




# Edge General Position Sets in Fibonacci and Lucas Cubes

Sandi Klavžar<sup>1,2,3</sup>  · Elif Tan<sup>4</sup>

Received: 19 January 2023 / Revised: 20 April 2023 / Accepted: 5 May 2023 /  
Published online: 17 May 2023  
© The Author(s) 2023

## Abstract

A set of edges  $X \subseteq E(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is an edge general position set if no three edges from  $X$  lie on a common shortest path in  $G$ . The cardinality of a largest edge general position set of  $G$  is the edge general position number of  $G$ . In this paper, edge general position sets are investigated in partial cubes. In particular, it is proved that the union of two largest  $\Theta$ -classes of a Fibonacci cube or a Lucas cube is a maximal edge general position set.

**Keywords** General position set · Edge general position set · Partial cube · Fibonacci cube · Lucas cube

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 05C12 · 05C70

## 1 Introduction

A set of vertices  $S \subseteq V(G)$  of a graph  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  is a *general position set* if no three vertices from  $S$  lie on a common shortest path of  $G$ . Similarly, a set of edges  $X \subseteq E(G)$  of  $G$  is an *edge general position set* if no three edges from  $X$  lie on a common shortest path. The cardinality of a largest general position set (resp. edge

---

Communicated by Wen Chean Teh.

✉ Sandi Klavžar  
sandi.klavzar@fmf.uni-lj.si

Elif Tan  
etan@ankara.edu.tr

- <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia
- <sup>3</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematics, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey

general position set) of  $G$  is the *general position number* (resp. *edge general position number*) and denoted by  $\text{gp}(G)$  (resp.  $\text{gp}_e(G)$ ).

General position sets in graphs have already received a lot of attention. They were introduced in [16, 28], and we refer to [1, 12, 21, 27, 31] for a selection of further developments. On the other hand, the edge version of this concept has been introduced only recently in [17]. In this paper, we continue this line of the research.

To determine the general position, the number of hypercubes turns out to be a very difficult problem, cf. [15]. On the other hand, a closed formula for the edge general position number of hypercubes has been determined in [17]. Combining the facts that the edge general position number is doable on hypercubes and that hypercubes form the cornerstone of the class of partial cubes, we focus in this paper on the edge general position number of two important and interesting families of partial cubes, Fibonacci cubes and Lucas cubes. The first of these two classes of graphs was introduced in [8] as a model for interconnection networks. In due course, these graphs have found numerous applications elsewhere and are also extremely interesting in their own right. Lucas cubes, introduced in [20], form a class of graphs which naturally symmetrises the Fibonacci cubes and also have many interesting properties. The state of research up to 2013 on these classes of graphs (and some additional related ones) is summarised in the survey paper [11]; the following list of papers is a selection from subsequent research [4–6, 9, 18, 19, 22–25, 29].

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. In the next section, we define the concepts discussed in this paper, introduce the required notation, and recall a known result. In Sect. 3, we discuss partial cubes and the interdependence of their edge general position sets and  $\Theta$ -classes. In Sect. 4, we prove that the union of two largest  $\Theta$ -classes of a Fibonacci cube or a Lucas cube is always a maximal edge general position set. We conjecture that for Fibonacci cubes these sets are also maximum general position sets and show that this is not the case for Lucas cubes.

## 2 Preliminaries

Unless stated otherwise, graphs considered in this paper are connected. The path of order  $n$  is denoted by  $P_n$ . The *Cartesian product*  $G \square H$  of graphs  $G$  and  $H$  has vertices  $V(G) \times V(H)$  and edges  $(g, h)(g', h')$ , where either  $g = g'$  and  $hh' \in E(H)$ , or  $h = h'$  and  $gg' \in E(G)$ . The  *$r$ -dimensional hypercube*  $Q_r$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , is a graph with  $V(Q_r) = \{0, 1\}^r$ , and there is an edge between two vertices if and only if they differ in exactly one coordinate. That is, if  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$  are vertices of  $Q_r$ , then  $xy \in E(Q_r)$  if and only if there exists  $j \in [r] = \{1, \dots, r\}$  such that  $x_j \neq y_j$  and  $x_i = y_i$  for every  $i \neq j$ .  $Q_r$ ,  $r \geq 2$ , can also be described as the Cartesian product  $Q_{r-1} \square P_2$ .

