ithors's Accepted manuscript of an article published in ričar J., Jevšenak J., Hafner P., Brus R. 2022. Tree rings, y and climate-growth relationships of four Douglas-fir in sub-Mediterranean Slovenia. Trees doi: 10.1007/ 02362-5	001 002 003 004 005
rings, wood density and climate-growth	006 007 008
tionships of four Douglas-fir provenances	009 010 011 012
in sub-Mediterranean Slovenia	012 013 014 015
a Krajnc ^{1*} , Jožica Gričar ¹ , Jernej Jevšenak ¹ , Polona Hafner ¹ and Robert Brus ²	016 017 018
^{1*} Slovenian Forestry Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia. nnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia.	019 020 021 022
prresponding author(s). E-mail(s): luka.krajnc@gozdis.si; Contributing authors: jozica.gricar@gozdis.si; jernej.jevsenak@gozdis.si; polona.hafner@gozdis.si; robert.brus@bf.uni-lj.si;	023 024 025 026 027 028
Abstract	029
rings, wood density and the climate-growth relationship of four clas-fir provenances were analysed separately for the juvenile and a phases. Four provenances were selected from an existing IUFRO enance trial planted in 1971 based on their diameter at breast height vitality. Increment cores were extracted from individual trees, on a we measured tree-ring widths (RW), earlywood widths (EWW) latewood widths (LWW). Wood density was assessed in standing using resistance drilling. The climate-growth correlations were lated between provenance chronologies of RW, EWW, LWW and rood share, and the day-wise aggregated Standardised Precipitation- otranspiration Index (SPEI). The analysis was done separately for uvenile and mature phases of growth. Provenances 1064 (Jeffer- and 1080 (Yelm) exhibited larger annual radial increments than enances 1028 (Merrit) and 1089 (Cathlamet). The two provenances the highest annual radial increment in the juvenile phase did not bit the same trend in the adult phase. In all provenances, RW, and equently EWW and LWW, were wider in the juvenile than in adult	$\begin{array}{c} 031\\ 032\\ 033\\ 034\\ 035\\ 036\\ 037\\ 038\\ 039\\ 040\\ 041\\ 042\\ 043\\ 044\\ 045\\ 044\\ 045\\ 045\\ 045\\ 045\\ 045$
	nthors's Accepted manuscript of an article published in ričar J., Jevšenak J., Hafner P., Brus R. 2022. Tree rings, y and climate-growth relationships of four Douglas-fir in sub-Mediterranean Slovenia. Trees doi: 10.1007/ 02362-5 rings, wood density and climate-growth clionships of four Douglas-fir provenances in sub-Mediterranean Slovenia a Krajnc ^{1*} , Jožica Gričar ¹ , Jernej Jevšenak ¹ , Polona Hafner ¹ and Robert Brus ² ^{1*} Slovenian Forestry Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia. mical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia. rresponding author(s). E-mail(s): luka.krajnc@gozdis.si; Contributing authors: jozica.gricar@gozdis.si; jernej.jevsenak@gozdis.si; polona.hafner@gozdis.si; robert.brus@bf.uni-lj.si; Matter Based on their diameter at breast height vitality. Increment cores were selected from an existing IUFRO mance trial planted in 1971 based on their diameter at breast height vitality. Increment cores were extracted from an existing IUFRO mance trial planted in 1971 based on their diameter at breast height vitality. Increment cores were extracted from an existing IUFRO mance trial planted ring. The climate-growth corelations were lated between provenance chronologies of RW, EWW, LWW and ood share, and the day-wise aggregated Standardised Precipitation- otranspiration Index (SPEI). The analysis was done separately for uvenile and mature phases of growth. Provenances, RW, and quently EWW and LWW, were wider in the juvenile has edid not it the same trend in the adult phase. In all provenances, RW, and quently EWW and LWW, were wider in the juvenile than in adult

2 Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships...

047phase. The share of latewood was in all cases higher in juvenile wood048than in mature wood. All four provenances had similar wood densities in049both analyzed growth phases. Our analysis showed that when selecting050the most promising provenance for planting, possible changes in relative051growth rate from the juvenile to adult phase need to be considered.

relationships Kev message: The between growth rates of examined provenances in the sub-Mediterranean change between juvenile and adult growth phase, while wood density similar in allfour examined isapproximately provenances.

Keywords: *Pseudotsuga menziesii*, SPEI, juvenile phase, adult phase, latewood share, resistance drilling

 $\begin{array}{c} 058 \\ 059 \end{array}$

052

053

054

 $\begin{array}{c} 055\\ 056 \end{array}$

057

 $\begin{array}{c} 060\\ 061 \end{array}$

062 1 Introduction 063

064 Due to climate change and associated severe events, the tree-species composi-065066 tion in European forests is expected to change in the coming decades, which 067 will influence forest management practices and the global forest sector in terms 068 069 of timber supply, demand and production (Bolte et al. 2009; Keenan 2015; 070 071Dyderski et al. 2018; Buras and Menzel 2019). The abundance of the currently 072 most economically important European tree species is thus expected either to 073074decrease (e.g., Norway spruce and Scots pine) or remain unchanged (common 075076 beech and pedunculate oak). Several currently less represented and relatively 077 less economically important native (e.g., black pine, maritime pine, pubescent 078079oak) and/or non-native tree species (e.g., Douglas-fir) are projected partly to 080 081fill these gaps (Buras and Menzel 2019). Despite a variety of opinions among 082 experts on non-native tree species, there is general agreement that non-native 083084tree species may become more economically important - but only in a sup-085086 porting role and not as a replacement for natural succession processes (Jandl 087 et al. 2019). Careful integration of a range of tested non-native tree species 088089 into forests thus seems to be one of the solutions for climate change adaptation 090 091and mitigation (Bindewald et al. 2020). 092

Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships...

In terms of wood properties and improved resilience to climate change, Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco) has already been con-sidered a possible suitable species, whose timber could be used to augment or replace timber from the currently most widespread species (e.g. Norway spruce) (Spiecker et al. 2019). Although Douglas-fir is grown on 0.83 million ha in Europe (Brus et al. 2019) and is nowadays one of the most important commercial non-native timber species in West and Central Europe (Eilmann et al. 2013), its yearly harvest in Slovenia is representing only ca. 2.4 % of the total harvested timber volume (Skudnik et al. 2021). Its currently negligible share may change in the coming years for the reasons mentioned above.

