, e.g. neoadjuvant systemic therapy.

TNM classification of breast cancer was not included in the computer program and it served as a tool to define the correct stage in the general data (Figure 1 – G14).

The data set about the systemic treatment has been designed to provide access to quick and transparent information on systemic therapy for patients and enable easier decision-making processes for further treatment in case of disease progression. Every list of chemotherapy, hormonal and targeted therapy was given the option "others" to name drugs, which were not included. Novel therapeutic approaches included immunologic therapies, PARP inhibitors, PI3K inhibitors, and CDK4/6 inhibitors, and others to be added to the inquiry at any time.

In the inquiry, information on date of diagnosis and date of starting (different) treatment were included. The inquiry collected the date of first and second surgery, date of all neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy cycles, beginning and ending date of radiotherapy, and beginning and ending date for all types of systemic treatments. There are data in the literature suggesting that time to start of adjuvant treatment might have an influence on survival.<sup>27</sup> Delays to adjuvant radiotherapy are also related with decreases in survival of patients with locally advanced tumours.<sup>28</sup>

The purpose of a follow-up was surveillance for recurrence, management of long-term effects of cancer treatment, and management of medication side effects. At our department, follow-up was performed over a time period of 10 years. According to Slovenian recommendations<sup>22</sup>, follow-up visits for asymptomatic patients were performed every six months for the first 3 years and then annually. At each visit, clinical examination was performed. Patients underwent mammography on

a yearly basis. Laboratory tests were indicated in case of clinical symptoms. Liver ultrasound, chest radiography, bone scan, and other investigations were performed only in case of clinical symptoms or pathological laboratory tests. At the end of the follow-up visit, treatment response rate was estimated. Treatment response rates were mostly evaluated on the basis of WHO criteria<sup>29</sup>, although new and updated criteria had been published for more precise and objective response.<sup>30,31</sup>

There is no evidence that the detection of asymptomatic distant metastases leads to a longer survival.<sup>32</sup> Some data indicated that the detection of isolated loco-regional or contra-lateral breast cancer recurrences in patients without symptoms has beneficial impact on survival of breast cancer patients when compared to late symptomatic detection<sup>33</sup>; however, it was shown that only 40% of the isolated loco-regional recurrences in asymptomatic patients were detected during routine examination.<sup>34</sup> But, the vast majority of the patients took advantage of the follow-up and one of the important goals of the follow-up care is to offer psychological support and reassurance by their physician.<sup>35</sup>, <sup>36</sup>

The type of treatment in patients who were metastatic at first presentation was recorded in the same way as for patients with localised or regional cancer. In case of disease relapse after primary treatment, data about the date of relapse, site of relapse and treatment of relapse were recorded in the section General data. Detailed data about systemic treatment of relapse were recorded also in the Treatment scheme section.

# **Conclusions**

The clinical cancer registry plays an important role in the evaluation of clinical practice with the purpose to improve organisation in daily clinical work and treatment of the disease. It allows us to continuously compare treatment results with national and international standards. The data can also be used for research projects and studies on cancer survivorship.

The computer program *Onko-Online* allows quick and reliable processing and analysis of 167 different data obtained from breast cancer patients, i.e. general information, medical history, diagnostics, treatment and follow-up. The computer program allows us to follow the timing of different treatments procedures to assure optimal treatment for all breast cancer patients.

A potential limitation of the registry is the incomplete or incorrect data input. With this amount of data collected by different healthcare providers there is a risk that a mistake will occur, but not in the extent to which it could influence the reliability of the data.