The *distance*  $d_G(u, v)$  between vertices  $u$  and  $v$  of a graph  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  is the number of edges on a shortest  $u, v$ -path. A subgraph  $H$  of a graph  $G$  is *isometric* if  $d_H(x, y) = d_G(x, y)$  holds for every pair of vertices  $x, y$  of  $H$ . We also say that  $H$  is *isometrically embedded* into  $G$ . Isometric subgraphs of hypercubes are known as *partial cubes*.




Fig. 1  $\Gamma_5$  and  $\Lambda_5$

A *Fibonacci string* of length  $n \geq 1$  is a binary string that contains no consecutive 1 s. The *Fibonacci cube*  $\Gamma_n, n \geq 1$ , is the graph whose vertices are all Fibonacci strings of length  $n$ , two vertices being adjacent if they differ in a single coordinate.  $\Gamma_n$  can be equivalently defined as an induced subgraph of  $Q_n$  obtained from  $Q_n$  by removing all the vertices that contain at least one pair of consecutive 1 s. Further, the *Lucas cube*  $\Lambda_n, n \geq 1$ , is obtained from  $\Gamma_n$  by removing the vertices that start and end with 1. See Fig. 1 for  $\Gamma_5$  and  $\Lambda_5$  and note that the latter is obtained from the former by removing the vertices 10001 and 10101.

It is well known that the order of  $\Gamma_n$  is  $F_{n+2}$ , where  $F_n$  are the *Fibonacci numbers* defined by the recurrence  $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n, n \geq 0$ , with the initial terms  $F_0 = 0$  and  $F_1 = 1$ . Also, the order of  $\Lambda_n$  is  $L_n$ , where  $L_n$  are the *Lucas numbers* defined by the same recurrence relation with the initial terms  $L_0 = 2$  and  $L_1 = 1$ .

To complete the preliminaries, we recall the following inequality on Fibonacci numbers.

**Lemma 2.1** [2, Corollary] *If  $n$  is a positive integer and  $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ , then  $F_n \geq F_i F_{n-i+1}$ .*

### 3 On Edge General Position Sets in Partial Cubes

In this section, we recall several results on the edge general position sets in partial cubes from [17] and derive some new results. This will motivate us to consider edge general position sets in Fibonacci cubes and in Lucas cubes in the next section.

Let  $G$  be a graph. Then, we say that edges  $xy$  and  $uv$  of  $G$  are in the *Djoković–Winkler relation*  $\Theta$  if  $d_G(x, u) + d_G(y, v) \neq d_G(x, v) + d_G(y, u)$  [3, 30]. A connected graph  $G$  is a partial cube if and only if  $G$  is bipartite and  $\Theta$  is transitive [30]. It follows that  $\Theta$  partitions the edge set of a partial cube into  $\Theta$ -classes. Moreover, if  $G$  is a partial cube isometrically embedded into  $Q_n$  such that for each  $i \in [n]$  there exists an edge  $xy \in E(G) \subseteq E(Q_n)$  with  $x_i \neq y_i$ , then  $G$  contains exactly  $n$   $\Theta$ -classes. We will denote them by  $\Theta_1(G), \dots, \Theta_n(G)$ , where  $\Theta_i(G), i \in [n]$ , consists of the edges of  $G$  which differ in coordinate  $i$ .

We first recall the following result.

**Lemma 3.1** [17, Lemma 3.1] *If  $G$  is a partial cube embedded into  $Q_n$ , then  $\Theta_i(G) \cup \Theta_j(G)$  is an edge general position set of  $G$ .*

Using Lemma 3.1, it was proved in [17] that  $\text{gp}_e(Q_r) = 2^r$ . It was also proved that  $\text{gp}_e(P_r \square P_r) = 4r - 8$  for  $r \geq 4$ , see [17, Theorem 4.1]. Note, however, that  $|\Theta_i(P_r \square P_r)| = r$  for each  $i \in [2r - 2]$ . Hence, Lemma 3.1 only yields  $\text{gp}_e(P_r \square P_r) \geq 2r$  which is arbitrary away from the optimal value. Moreover, if  $r \geq 5$ , then by [17, Theorem 4.2] a largest edge general position set of  $P_r \square P_r$  is unique and is not a union of some  $\Theta$ -classes. On the other hand, we can have large edge general position sets which are the union of many  $\Theta$ -classes as the following result asserts, where by an *end block* of a graph  $G$  we mean a block of  $G$  which contains exactly one cut vertex.