The ability of trees to withstand environmental changes depends on phe-notypic plasticity, genetic diversity within and between populations, and gene flow (Kramer et al. 2010). Tree species that are more resistant to drought or wind-related damages may thus have better chances of survival in such unpre-dictable circumstances. Douglas-fir is native to the western United States and Canada, where it grows in a wide range of site conditions and therefore dis-plays high adaptive genetic variability. It is a highly productive tree species that generally copes well with frequent droughts (Eilmann and Rigling 2012); however, the drought tolerance and productivity of Douglas-fir trees depend on their geographical origin. The coastal Douglas-fir variety (*P. menziesii* var. menziesii) is less drought-tolerant but more productive than the interior vari-ety (P. menziesii var. glauca), the latter is also less resistant to needle cast (Rhabdocline pseudotsugae Syd., (1922)) when planted in Europe; thus, vari-ety glauca has rarely been planted in Europe (Eilmann et al. 2013). Since differences also exist in productivity and drought tolerance among coastal

139 Douglas-fir populations, the suitability of provenances for different site con140
141 ditions in Europe has been extensively investigated (e.g. Spiecker et al. 2019;
142
143 Isaac-Renton et al. 2014).

Thus, for appropriate provenance selection that also considers changing climate conditions, information on the long-term performance of different provenances under current and future European climate conditions is needed. Provenance trials, such as the IUFRO seed collection program established in 1966/1967, in which seeds from the natural range of coastal Douglas-fir were collected and distributed to 20 European countries (Montwé et al. 2015), are ideal for identifying the best performing provenance for selected sites. The Slovene provenance trial was established in 1971 when 15 coastal Douglas-fir provenances were planted in Brkini, characterised by an inland sub-Mediterranean climatic regime (Smolnikar et al. 2021). However, in addition to high productivity and drought-tolerance, wood quality is also an important factor for provenance selection by forest owners and forest managers. Wood density is one of the wood characteristics that has usually been used as a mea-sure of wood quality, whereby higher density generally improves mechanical wood properties resulting in higher-quality wood (Rais et al. 2014).

In this study, we analysed climate-growth relationships and wood den-sity of four coastal Douglas-fir provenances, separately for juvenile and adult phases. This was done because radial growth trend and climate-growth rela-tionships may change from juvenile to adult phases. Juvenile wood is generally considered inferior to adult wood in terms of mechanical and physical proper-ties, which are crucial in determining the suitability of wood for specific end uses (Blohm et al. 2016). Juvenile wood of Douglas-fir will probably become more economically important because of the shortening of rotation periods on commercial plantations, which leads to a higher proportion of juvenile wood

 $\begin{array}{c} 203\\ 204 \end{array}$

(Blohm et al. 2016). The provenance selection was based on the recent data of Smolnikar et al. (2021), who investigated the survival rate, diameter at breast height growth and branchiness of 1061 surviving trees of 15 different prove-nances in a Slovene provenance trial. Two of the best-performing (P-1080 and P-1089) and two of the worst-performing (P-1028 and P-1064) provenances in terms of vitality and diameter at breast height (DBH) were selected for the tree-ring and wood density analyses presented in the current study. Since areas with a sub-Mediterranean climate in Europe and worldwide are expected to increase with global warming (Buras and Menzel 2019), the results can pro-vide valuable insight into the future growth of Douglas-fir trees in drier and warmer climates.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Study site, origin and characteristics of provenances

The studied Douglas-fir trees are growing in a provenance trial designated Padež I. The study site is located in the forest district of Sežana, Slovenia (N $45^{\circ}36'13''$; E 14°3'21") at 530–580 m above sea level. The relief at the site is smooth with 5% outcrops, and the soil is a distric brown soil on non-carbonate flysch and decalcified marl. The climate is inland sub-Mediterranean (Ogrin 1996), the average annual temperature for the period 1980–2010 is 10.4 °C. the average January temperature is $1.3 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ and the average July temperature is 20.1 °C. The average annual precipitation for this period is 1306 mm and the precipitation is quite favorably distributed within the growing season. The wettest month is October with 152 mm average precipitation, while the driest months are February, January and July, with 76, 81 and 82 mm precipitation, respectively. During the period from 1961 to 2011, there were several dry years with less than 1000 mm rainfall (1983, 2003 and 2011), while 1976, 1979, 1984,

231 2000 and 2010 were wet years with more than 1600 mm rainfall. Climate data
232 were obtained from the nearest meteorological station, in Ilirska Bistrica (424
234 m a.s.l.), 16 km from the study area, reference period 1980–2010 (Agencija
236 Republike za Okolje 2014).

The provenance trial is part of an extensive IUFRO program in which seeds from the natural range of Douglas-fir were collected and distributed to several European countries (Kleinschmit and Bastien 1992). The provenance trial in Slovenia was established in 1971 with the planting of 15 coastal Douglas-fir (P. menziesii var. menziesii) provenances. The experimental plot was rectan-gular, with an area of 1.56 ha, on which 2460 trees of 15 provenances were planted. Provenances were planted in a systematic distribution to exclude envi-ronmental influences such as small differences in soil and slope. Rows with 2.5 m spacing consisted of several series of 10 trees per provenance, again with 2.5 m spacing in a row. Depending on the number of seedlings available, there were 11-20 replicates per provenance. In the establishment phase, the trial was fenced, planting success was over 90% (Mlinšek 1977) and the trial plantation has never been thinned. Prior to this study, data were collected and analyzed for the period from 1975 to 1985 (Breznikar 1991) and again in 2017 (Smol-nikar et al. 2021). The latter study showed that the best provenances, based on vitality and current diameter at breast height were Yelm and Cathlamet, while the worst provenances based on these two criteria were Merrit and Jef-ferson (however, the latter was the provenance with the best log quality, as evaluated by branching (Table 1 and Table 2, Smolnikar et al. (2021)). In the present investigation, these four provenances were used in an in-depth study with regard to their growth performance and wood density.

ame ters ates							2
ne lice							2
de; rep							2
on co							2
atic er							2
lev: nb							2
- el							2
1ce 1 - 1							2
nar (n ies							2
ide ser							2
prc titu of		er es					2
al alf	-	nb(erie	∞	2	2	9	2
ion es;		hur f s					2
nati e; 1	· /	4 0					2
err idir		80 S					2
int oor ena		ling		.0			2
ove -	-	sed.	18(16(17(16(2
ode ica		un f se					2
) co uph oer	-	4 0					2
RC gra ss I		n		S		0	
UF seo ing		atic	-950	-24	0	-20	
edl 5		eve	20-	40^{-}	Ō	95^{-}	2
sž I (°) se	$(\overline{+})$	E	òõ	Ň		Ĥ	3
ade V	01		10	2		2	3
ant		$\hat{}$	0.8	3.9	2.7	3.2	3
ar blot pl	t a	\mathbb{N}	12(12	$12'_{2}$	12.5	3
of (°)	n e						3
nta N Jer	Ito	•	.07	.80	.02	.30	3
me: te;	Ser	Z	50	47	47	46	3
eri sta nu	- <u>-</u> -	a)					3
tal	Saa	nce	bia				3
e e ate to	<u>.</u>	ovi	un	-	-	_	3
th st.	66	$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}}$	5	tor	tor	tor	3
in ing	г 1	\mathbf{Or}	La La	ing	ing	ing	3
ied cit	ika	te	tis	ishi	ishi	idsi	3
by see	ZU	Sta	Bri	Wa	Wa	Wa	3
st ear of	Br						3
(ne) m.	<u></u>			г		let	3
ian nu	01	d)	E	IOS.		lan	3
ver nar ∍l;	1.2	ame	err	ffer	цm	th	3
Pro Coc	t a	Ň	Ž	Je	Ye	ũ	3
:: F anc anc	n e	~					3
e 1 /en : se	an	RC	~	_	_	_	3
rov rove	ilm	UF.	028	064	080	085	3
ab(ab(Ē	П	F	Ē	Ē	Ē	3
							-