# References

- Cancer in Slovenia 2015. Ljubljana: Institute of Oncology Ljubljana, Epidemiology and Cancer Registry, Cancer Registry of Republic of Slovenia; 2018.
- 2. Hočevar M. Klinični registri v onkologiji. Onkologija 2011; 15: 14-7.
- Clinical register of skin melanoma. In: Cancer Registry of Republic of Slovenia, editor. Epidemiology and Cancer Registry. [cited 2019 Jan 15]. Available at: https://www.onko-i.si/eng/
- Takač I, Ferletič M, Arko D, Gorišek B. Follow-up computer program for patients with ovarian malignancy In: Bigec M, Lavrenčič D, Kokol P, editors. Zbornik referatov II del. *Inform Med Slov Print* 1996; 3: 43-6.
- Takač I, Gorišek B. User friendly inquiry and computer program for following patients with ovarian malignancy. Arch Gynecol Obstet 1999; 263: 60-7. PMID: 10728632
- Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. Familial breast cancer: collaborative reanalysis of individual data from 52 epidemiological studies including 58 209 women with breast cancer and 101 986 women without the disease. *Lancet* 2001; 358: 1389-99. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(01)06524-2
- Brewer HR, Jones ME, Schoemaker MJ, Ashworth A, Swerdlow AJ. Family history and risk of breast cancer: an analysis accounting for family structure. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2017; 165: 193-200. doi: 10.1007/s10549-017-4216-2
- Clavel-Chapelon F, Gerber M. Reproductive factors and breast cancer risk. Do they differ according to age at diagnosis? *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2002; 72: 107-15. PMID: 12038701
- Chowdhury R, Sinha B, Sankar MJ, Taneja S, Bhandari N, Rollins N, et al. Breastfeeding and maternal health outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Paediatr 2015; 104: 96-113. doi: 10.1111/apa.13102
- Mørch LS, Skovlund CW, Hannaford PC, Iversen L, Fielding S, Lidegaard Ø. Contemporary hormonal contraception and the risk of breast cancer. N Eng J Med 2017; 377: 2228-39. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1700732
- Jones ME, Schoemaker MJ, Wright L, McFadde E, Griffin J, Thomas D, et al. Menopausal hormone therapy and breast cancer: what is the true size of the increased risk? Br J Cancer 2016; 115: 607-15. doi: 10.1038/ bic.2016.231
- Lerner-Geva L, Rabinovici J, Olmer L, Blumstein T, Mashiach S, Lunenfeld B. Are infertility treatments a potential risk factor for cancer development? Perspective of 30 years of follow-up. *Gynecol Endocrinol* 2012; 10: 809-14. doi: 10.3109/09513590.2012.671391
- 13. Cetin I, Cozzi V, Antonazzo P. Infertility as a cancer risk factor a review. Placenta 2008; 29(Suppl B): 169-77. doi: 10.1016/j.placenta.2008.08.007
- Castburg C, Miller AB, Rohan TE. Active cigarette smoking and risk of breast cancer. Int J Cancer 2015; 136: 2204-9. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29266
- Liu Y, Nguyen N, Colditz GA. Links between alcohol consumption and breast cancer: a look at the evidence. Womens Health (Lond) 2015; 1: 65-77. doi: 10.2217/whe.14.62
- Munsell MF, Sprague BL, Berry DA, Chisholm G, Trentham-Dietz A. Body mass index and breast cancer risk according to postmenopausal estrogenprogestin use and hormone receptor status. *Epidem Rev* 2014; 36: 114-36. doi: 10.1093/epirev/mxt010
- Žgajnar J, Marinko T, Šeruga B. Rak dojk. In Strojan P, Hočevar M, editors Onkologija. [cited 2019 Jan 15]. Available at: www.onko-i.si/ucbenik\_ onkologija Ljubljana 2018. p. 508-37.