To prove the next proposition, we recall the following auxiliary result.

**Lemma 3.2** [14, Lemma 6.4] *Let  $H$  be an isometric subgraph of  $G$ , and let  $e$  and  $f$  be edges from different blocks of  $H$ . Then,  $e$  is not in relation  $\Theta$  with  $f$  in  $G$ .*

**Proposition 3.3** *Let  $B_1, \dots, B_k$  be the end blocks of a partial cube  $G$  and for  $i \in [k]$  let  $\Theta^i(G)$  be an arbitrary  $\Theta$ -class of  $G$  with an edge in  $B_i$ . Then,  $\bigcup_{i \in [k]} \Theta^i(G)$  is an edge general position set of  $G$ .*

**Proof** By Lemma 3.2,  $\Theta^i(G) \subseteq E(B_i)$ , and thus,  $\Theta^i(G)$  also forms a  $\Theta$ -class of  $B_i$ . Consider now an arbitrary shortest path  $P$  of  $G$  and suppose it contains an edge  $e_i$  of some  $\Theta^i(G)$ . Then, by the above,  $e_i$  is the only edge of  $P$  from  $\Theta^i(G)$ . If  $P$  contains an edge  $e_j$  from some other  $\Theta^j(G)$ , then also  $e_j$  is the only edge of  $P$  from  $\Theta^j(G)$ . Moreover, in this case,  $E(P) \cap \bigcup_{i \in [k]} \Theta^i(G) = \{e_i, e_j\}$  because all the edges of  $P$  which do not lie in  $B_i \cup B_j$  are from blocks which are not end blocks.  $\square$



Note that Proposition 3.3 implies that the set of leaves of a tree  $T$  forms an edge general position set. For another example of an edge general position which is the union of many  $\Theta$ -classes, see Fig. 2.

To finish this section, consider the partial cube  $G$  from Fig. 3. In the left figure, the union of its two largest  $\Theta$ -classes forms an edge general position set of cardinality 8. In the middle figure, the union of four  $\Theta$ -classes also forms an edge general position set of  $G$  of cardinality 8. Finally, since we can cover the edges of  $G$  by four shortest paths as shown in the right figure, we have  $\text{gp}_e(G) \leq 8$  which means that both indicated sets are largest edge general position sets.

## 4 On Edge General Position Sets in Fibonacci and Lucas Cubes

Fibonacci cubes and Lucas cubes are partial cubes [10]. Thus, all the results and comments of the previous section can be applied to them. The cardinality of the  $\Theta$ -classes of Fibonacci cubes was independently determined in [13, 26] and the cardinality of the  $\Theta$ -classes of Lucas cubes in [13]. These results read as follows.

**Fig. 2** An edge general position set



**Fig. 3** Two largest edge general position sets of a partial cube and a cover of it by shortest paths

**Proposition 4.1**

- (i) If  $n \geq 1$  and  $i \in [n]$ , then  $|\Theta_i(\Gamma_n)| = F_i F_{n-i+1}$ .
- (ii) If  $n \geq 1$  and  $i \in [n]$ , then  $|\Theta_i(\Lambda_n)| = F_{n-1}$ .

To find large edge general position sets in  $\Gamma_n$ , we can apply Lemma 3.1. For this sake, we first answer the question for which  $i$  and  $j$  the value  $|\Theta_i(\Gamma_n) \cup \Theta_j(\Gamma_n)|$  is maximum.