	-						
323	ity						
324	lan tal						
325	[d :						
326	hun						
327	a a edir						
328	me						
329	2:						
330	ty,						
331	rth ali						
332	vit 202						
333	od	2				20	ç
334	go go	01	SD Cm	9.3	9.8	0	0.0
335	3: 3:	2					
336	st c ses ilka	2	H 🕤	0	9		
337	wes las	201	OB	27.	28.	36.	34.
338	v c	6.1	ц			•••	•••
339	L; S		ty				
340	om ita 99]	017	ali	.87	.16	.49	.37
341	r L	2(Vit	Η	2	2	2
342	ing Ity		ŕ				
343	ita]		/a.l	_			
344	igii 3re	017	viv (%	5.0	4.6	0.6	7.5
345	or val	0	Sun	က	4	4	4
346	ces rvi nce						
347	ano su ina	85		3.4	8.0	L.3	6.1
348	ren. ht,	19		516	595	59_{-}	651
349	rov pr						
350	r p er	78		8.9	4.3	1.1	2.5
351	s of the second	19	t	20	23°_{3}	24	26
352	glas ent ree		gh		-		
353	oug eme	77	m)	5.3	8.9	1.4	8.3
354	ure 1 al	19	G G	16	18	19	20
355	1 4 eas		Av		_		•
356	liec Me	976			2.0	2.0	1.2
357	tuc al. m	10		13	12	-10	16
358	e s tri re			\sim	\sim	~	~
359	th ce we	975)6.5	7	8	5.
360	of 1an 3H	Ë		1(-	-	-
361	nce DH						t
362	nar oro				n		me
363	n j al		Ie	rit	erse	С	ıla:
364	erfe nia ty)	ear	an	ler	Effe	eln	atl
365	Tali	Υ		Z	ŕ	Y	0
366	SIC Vit						
367	ole be ow		R	∞	4	0	6
368	Lal n t		I UF	02	00	08	08
000			·				

2.2 Climate data

Climate data for climate-growth correlations were extracted from E-OBS daily climate datasets, available since 1950 with a 0.1 grid of spatial resolution (Cornes et al. 2018). Precipitation totals and mean, maximum and minimum temperatures were extracted for 25 nearest grid points and interpolated for the exact site coordinates using cokriging with elevation included as an auxiliary variable (Feki et al. 2012). The data for the climate diagrams in Figures 1 and 2 were obtained using the WorldClim 2.1 global climate dataset (Fick and Hijmans 2017) with a spatial resolution of 2.5 minutes, and the climate diagrams were plotted using R library *climatol* (Guijarro 2019).

 $\begin{array}{c} 369\\ 370 \end{array}$



Fig. 1: Provenance origin location map with climate diagrams for each prove-nance origin location (Walter and Lieth 1960). The provenance code is followed by elevation in m above sea level (in parentheses), displaying the analyzed period, average temperature and precipitation in the second line and average maximum temperature of the warmest month with average minimum temper-ature of the coldest month on the left side of the diagrams (data from period of 1970-2000). The Merrit site is in the state of Washington and the others are in Oregon.

- $\begin{array}{c} 451 \\ 452 \end{array}$



Fig. 2: Provenance trial macro- and micro-location (marked wih red dot)479
480
480
481
period 1970-2000.

2.3 Dendrochronological analysis

Twelve to 18 individual trees from each provenance were sampled at random in June of 2020 using a 5.15-mm increment borer (Haglöf, Sweden), taking one core per tree. Increment cores were saved in paper straws, dried at the laboratory and glued into wooden holders. The tree cores were then sanded to obtain a clear surface with distinct tree rings, and high-resolution images were taken and stitched with the ATRICS system (Levanič 2007). Total tree-ring widths (RW), earlywood widths (EWW) and latewood widths (LWW) were measured with CooRecorder (Cybis Elektronik & Data AB), and the final crossdating was performed using PAST-5 software (SCIEM, Brunn, Austria). Latewood share was calculated as LWW divided by RW.

507 2.4 Climate-growth correlations

All chronologies used in the climate-growth analysis were first standardized using a fixed spline with 32 years of length and 0.5 frequency response. To build provenance chronologies, RW, EW and LW were pre-whitened and averaged using a robust biweight mean. We calculated the climate-growth correlations between provenance chronologies of RW, EWW, LWW and LW shares, and the day-wise aggregated Standardised Precipitation-Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) (Jevšenak and Levanič 2018; Jevšenak 2020), while correlations with daily temperature and precipitation are shown in Supplementary Material. SPEI accounts for both actual precipitation and potential evapotranspiration (PET) to determine drought (Beguería and Vicente-Serrano 2017). PET was estimated with the Hargreaves-Samani method (Hargreaves and Samani 1985) and the climatic water deficit was calculated for each day as the difference between the daily sum of precipitation and daily PET. We calculated the accu-mulated drought effects by aggregating climatic water deficits into a log-logistic probability distribution to obtain the SPEI index series of different seasons (Vicente-Serrano et al. 2010), from three weeks to nine months, including the effect of the previous growing season. Finally, we also assessed the effect of age on the temporal stability of SPEI correlations by using a subset window of 25 years and sliding it from the juvenile to adult phase.