- Güth U, Magaton I, Huang DJ, Fisher R, Schötzau A, Vette M. Primary and secondary distant metastatic breast cancer: two sides of the same coin. *Breast* 2014; 23: 26-32. doi: 10.1016/j.breast.2013.10.007
- Provencer L, Hogue JC, Desbiens C, Poirier B, Poirier E, Bodreau D, et al. Is clinical examination important for breast cancer detection? *Curr Oncol* 2016; 4: 332-9. doi: 10.3747/co.23.2881
- Kim GR, Choi JS, Han BK, Lee JE, Nan SJ, Ko EY, et al. Preoperative axillary US in early-stage breast cancer: potential to prevent unnecessary axillary lymph node dissection. *Radiology* 2018; 288: 55-63. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2018171987
- Ibrahim-Zada I, Grant CS, Glazebrooke KN, Boughey JC. Preoperative axillary ultrasound in breast cancer: safely avoiding frozen section of sentinel lymph nodes in breast conserving surgery. J Am Coll Surg 2013; 217: 7-15. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2013.01.064
- Blatnik A, Perhavec A, Gazić B, Vidergar-Kralj B, Matos E, Ratoša I, et al. [Guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer]. [Slovenian]. Ljubljana: Institute of Oncology Ljubljana, 2018. [cited 2019 Jan 15]. Available at: https://www.onko-i.si/fileadmin/onko/datoteke/Smernice/Smernice\_diagnostike\_in\_zdravljenja\_raka\_dojk\_2018.pdf
- O'Halloran N, Lowery A, Kalinina O, Sweeney K, Malone C, McLoughlin R, et al. Trends in breast reconstruction practices in a specialized breast tertiary referral centre. *BJS Open* 2017; 5: 148-57. doi: 10.1002/bjs5.23
- Platt J, Baxter N, Zhong T. Breast reconstruction after mastectomy for breast cancer. CMAJ 2011; 18: 2109-16. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.110513
- Moschetta M, Telegrafo M, Carluccio DA, Jablonska JP, Rella L, Serio G, et al. Comparison between fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) and core biopsy (CNB) in the diagnostic of breast. G Chir 2014; 35: 171-6. PMID: 25174291
- Wang M, He X, Chang Y, Sun G, Thabane L. A sensitivity and specific comparison of fine needle aspiration cytology and core needle biopsy in evaluation of suspicious breast lesion: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Breast* 2017; 31: 157-66. doi: 10.1016/j.breast.2016.11.009
- Zhan QH, Fu JQ, Fu FM, Zhang J, Wang C. Survival and time to initiation of adjuvant chemotherapy among breast cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oncotarget* 2017; 9: 2739-51. doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.23086
- Flores-Balcázar CH, Flores-Luna L, Villarreal-Garza C, Mota-García A, Bargalló-Rocha E. Impact of delayed adjuvant radiotherapy in the survival of women with breast cancer. Cureus 2018; 10: e3071. doi: 10.7759/cureus.3071
- 29. WHO. Handbook for reporting results of cancer treatment. Geneva: World Health Organisation Offset Publication; 1979. p. 48.
- Ollivier L, Padhani AR, Leclere J. International criteria for measurement tumor response. Cancer Imaging 2001; 2: 31-2. doi: 10.1102/1470-7330.2001.017
- Subbiah V, Chuang HH, Gambhire D, Kairemo K. Defining clinical response criteria and early response criteria for precision oncology: current state-ofthe-art and future perspective. *Diagnostics (Basel)* 2017; 7: pii: E10. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics7010010
- Lafranconi A, Pylkkänen L, Deandra S, Bramesfeld A, Lerda D, Neamtiu L, et al. Intensive follow-up for women with breast cancer: review of clinical, economic and patient's preference domains through evidence to decision framework. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2017; 15: 206. doi 10.1186/s12955-017-0779-5
- Lu WL, Jansen L, Post WJ, Bonnema J, Van de Velde JC, De Bock GH. Impact on survival of early detection of isolated breast recurrences after the primary treatment for breast cancer: a meta-analysis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2009; 3: 403-12. doi: 10.1007/s10549-008-0023-4
- 34. De Bock GH, Bonnema J, Van der Hage J, Kievit J, Van de Velde CJ. Effectiveness of routine visits and routine tests in detecting isolated locoregional recurrences after treatment for early-stage invasive breast cancer: a meta-analysis and systematic review. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22: 4010-8. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2004.06.080
- Feiten S, Dünnebacke J, Friesenhahn V, Heymanns J, Köppler H, Meister R, et al. Follow-up reality for breast cancer patients – standardised survey of patients and physicians and analysis of treatment data. Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd 2016: 5: 557-63. doi: 10.1055/s-0042-106210
- Chopra I, Chopra A. Follow-up care for breast cancer survivors: improving patient outcomes. *Patient Relat Outcome Meas* 2014; 5: 71-85. doi: 10.2147/PROM.S49586