**Proposition 4.2** If  $n \geq 2$ , then

$$2F_n = \max\{|\Theta_i(\Gamma_n)| + |\Theta_j(\Gamma_n)| : i, j \in [n], i \neq j\}.$$

**Proof** Set  $M = \max\{|\Theta_i(\Gamma_n)| + |\Theta_j(\Gamma_n)| : i, j \in [n], i \neq j\}$ . Using Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 2.1, we can then estimate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \max\{|\Theta_i(\Gamma_n)| + |\Theta_j(\Gamma_n)| : i, j \in [n], i \neq j\} \\ &= \max\{F_i F_{n-i+1} + F_j F_{n-j+1} : i, j \in [n], i \neq j\} \\ &\leq \max\{F_n + F_n : i, j \in [n], i \neq j\} \\ &= 2F_n. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $|\Theta_1(\Gamma_n)| + |\Theta_n(\Gamma_n)| = F_n + F_n$ ; hence, we can conclude that  $M = 2F_n$ . □

**Theorem 4.3** *If  $n \geq 2$ , then  $\Theta_1(\Gamma_n) \cup \Theta_n(\Gamma_n)$  is a maximal edge general position set of  $\Gamma_n$ . Moreover,  $gp_e(\Gamma_n) \geq 2F_n$ .*

**Proof** To prove the first assertion, we will use the fact that a shortest path can contain at most one edge from  $\Theta_1(\Gamma_n)$  and at most one edge from  $\Theta_n(\Gamma_n)$ , cf. [7, Lemma 11.1]. Hence, we only need to prove that no edge can be added to  $\Theta_1(\Gamma_n) \cup \Theta_n(\Gamma_n)$  in order to keep the edge general position property.

The statement of the theorem clearly holds for  $\Gamma_2$  and can be easily verified for  $\Gamma_3$  and  $\Gamma_4$ . In the rest, we may thus assume that  $n \geq 5$ . Consider an arbitrary edge  $e = uv \in \Theta_i(\Gamma_n)$ , where  $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . We may without loss of generality assume that  $u_i = 0$  and  $v_i = 1$ . We need to show that  $e$  lies on some shortest path that contains one edge from  $\Theta_1(\Gamma_n)$  and one edge from  $\Theta_n(\Gamma_n)$ . We distinguish the following two cases.

**Case 1:**  $i \in \{2, n - 1\}$ . Suppose first that  $i = 2$ . In this case,  $u = 000 \dots$  and  $v = 010 \dots$ . If  $u_n = v_n = 1$ , then the following path

$$\begin{aligned} y &= 100 \dots 00 \\ x &= 000 \dots 00 \\ u &= 000 \dots 01 \\ v &= 010 \dots 01 \end{aligned}$$

is a shortest  $x, y$ -path in  $\Gamma_n$  that contains  $yx \in \Theta_1(\Gamma_n)$  and  $xu \in \Theta_n(\Gamma_n)$ . If  $u_n = v_n = 0$ , then we consider two subcases. In the first one,  $u = 000 \dots 00$  and  $v = 010 \dots 00$ . Then, the following shortest path

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 100 \dots 00 \\ u &= 000 \dots 00 \\ v &= 010 \dots 00 \\ y &= 010 \dots 01 \end{aligned}$$

contains  $xu \in \Theta_1(\Gamma_n)$  and  $vy \in \Theta_n(\Gamma_n)$ . In the second subcase, we consider  $u = 000 \dots 10$  and  $v = 010 \dots 10$ , in which case we have  $u = 000 \dots 010$  and  $v = 010 \dots 010$ . Then, the path

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 100 \dots 010 \\ u &= 000 \dots 010 \\ v &= 010 \dots 010 \\ y &= 010 \dots 000 \\ z &= 010 \dots 001 \end{aligned}$$

is a shortest path in  $\Gamma_n$  and contains  $xu \in \Theta_1(\Gamma_n)$  and  $yz \in \Theta_n(\Gamma_n)$ . For instance, if  $n = 5$ , then the path constructed is:  $x = 10010, u = 00010, v = 01010, y = 01000, z = 01001$ .

We have thus considered all the subcases when  $i = 2$ . By the symmetry of Fibonacci strings, the case  $i = n - 1$  can be done analogously.

**Case 2:**  $2 < i < n - 1$ . In this case, we have  $u = \dots 000\dots$  and  $v = \dots 010\dots$ . Assume first that  $u_1 = v_1 = 1$  and  $u_n = v_n = 1$ , so that  $u = 10\dots 010\dots 01$  and  $v = 10\dots 000\dots 01$ . Then, the path

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 00 \dots 010\dots 01 \\ v &= 10 \dots 010\dots 01 \\ u &= 10 \dots 000\dots 01 \\ y &= 10 \dots 000\dots 00 \end{aligned}$$

is a shortest path in  $\Gamma_n$  and contains edges  $xv \in \Theta_1(\Gamma_n)$  and  $uy \in \Theta_n(\Gamma_n)$ . For instance, if  $n = 5$ , then the path constructed is:  $x = 00101, v = 10101, u = 10001, y = 10000$ .