2.5 Wood density assessment using resistance drilling 543

Wood density was assessed in standing trees of the selected four provenances
using resistance drilling. For the sake of speed, less damage to the stem, and
ease of resistance drilling measurements, a larger number of trees were used
here than in extracting increment cores. Thirty trees were measured in each
provenance (trees used for increment coring plus additional randomly sampled

trees) and the device used was a Resistograph SC-650 (Rinntech, Heidelberg, Germany) with 500-mm long drilling needles, calibrated by the manufacturer for absolute wood density assessment. The measurements were done bark-to-bark through the pith of the tree and the drilling data were saved by the device and then manually imported into the computer. The resistance drilling density measurements (in kq/m^3) were imported into the R statistical envi-ronment (R Core Team 2021) with the R package densitr (Krajnc 2020). The bark portion (where the drilling needle has not vet entered wood) of each mea-surement was trimmed away, after which the measurements were detrended automatically using a linear regression fit provided by the R package *densitr*. The presented values of resistance drilling density profiles are median values for each individual tree. As noted in other species (Krajnc et al. 2020), the resistance-drilling density values are generally lower than basic wood density due to the effect of the moisture content in fresh wood. No corrections in this regard were applied, since relative values of wood density are still comparable within the same species.

2.6 Distinguishing between juvenile wood and adult wood

Depending on genetic and external influences, the transition from juvenile to adult phase in Douglas-fir occurs between 17 and 30 years (Abdel-Gadir and Krahmer 1993; Giagli et al. 2017). The exact age at which a tree stops produc-ing juvenile wood and begins producing adult wood cannot be defined because of the gradual change in properties with age. At some point, the properties sta-bilize, however, and the boundary between juvenile and adult wood depends on tree species and analysed wood traits (i.e., wood density, RW, latewood per-centage, cell wall thickness and microfibril angle) (Bendtsen and Senft 1986). Blohm et al. (2016) reported that the age of demarcation between juvenile and

14 Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships...

599adult wood can differ by more than 7 years when identified by different wood 600 characteristics. Information on the methodology used is therefore important 601 602 for data comparison between different laboratories. Based on previous research 603 (Abdel-Gadir and Krahmer 1993; Giagli et al. 2017) the limit between juve-604 605nile and adult phases in our study was set at 20 years, counting outwards from 606 607 the pith (*i.e.* the year 1991). Using a subset of trees for which both increment 608 cores and resistance drilling were collected and measured, the proportion of 609 610juvenile vs. adult wood in the radial direction was calculated (50% juvenile : 611 61250% adult) using the sum of RW of the first 20 years and the overall sum of 613 RW. This ratio was then used to distinguish the first half (bark-to-pith) of the 614 615resistance-drilling measurements into juvenile and adult phases. 616

$^{618}_{619}$ 3 Results

 $\begin{array}{c} 620\\ 621 \end{array}$

617

$\frac{621}{622}$ 3.1 Tree-ring patterns

623RW chronologies for the four analysed provenances are shown in Figure 3. The 624 625two provenances with the highest annual radial increment in the juvenile phase 626 627do not exhibit the same pattern in the adult phase. Interestingly, the rela-628 tionship between the two pairs of faster-growing provenances in either phase 629 630 is not reflected in their current DBH values. Provenances 1080 and 1089 have 631632the largest diameters, while this is not reflected in their annual radial incre-633 ments in the adult phase. Instead, the largest annual increments in the adult 634 635phase were found in 1064 and 1080, the former being second to last in terms 636 637 of current DBH across the whole trial, while the latter had the largest DBH 638overall. 639

- 640
- 641
- 642
- $\begin{array}{c} 643 \\ 644 \end{array}$



Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships...

Fig. 3: Robust RW chronologies, blue vertical line marks the transition between juvenile and adult phase in 1991.

In all provenances, RW, and consequently EWW and LWW, were 41% (P-) - 65% (P-1089) wider in the juvenile than in the adult phase (Figure 4 and Table A2). In the juvenile phase, the narrowest RWs were found in P-1064 and the widest in P-1080. In the adult period, the narrowest RWs were found in P-1028 and the widest in P-1080. Significantly more variation was observed in the widths of adult wood across all provenances. Some of the differences between provenances were found to be statistically significant, confirming what was already observed in Figure 3: provenances 1064 and 1080 have larger annual radial increments than provenances 1028 and 1089. These relationships persist in both earlywood and latewood. The differences in all tree-ring parameters between juvenile and adult phase were also statistically significant (Figure A2).

adult juvenile ns ns ns Earlywood width 0. 10.0 ns 7.5 Latewood width Width [mm] 5.0 2.5 0.0

Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships...

Springer Nature 2021 LATEX template

ns Ring width Provenance

Fig. 4: RW, LWW and EWW by provenance and growth phase. The com-parison of means between growth phases was done using a Kruskal-Wallis test and statistical significance is marked with a * symbol (p < 0.05).

The share of latewood was in all cases higher in juvenile wood than in adult wood, between 2% (P-1080) and 6% (P-1028) higher on average. Latewood accounted for about half of the annual radial increment (Figure 5). Similar lev-els of variation in the share of latewood were observed in both analyzed phases

and across provenances. While some of the differences between provenances in the juvenile phase were statistically significant, this was not observed in the adult phase. 740
740
741



Fig. 5: Latewood share by provenance and growth phase. The comparison of means between growth phases was done using a Kruskal-Wallis test and statistical significance is marked with a * symbol (p < 0.05).

3.2 Wood density

The values of resistance-drilling wood density are shown in Figure 6. The overall mean resistance drilling density was 338 kg/m^3 with a standard deviation of 27 kg/m^3 . All four provenances had similar wood density in both analyzed growth phases and none of the differences were statistically significant.



797Fig. 6: Comparison of wood density between provenances and growth phases:798A) juvenile B) adult. The comparison of means between growth phases was799done using a Kruskal-Wallis test and statistical significance is marked with a800* symbol (p < 0.05).801

$\begin{array}{c} 805\\ 806 \end{array}$ 3.3 Climate-growth relationships

The general effect of wet conditions in the current growing season was posi-tive, indicating that Douglas-fir's radial growth was favoured in moist years, and reduced in dry years. A significant positive effect of SPEI on LW was also observed at the beginning of the previous growing season. The opposite effect was associated with the previous growing season's SPEI, whereby dry sum-mers resulted in wider tree-ring widths in the following year (Figure 7A). This negative correlation pattern was more significant at the juvenile stage, espe-cially the negative SPEI correlations of the previous late summer on LWW and RW, while in the adult phase, these correlations became insignificant (Figure 7B). The opposite pattern was observed for the positive correlations of current-year wet conditions on RW and LWW, which became more significant

Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships... 19

in recent, adult years. In comparison to LWW and RW, climate-growth correlations with EWW were more stable and varied less with cambial age. The LW share generally correlated positively with current and previous year SPEI.

Generally, all provenances showed synchronous correlations with SPEI, but there were differences in the strength of this signal. Considering both nega-tive correlations with the previous year's SPEI, and positive correlations with the current year's SPEI, the most significant correlations were calculated for provenances P-1028 and P-1064, while the lowest correlations were observed for P-1089. The last provenance also exhibited two exceptions, i.e., 1) the positive correlations with previous year's spring and LWW were not significant, and 2) there was a significant pattern of negative SPEI effect on RW at the end of the current growing season. Of all the proxies, the proportion of latewood was most sensitive for provenances P-1028 and P-1064, for which correlations exceeded 0.50. Strong correlations were also found between radial growth and temperature. The correlations of radial growth with precipitation and temperature are shown in Supplemental Material, Figures A4 and A5.