If  $u$  and  $v$  start and end by 00, we simply change the first and the last bit to construct a required shortest path. Assume next that  $u = 01\dots 000\dots 10$  and  $v = 01\dots 010\dots 10$ . Because  $v$  starts and ends with 0 and contains at least three 1s, in this case we have  $n \geq 7$ . Then, the path

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 10 \dots 010\dots 10 \\ x' &= 00 \dots 010\dots 10 \\ v &= 01 \dots 010\dots 10 \\ u &= 01 \dots 000\dots 10 \\ y &= 01 \dots 000\dots 00 \\ y' &= 01 \dots 000\dots 01 \end{aligned}$$

is a shortest path in  $\Gamma_n$  which contains  $xx' \in \Theta_1(\Gamma_n)$  and  $yy' \in \Theta_n(\Gamma_n)$ . The final cases when  $u$  and  $v$  begin by 0 and end by 1 (or the other way around) are done by combining the above paths.


By Proposition 4.1(i),  $|\Theta_1(\Gamma_n)| = |\Theta_n(\Gamma_n)| = F_n$ ; hence,  $gp_e(\Gamma_n) \geq |\Theta_1(\Gamma_n)| + |\Theta_n(\Gamma_n)| = 2F_n$ . □

With a lot of effort, we can further prove that for any  $i$  and  $j$ , the set  $\Theta_i(\Gamma_n) \cup \Theta_j(\Gamma_n)$  is a maximal edge general position set. However, since  $\Theta_1(\Gamma_n) \cup \Theta_n(\Gamma_n)$  is a largest such a set, we omit the long case analysis here.

Based on Theorem 4.3, we wonder whether  $\Theta_1(\Gamma_n) \cup \Theta_n(\Gamma_n)$  is not only a maximal edge general position set of  $\Gamma_n$  but also a maximum edge general position set. While we have no answer in general, we next show that this is true up to dimension  $n \leq 5$ . For  $n \leq 4$ , this can be easily checked, and for  $n = 5$  we have the following.

**Proposition 4.4**  $gp_e(\Gamma_5) = 10$ .

Fig. 4 Four shortest paths in  $\Gamma_5$



**Proof** By Theorem 4.3, we have  $gp_e(\Gamma_5) \geq 10$ . Consider now the four paths in  $\Gamma_5$  as indicated in Fig. 4, and note that each of them is a shortest path. The only edges not contained in one of these four paths are  $e, e',$  and  $e''$ , see Fig. 4 again.

Let  $X$  be an arbitrary edge general position set of  $\Gamma_5$ . Since each of the four paths from Fig. 4 is a shortest path, each of them can contain at most two edges from  $X$  and thus  $|X| \leq 4 \cdot 2 + 3 = 11$ . Supposing that  $|X| = 11$ , we must have  $e, e', e'' \in X$ . However, by inspection we can now infer that if  $e, e', e'' \in X$ , then there are only 5 more additional edges that could possibly lie in  $X$ ; hence if  $e, e', e'' \in X$ , then actually  $|X| \leq 8$  holds.  $\square$

Based on Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 4.4, we pose:

**Conjecture 4.5** *If  $n \geq 2$ , then  $gp_e(\Gamma_n) = 2F_n$ .*

For the Lucas cubes, we have the following result parallel to Theorem 4.3.