20 Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships...

906 Fig. 7: Climate growth correlations between studied tree-ring proxies and aggregated SPEI using the variable response window from 21 to 270 days. B) 907 908 Climate growth correlations between tree-ring parameters and 60-day SPEI. 909 where correlations were calculated for sub-periods of 25 years, from juvenile 910 (1980 - 2004) to adult phases (1996 - 2020). Months with lowercase letters 911 and '*' represent previous growing season. Only correlations with p < 0.05912 are shown. The reference position of plotted correlations is the end of time 913windows.

914

- 915
- 916

${}^{917}_{918}$ 4 Discussion

- 919
- 920

4.1 The importance of provenance on overall growth qualities

The four analysed Douglas-fir provenances were found to be suitable for plan-tation establishment in SW Slovenia with a sub-Mediterranean climate. As reported by Smolnikar (2018), all provenances originated from the low-altitude western coast of Washington, with the Cathlamet provenance (P-1089) show-ing the best combination of good growth, survival rate, and log quality. Our analysis showed that when selecting the most promising provenance for plant-ing based on these criteria, a change in growth rate from juvenile to adult phase should be considered. Only by combining climate-growth analysis with mea-surements of external tree features (such as diameter etc.) can we compare and assess the suitability of specific provenances for planting in current and future climates. Additionally, a visual assessment of log quality does not provide an insight into the wood structure (density, homogeneity of radial growth, intra-annual density fluctuations and other wood characteristics), which defines its usability for sawn timber or its end use. In addition to having the largest annual radial increments, provenances 1064 and 1080 also had the most homo-geneous growth in the adult phase. Due to systematic planting in provenance trials and the fact that this particular trial was not thinned, the findings of the study will not necessarily translate directly to trees in more natural stands. However, in the context of the data from this provenance trial, neither mortal-ity nor vitality can explain the superior radial growth of provenances 1064 and 1080 in the adult phase compared to the other two analyzed provenances and why this trend is not consistent throughout the analyzed period (see Table 2 and Figure 3).

22 Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships...

967 4.2 Wood characteristics across growth phases

Since wood characteristics, and consequently, wood properties are age related (Dinwoodie 1981), we distinguished between juvenile and adult wood in the analysis. Juvenile wood is formed in the early stages of tree radial growth and is generally of inferior quality compared to the relatively stable structure of adult wood. In conifers, juvenile wood is characterised by shorter tracheids having thinner secondary walls and a larger microfibril angle in the S2 layer, and it usually contains a lower proportion of latewood. This is reflected in different physical and mechanical wood properties compared to adult wood, such as lower wood density, transverse shrinkage, and strength, which limit its end use (Blohm et al. 2016). Despite that, juvenile wood of Douglas-fir is economically important and its properties have to be considered due to the shortening of the rotation periods on commercial plantations, which consequently leads to a higher proportion of juvenile wood (Blohm et al. 2016).

The higher latewood proportion in juvenile wood (48%) compared with the latewood proportion in adult wood (45%) found in our study contradicts pre-vious findings. Giagli et al. (2017) observed a coordinated age-related decrease of RW and EWW, while the LW proportion gradually increased with tree age; from 30% in the juvenile phase to almost 50% in adult wood. In Germany, a lower latewood percentage (34%) was reported in juvenile wood compared 1001 with adult wood (Blohm et al. 2016). These discrepancies in findings can be attributed to provenance specifics and/or environmental conditions. More $1004\,$ southern provenances tend to have a higher proportion of latewood as an adap-1006 tation to drought conditions, since thicker latewood cells with smaller lumens prevent hydraulic failure (Eilmann et al. 2013). Our site is located in the sub- $1009\,$ Mediterranean area well supplied with water throughout the year, which could

allow a long growing season that can extend into the autumn, as already pre-1013 1014viously reported for conifers in similar environments (Prislan et al. 2016). To 1015 1016 the best of our knowledge, no data on the seasonal dynamics of xylogene-1017 sis are available for Douglas-fir, but it can be inferred from tree-ring widths, 1018 1019 which are consistent with the values provided by other studies for productive 1020 1021 Douglas-fir (Eilmann et al. 2013). 1022

The differences in earlywood and latewood widths between provenances 10231024 appear consistent across both growth phases, indicating that differences 10251026between provenances are not directly climate-related and are consistent 1027 throughout the growing season. All three measured ring-related parameters 1028 1029(RW, EWW, LWW) exhibited more variation in the adult phase of growth 1030 1031 than in the juvenile phase. Different climatic sensitivity across growth phases, 1032changing growing conditions, or the effect of changing competition pressure 1033 1034over time could explain this pattern. Competition between individual trees was 1035 1036 more pronounced in the later stages of growth, since the trees had a relatively 1037 large growing area $(2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ m})$ available immediately after the establishment 1038 1039of the trial. The sampled trees in the current study were mostly dominant trees 1040 1041at the time of sampling, although at least some of them were not constantly 1042 dominant throughout their lifespan. The LW fraction exhibited less variation 1043 1044than RW, EWW or LWW overall, with some individual trees exhibiting a con-10451046sistently higher latewood share than others. Whether this is directly related 1047 to the geno- or pheno-type of individual trees could be an interesting direction 1048 1049for future research. 1050

Due to the differences found in RW between provenances, we also expected to find some differences in resistance-drilling wood density. This was expected because wood density in softwoods is directly related to RW (Dinwoodie 1981; DeBell et al. 2004). However, we found no differences in wood density across 1056 1057

24 Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships...

1059 the four provenances. One possible explanation for this lack of differences 10601061 could be directly related to the method and/or the device we used for assess-1062 ing wood density. If another method/tool were to be used (such as X-ray or 10631064 high-frequency densitometry), the results could be different and this should 10651066 be examined in future research, possibly using X-ray density measurements 1067for a side-by-side comparison of methods. However, such methods are rela-1068 1069 tively time-consuming and expensive when compared to resistance drilling. An 1070 alternative (and equally plausible) explanation is that no differences in wood 1071 1072density exists between provenances. No differences were found in the latewood 1073 1074 share between provenances in the current study. It is therefore quite possible 1075that this was directly reflected in wood density, since latewood fraction can be 1076 1077used as an indicator of wood density in softwood species.

 $1078 \\ 1079$

¹⁰⁸⁰ **4.3** Climate-growth relationship

1081

1082Climate-growth analysis showed that dry conditions in the previous growing 1083 1084 season were favourable for radial growth in the following year, while dry condi-10851086 tions in the current growing season limited radial growth, but again, promoted 1087 growth in the next growing season (Figure 7 and Supplementary Material). 1088 1089 Such relationships are commonly reported for conifers (e.g. Sun et al. 2021) 1090 1091 and could be explained by the carry-over effect related to carbohydrates and 1092other nutrients, which are stored and are available for growth in the next grow-1093 1094 ing season. Namely, photosynthetic activity, even at a reduced rate, may still 10951096 occur in dry conditions or during mild winter conditions (Lassoie and Salo 10971981). 1098

1099 An adjustment of cambial rhythm to the months with favourable weather 1100 1101 conditions is necessary to avoid a potential water shortage. Wet conditions 1102 1103 in spring are beneficial and result in wider annual increments. Thus, earlier 1104

Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships... 25

spring cambial reactivation provides a longer growing season without water stress, which could enhance radial growth. Information on seasonal radial growth dynamics is not known for Douglas-fir at the selected location; how-ever our previous studies on conifers in the (sub)Mediterranean shows that cambial rhythm in this region is more complex than in temperate locations (Prislan et al. 2016). In the Mediterranean, cambial activity (and consequently xylem growth) in conifers is more plastic compared to colder regions, such as temperate or boreal climates. It may generally exhibit two interruptions, one during winter triggered by low temperature and one during summer due to a precipitation deficit coupled with high temperature (e.g., Liphschitz and Lev-Yadun (1986); Deslauriers et al. (2017)). Thus, an autumnal resumption of cambial cell production can occur in the case of favourable growing conditions. Such bimodal xylem growth is reflected in intra-annual density fluctuations (IADFs) (de Luis et al. 2007). IADFs are characterized by the occurrence of latewood-like cells within earlywood or earlywood-like cells within latewood (de Luis et al. 2007). Drastically unfavourable environmental conditions for tree growth, i.e., severe lack of precipitation throughout the year, result in specific wood anatomical features, such as locally missing rings or dark rings (Novak et al. 2016). No missing rings or dark rings were detected in our case. IADFs occurred occasionally only in one (P-1028), two (P-1064 and P-1080) or three individual (P-1089) trees in the juvenile phase. No IADFs were iden-tified in the adult phase. The lack of anatomical anomalies and rather wide RW, on the one hand suggests that environmental conditions are favourable for radial growth of Douglas-fir on the studied site. Conversely, this could also be a direct result of only sampling dominant trees, which experience less stress than subdominant trees when resources are scarce.

- $1149 \\ 1150$

26 Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships...

1151Douglas-fir is reported to be a highly productive and relatively undemand-1152ing tree species that copes well with prolonged drought periods (Eilmann and 1153 1154 Rigling 2012). It has been explained by a more effective stomatal control mech-11551156 anism compared to other conifer species (Lassoie and Salo 1981), which may 11571158 constitute a water-saving strategy under temporary dry conditions (Eilmann 1159 et al. 2013). In addition, stomatal functioning and photosynthetic capacity in 11601161 Douglas-fir have been observed to recover immediately after the relief of soil 11621163 water deficits. This and the ability to fix a significant amount of carbon diox- 1164 ide during mild winter conditions could explain the wide distribution range of 11651166 Douglas-fir (Lassoie and Salo 1981). However, a recent study by Duarte et al. 1167 1168 (2016) shows a limited physiological plasticity of Douglas-fir after exposure to 1169 elevated temperature. This would prevent it from full recovery in the case of 11701171 heat waves, which may become more frequent and severe in the coming years. 11721173 In this case, the capacity of a tree to maintain its photosynthetic potential 1174and minimize water loss will be crucial (Duarte et al. 2016). The differences 11751176 in the findings could be attributed to the age of the studied trees; in the case 11771178 of Lassoie and Salo (1981) the study was performed on adult trees, whereas in 1179the case of Duarte et al. (2016) on young saplings. However, the drought toler-11801181 ance and productivity of Douglas-fir also depend on its geographical origin, as 11821183 demonstrated by Isaac-Renton et al. (2014). Based on the high share of late-1184wood proportion linked with a lower cavitation risk, the analyzed provenances 11851186 in the current study indicate a high potential to cope with drought. 1187

As far as different provenances are concerned, we observed that P-1089 1189 showed no significant response to wet spring conditions from the previous grow-1190 ing season, in contrast to the other three examined provenances. We assume 1192 that current climatic conditions at a given location are the most favourable 1194 1195

- 1100
- 1196

Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships... 27

for radial growth of P-1089, consequently its growth is less restricted by cli-mate and thus more resistant to dry conditions although it originates from a location well supplied with precipitation (annual amount = 2102 mm), also in the spring period (Figure 1). In addition, our study site has a very similar temperature pattern and mean annual temperature to the region from which P-1089 originated (Figures 1 and 2).

Information in the literature on the age-related climate response of different studies is inconsistent. For example, few differences were found in response to climate between trees of different ages of *Pinus niqra* and *Pinus uncinata* (Liñán et al. 2011) and Pinus cembra (Esper et al. 2008). In addition, the main limiting climate factors constrained tree growth equally regardless of the age group. Other studies reported that growth trends and climatic sensitivity differ between young and old trees; annual increments are generally wider in young trees, which also show higher climatic sensitivity (e.g., Colangelo et al. 2021). In the juvenile phase trees usually exhibit different cambial and radial growth rhythms than in the adult phase; in the former age group cambial growth period is usually longer, which results in wider xylem increments (Rossi et al. 2008).

5 Conclusions

The current study demonstrates that provenances for future planting should be selected by using a variety of criteria. Whether planting Douglas-fir to improve the timber quality/quantity from future forests, or to simply improve the over-all stand resilience of existing stands by including individual Douglas-fir trees in existing stands, the visible and invisible features of individual trees and their provenances should be considered. In addition to DBH, other factors to con-sider include survival rate and vitality, present and past productivity, growth

28 Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships...

1243 homogeneity, and intraannual density fluctuations. As well as providing useful $\overset{----}{1245}$ information on age-related radial and volume growth, tree-ring characteristics also include a treasure trove of often overlooked and underutilized information 1248 (e.g., IADFs). Tree age and future climate-change scenarios (including extreme weather events) on a regional level should be considered when assessing the suitability of provenances for certain parts of Europe because they may greatly 1253 affect the long-term performance of provenances under future European envi- ronmental conditions (St Clair and Howe 2007). The results of the current study indicate that provenances could potentially be selected according to the 1258 chosen rotation period of a stand, due to the differences found between radial $1260\,$ growth across the two growth phases. When considering shorter rotations (30+ years), different provenances could be chosen to maximize volume growth than when considering longer rotation periods (60 + years). Existing provenance tri- als remain extremely valuable and should be monitored long-term, since the growth and vitality may change over the years, as demonstrated in the current 1268 study. Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the Slovenian Research 1272 Agency: research core funding no. P4-0430, P4-0107 and P4-0059; projects J4-9297 and V4-2017. Part of the research was also supported by the project 1275 WOOLF (Slovenian Ministry of Education, Science and Sport).