**Theorem 4.6** *If  $n \geq 4$ , then  $\Theta_1(\Lambda_n) \cup \Theta_n(\Lambda_n)$  is a maximal edge general position set of  $\Lambda_n$ . Moreover,  $gp_e(\Lambda_n) \geq 2F_{n-1}$ .*

**Proof** We proceed parallel with the proof of Theorem 4.3. More precisely, we need to show that no edge can be added to  $\Theta_1(\Lambda_n) \cup \Theta_n(\Lambda_n)$  in order to keep the edge general position property. Then, all the paths constructed in the proof of Theorem 4.3 contain no vertex which would start and end with 1; hence, the same paths are suitable also for the present proof. The only exception appears to be the path as constructed in the first subcase of Case 2. However, this subcase is not relevant in the present proof because the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are not vertices of  $\Lambda_n$ , and hence, we need not consider them here. Finally, by Proposition 4.1(ii),  $|\Theta_1(\Lambda_n)| = |\Theta_n(\Lambda_n)| = F_{n-1}$ ; hence,  $gp_e(\Lambda_n) \geq |\Theta_1(\Lambda_n)| + |\Theta_n(\Lambda_n)| = 2F_{n-1}$ .  $\square$






Fig. 5  $\Delta_5$  and its largest edge general position set

By Theorem 4.6,  $gp_e(\Delta_5) \geq 6$ . The following result then comes as a surprise.

**Proposition 4.7**  $gp_e(\Delta_5) = 7$ .

**Proof** Let  $X$  be an arbitrary edge general position set of  $\Delta_5$  and let  $u = 00000$ . Let  $F = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$  be the set of the edges incident to  $u$ , where  $e_1 = \{u, 10000\}$ ,  $e_2 = \{u, 01000\}$ ,  $e_3 = \{u, 00100\}$ ,  $e_4 = \{u, 00010\}$ , and  $e_5 = \{u, 00001\}$ . See Fig. 5.

Since  $F$  is an edge general position set, we consider the following cases.

**Case 1:**  $|X \cap F| = 5$ . In this case, no edge from  $E(\Delta_5) \setminus F$  can be added to  $X$ , so  $|X| = 5$ .

**Case 2:**  $|X \cap F| = 4$ . We may without loss of generality assume that  $F = \{e_1, e_3, e_5, e_2\}$ . Then, only the edges  $\{01010, 00010\}$  and  $\{10010, 00010\}$  can be added to  $X$ ; hence, in this case we have  $|X| \leq 6$ .

**Case 3:**  $|X \cap F| = 3$ . By the symmetry of  $\Delta_5$ , it suffices to distinguish the following two subcases.

(i)  $X$  contains three consecutive edges, say  $X \cap F = \{e_1, e_3, e_5\}$ . Then, at most two edges of  $E(\Delta_5) \setminus F$  can be added to  $X$ .

(ii)  $X$  does not contain three consecutive edges, say  $X \cap F = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ . Then, four edges of  $E(\Delta_5) \setminus F$  can be added to  $X$  as shown in the right-hand side of Fig. 5. So in this case  $|X| = 7$ .

**Case 4:**  $|X \cap F| = 2$ . In this case, no matter whether the edges from  $X \cap F$  are consecutive or not, we can easily check that at most four edges of  $E(\Delta_5) \setminus F$  can be added to  $X$ .

**Case 5:**  $|X \cap F| = 1$ . We may assume that  $F = \{e_1\}$ . Then, considering the three subcases based on whether  $\{10000, 10010\}$  and  $\{10000, 10100\}$  lie in  $X$ , we get that in every case  $|X| \leq 6$ .

**Case 6:**  $|X \cap F| = 0$ . In this case, we observe that at most every second edge from the outer 10-cycle can lie in  $X$ ; hence,  $|X| \leq 5$ .

Note that the proof of Proposition 4.7 also implies that a largest edge general position set of  $\Delta_5$  is unique up to symmetry.

**Acknowledgements** This work has been supported by TUBITAK and the Slovenian Research Agency under grant numbers 122N184 and BI-TR/22-24-20, respectively. Sandi Klavžar also acknowledges the financial

support from the Slovenian Research Agency (research core funding P1-0297 and projects J1-2452 and N1-0285).