Fig. A1: Raw chronologies.

s a																		
of l ar i																		
lle (Rba																		
v. I																		
1", 081																		
nol																		
sig Iroi																		
on L ch naf	un					_	~1	_					\sim	5				
atic ion	nea	.4	36	0.0	7	1.3(1.52	<u>)</u> 8.1	1.54	2.7(3.4(8.8	3.28	.45	.44).4().4(
oulå lat inf	Ч	—	—	51	—	-	-	-	-	61	60	0.0	00	U	U	\cup	\cup	
pu pu		88	87	11	12	95	17	97	17	74	53	91	$\frac{18}{2}$	80	80	60	60	
d J po mc	$^{\mathrm{sd}}$	0	0	÷	÷	0	0	0	÷	÷	÷		2	0.	0.	0.0	0.0	
sse 1 a 0r		\sim	\sim	_	6	\sim			\sim	\sim	_	\sim			\sim	_	\sim	
bre hir f	s	978	$96'_{1}$	67]	986	988	976	98	98	98'	98]	983	66	74(867	73]	668	
exl wit 984	ep	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
с. ", Зе т (19		6	2	∞	H	10	2	x	9	0	6	—	10	2		6	-	
for anc al.	bar	73	20	20	89	84	75	77	84	83	79	$\frac{81}{2}$	90	16	33	16	16	
ds ari: et	r	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.	
t va f	r																	
t o t o igle	yea																	
PS W	E.																	
E ee	nu																	
ies. e al	xir	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ogi the ion	Ma	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	
nol es lat		• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	•	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	
nro: cat rre	ar																	
ch co	ý																	
t ir ies	m																	
heı hai ser	in	∞	\sim	5	50	\sim	~	50	20	\sim	2	50	5	\sim	~	5	5	
gat y t er-	Tin	978	97	97!	975	978	$\frac{1}{2}$	97	97!	978	97	97!	97!	978	$\frac{1}{2}$	97!	97!	
n g log int	4	-			Η	Η	Η	Η				Η						
n o an	_	×,	က်	Ŋ	2	∞	4	ល	2	∞	4	ល	2	∞	4	Ŋ	2	
ior 1ro	ч	—		—	—	—	—	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
nat ocl	de																	
orn ndr sd a	Õ																	
inf der ate	2																	
al in cul	ΗH	28	64	80	89	28	64	80	89	28	64	80	89	28	64	80	89	
ent ed calo	B	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
use is e		_	_	_	_					_	_	_	_					
ple ly 1d		dth	dth	dth	dth	$^{\mathrm{th}}$	$^{\mathrm{th}}$	$^{\mathrm{th}}$	$^{\mathrm{th}}$	dth	dth	dth	dth	e	e	e	e	
up non : aı		Vie	V10	Vie	Vic	/id	/id	/id	/id	W10	W10	Wid	W10	har	har	har	har	
: S mm Tric	ы	p	p	p	p					60	60	60	60	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	\mathbf{S}	
A1 col net	ete	200	700	700	700	poc	poc	poc	poc	Rin	Sin	Sin	Sin	poc	poc	poc	poc	
e - cs - tr 1	am	ly w	[yw	[yw	[yw	ЭWС	ЭWС	ЭWС	ЭWС	ыI	ыI	ыI	ыI	ЭWС	ЭWС	ЭWС	ЭWС	
الما علتة مناد	ar]ar]	ar]	ar]	arl.	at(at(at(∕at€	Oté	Oté	Oté	Oté	ate,	ate,	at(at(
Ta me sin	д	μ <u>τ</u>	Щ	ഥ	μ	Ц	Ц	Ц	Ц	Г	Н	Н	Н	Ц	Ц	Ц	Ц	
	Table A1 : Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of keymetrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is asimilar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearNamerbarepssdmeterNinimum yearMaximum yearrbarrbarsdmean	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of keymetrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is asimilar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssdmeanmeanEarlywood Width1028181020200.7390.7390.9780.881.41	TableA1:Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of keymetrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is asimilar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearEarlywood Width102818197820200.7390.7020.9670.871.95	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of keymetrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is asimilar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarEarlywood Width10281813197720200.739Carlywood Width1080151975Earlywood Width1080151975Carlywood Width1080151975Darabar wood Width1080151975	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197820200.7390.9780.871.41Earlywood Width106413197720200.77020.9670.871.95Earlywood Width108015197720200.7020.9670.871.95Earlywood Width108015197520200.7080.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197520200.8910.9891.121.74	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologics. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of keymetrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is asimilar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarEarlywood Width10281810641319772020Earlywood Width108015197520200.7020.967Earlywood Width10801210720200.8910.993Latewood Width1028181975Latewood Width1028181975Latewood Width1028181975Latewood Width1028181975Datewood Width1028181975Datewool1219752020	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197720200.7390.9760.871.95Earlywood Width108013197720200.7020.9670.871.95Earlywood Width108012197520200.7080.9711.112.06Latewood Width102818197520200.8450.9810.9511.74Latewood Width106414197720200.8450.9761.121.74Latewood Width106414197720200.8450.9761.30	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssdmeanFarlywood Width102818197720200.7390.9780.881.41Earlywood Width106413197720200.7080.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197520200.7080.9711.112.06Latewood Width108912197520200.8450.9881.35Latewood Width108912197720200.7730.9760.771.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9760.771.52Latewood Width108015197520200.7780.9760.771.52Latewood Width108015197520200.7780.9760.771.52Latewood Width108015197520200.7890.771.52Latewood Width108015197520200.7780.9760.771.52Latewood Width108015197520200.7780.9760.771.52	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197720200.7390.9780.881.41Earlywood Width108015197720200.7700.9760.871.95Earlywood Width108015197720200.7780.9761.112.06Latewood Width102818197720200.7780.9761.121.74Latewood Width108012197520200.7780.9880.951.30Latewood Width108015197520200.7780.9760.771.52Latewood Width108015197520200.7780.9760.771.52Latewood Width108015197520200.7780.9860.971.80Latewood Width108015197520200.7780.9760.771.52Latewood Width108015197520200.7780.9761.80Latewood Width108015197520200.7780.9761.64Latewood Width1089 <td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssd1.41ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssd1.41Earlywood Width102818197720200.77020.9670.871.41Earlywood Width108012197720200.77080.9711.112.06Latewood Width108912197720200.8450.9860.9711.74Latewood Width108015197720200.7780.9760.771.52Latewood Width108015197720200.7780.9871.741.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9760.771.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9871.741.54Latewood Width108912197520200.7810.9871.742.70Latewood Width<td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearrbarepssdEarlywood Width102818197720200.7790.9780.871.95Earlywood Width108015197720200.7700.976Earlywood Width108912197720200.7780.9781.41Earlywood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197520200.78460.9831.17</td><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepsidmeanEarlywood Width102818197820200.7390.9780.8871.95Earlywood Width108015197720200.7700.9760.871.95Earlywood Width108915197720200.7080.9760.871.95Earlywood Width102818197720200.7080.9760.771.52Latewood Width108915197520200.7750.9760.771.52Latewood Width108915197520200.7750.9760.771.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7750.9760.771.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7750.9760.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7750.9760.9771.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7750.9760.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9871.742.70<td< td=""><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearmbarrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197720200.7730.9780.881.41Earlywood Width108015197720200.7700.9760.871.95Earlywood Width108912197720200.7780.