**Data availability** Our manuscript has no associated data.

## Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

1. Anand, B.S., Chandran, S.V.U., Changat, M., Klavžar, S., Thomas, E.J.: Characterization of general position sets and its applications to cographs and bipartite graphs. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **359**, 84–89 (2019)
2. Atanassov, K.T., Knott, R., Ozeki, K., Shannon, A.G., Szalay, L.: Inequalities among related pairs of Fibonacci numbers. *Fibonacci Quart.* **41**, 20–22 (2003)
3. Djoković, D.: Distance preserving subgraphs of hypercubes. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **14**, 263–267 (1973)
4. Egecioğlu, Ö., Saygi, E., Saygi, Z.: The number of short cycles in Fibonacci cubes. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **871**, 134–146 (2021)
5. Egecioğlu, Ö., Saygi, E., Saygi, Z.: The Mostar index of Fibonacci and Lucas cubes. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **44**, 3677–3687 (2021)
6. Gravier, S., Mollard, M., Špacapan, S., Zemljčič, S.S.: On disjoint hypercubes in Fibonacci cubes. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **190**(191), 50–55 (2015)
7. Hammack, R., Imrich, W., Klavžar, S.: *Handbook of Product Graphs*, 2nd edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton (2011)
8. Hsu, W.-J.: Fibonacci cubes—a new interconnection topology. *IEEE Trans. Parallel Distr. Syst.* **4**, 3–12 (1993)
9. Ilić, A., Milošević, M.: The parameters of Fibonacci and Lucas cubes. *Ars Math. Contemp.* **12**, 25–29 (2017)
10. Klavžar, S.: On median nature and enumerative properties of Fibonacci-like cubes. *Discrete Math.* **299**, 145–153 (2005)
11. Klavžar, S.: Structure of Fibonacci cubes: a survey. *J. Comb. Optim.* **25**, 505–522 (2013)
12. Klavžar, S., Patkós, B., Rus, G., Yero, I.G.: On general position sets in Cartesian products. *Results Math.* **76**, 123 (2021)
13. Klavžar, S., Peterin, I.: Edge-counting vectors, Fibonacci cubes, and Fibonacci triangle. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **71**, 267–278 (2007)
14. Klavžar, S., Peterin, I., Zemljčič, S.S.: Hamming dimension of a graph—the case of Sierpiński graphs. *Eur. J. Combin.* **34**, 460–473 (2013)
15. Körner, J.: On the extremal combinatorics of the Hamming space. *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* **71**, 112–126 (1995)
16. Manuel, P., Klavžar, S.: A general position problem in graph theory. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **98**, 177–187 (2018)
17. Manuel, P., Prabha, R., Klavžar, S.: The edge general position problem. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **45**, 2997–3009 (2022)

18. Mollard, M.: Edges in Fibonacci cubes, Lucas cubes and complements. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **44**, 4425–4437 (2021)
19. Mollard, M.: The (non-)existence of perfect codes in Lucas cubes, *Ars Math. Contemp.* **22**, #P3.10 (2022)
20. Munarini, E., Perelli Cippo, C., Zagaglia Salvi, N.: On the Lucas cubes. *Fibonacci Quart.* **39**, 12–21 (2001)
21. Patkós, B.: On the general position problem on Kneser graphs. *Ars Math. Contemp.* **18**, 273–280 (2020)
22. Savitha, K.S., Vijayakumar, A.: Some diameter notions of Fibonacci cubes. *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* **13**, 2050057 (2020)
23. Saygi, E., Egecioğlu, Ö.:  $q$ -Counting hypercubes in Lucas cubes. *Turk. J. Math.* **42**, 190–203 (2018)
24. Saygi, E., Egecioğlu, Ö.: Boundary enumerator polynomial of hypercubes in Fibonacci cubes. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **266**, 191–199 (2019)
25. Taranenko, A.: A new characterization and a recognition algorithm of Lucas cubes. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* **15**, 31–39 (2013)
26. Taranenko, A., Vesel, A.: Fast recognition of Fibonacci cubes. *Algorithmica* **49**, 81–93 (2007)
27. Tian, J., Xu, K.: The general position number of Cartesian products involving a factor with small diameter. *Appl. Math. Comp.* **403**, 126206 (2021)
28. Ullas Chandran, S.V., Parthasarathy, G.J.: The geodesic irredundant sets in graphs. *Int. J. Math. Combin.* **4**, 135–143 (2016)
29. Vesel, A.: Linear recognition and embedding of Fibonacci cubes. *Algorithmica* **71**, 1021–1034 (2015)
30. Winkler, P.: Isometric embeddings in products of complete graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **7**, 221–225 (1984)
31. Yao, Y., He, M., Ji, S.: On the general position number of two classes of graphs. *Open Math.* **20**, 1021–1029 (2022)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.