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197720200.8450.9860.9711.74Latewood Width108915197720200.7780.9760.771.55Latewood Width108915197720200.8460.9811.741.74Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9871.742.06Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9831.171.55Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9831.171.54Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9811.742.70Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9831.171.54Total Ring</td><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chromology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197820200.7390.9780.871.95Earlywood Width108015197720200.7030.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108012197520200.7080.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108012197520200.7730.9760.8711.95Latewood Width108912197520200.7780.9831.112.06Latewood Width108912197520200.7780.9830.971.80Latewood Width108915197520200.7780.9830.971.80Latewood Width108915197520200.7780.9830.971.80Latewood Width108915197720200.7780.9831.171.54Latewood Width108015197720200.7760.9811.742.70Latewood Width108015197720200.7760.9811.742.70La</td><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197720200.77390.9780.881.41Earlywood Width102818197720200.7730.9760.871.95Earlywood Width108912197720200.7730.9970.8711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197720200.7730.9970.7731.112.06Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9950.9711.121.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9950.9711.54Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9831.171.54Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9831.911.86Total Ring Width108912197720200.7780.9831.171.54Latewood Shate108912197720200.7760.9760.9711.86Latewood Shate108912197720200.9750.9831.17<th< td=""><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197820200.77390.9780.871.95Earlywood Width102818197720200.7700.9760.871.95Earlywood Width102818197720200.7890.9761.112.06Earlywood Width102818197720200.7880.9771.95Earlywood Width102811197720200.7780.9561.171.12Latewood Width108911197720200.7780.9571.951.54Latewood Width108911197720200.7780.9670.971.80Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9670.971.80Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9931.171.54Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9670.971.80Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9760.971.80Latewood Width1089<td< td=""><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width10281819720200.7390.9780.881.41Earlywood Width108015197720200.7020.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197520200.7080.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197720200.7680.9711.112.06Latewood Width108912197520200.7680.9711.121.74Latewood Width108912197520200.7840.9831.171.55Latewood Width108912197720200.7810.9760.9711.56Latewood Width108912197720200.7330.9831.912.74Latewood Width108912197520200.7310.9830.9711.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7310.9830.9711.54Total Ring Width108912197720200.7310.9830.45<trr>Total Ring</trr></td></td<></td></th<></td></td<></td></td>	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssd1.41ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssd1.41Earlywood Width102818197720200.77020.9670.871.41Earlywood Width108012197720200.77080.9711.112.06Latewood Width108912197720200.8450.9860.9711.74Latewood Width108015197720200.7780.9760.771.52Latewood Width108015197720200.7780.9871.741.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9760.771.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9871.741.54Latewood Width108912197520200.7810.9871.742.70Latewood Width <td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearrbarepssdEarlywood Width102818197720200.7790.9780.871.95Earlywood Width108015197720200.7700.976Earlywood Width108912197720200.7780.9781.41Earlywood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197520200.78460.9831.17</td> <td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepsidmeanEarlywood Width102818197820200.7390.9780.8871.95Earlywood Width108015197720200.7700.9760.871.95Earlywood Width108915197720200.7080.9760.871.95Earlywood Width102818197720200.7080.9760.771.52Latewood Width108915197520200.7750.9760.771.52Latewood Width108915197520200.7750.9760.771.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7750.9760.771.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7750.9760.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7750.9760.9771.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7750.9760.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9871.742.70<td< td=""><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearmbarrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197720200.7730.9780.881.41Earlywood Width108015197720200.7700.9760.871.95Earlywood Width108912197720200.7780.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197720200.8450.9860.9711.74Latewood Width108915197720200.7780.9760.771.55Latewood Width108915197720200.8460.9811.741.74Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9871.742.06Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9831.171.55Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9831.171.54Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9811.742.70Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9831.171.54Total Ring</td><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chromology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197820200.7390.9780.871.95Earlywood Width108015197720200.7030.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108012197520200.7080.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108012197520200.7730.9760.8711.95Latewood Width108912197520200.7780.9831.112.06Latewood Width108912197520200.7780.9830.971.80Latewood Width108915197520200.7780.9830.971.80Latewood Width108915197520200.7780.9830.971.80Latewood Width108915197720200.7780.9831.171.54Latewood Width108015197720200.7760.9811.742.70Latewood Width108015197720200.7760.9811.742.70La</td><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197720200.77390.9780.881.41Earlywood Width102818197720200.7730.9760.871.95Earlywood Width108912197720200.7730.9970.8711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197720200.7730.9970.7731.112.06Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9950.9711.121.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9950.9711.54Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9831.171.54Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9831.911.86Total Ring Width108912197720200.7780.9831.171.54Latewood Shate108912197720200.7760.9760.9711.86Latewood Shate108912197720200.9750.9831.17<th< td=""><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197820200.77390.9780.871.95Earlywood Width102818197720200.7700.9760.871.95Earlywood Width102818197720200.7890.9761.112.06Earlywood Width102818197720200.7880.9771.95Earlywood Width102811197720200.7780.9561.171.12Latewood Width108911197720200.7780.9571.951.54Latewood Width108911197720200.7780.9670.971.80Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9670.971.80Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9931.171.54Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9670.971.80Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9760.971.80Latewood Width1089<td< td=""><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width10281819720200.7390.9780.881.41Earlywood Width108015197720200.7020.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197520200.7080.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197720200.7680.9711.112.06Latewood Width108912197520200.7680.9711.121.74Latewood Width108912197520200.7840.9831.171.55Latewood Width108912197720200.7810.9760.9711.56Latewood Width108912197720200.7330.9831.912.74Latewood Width108912197520200.7310.9830.9711.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7310.9830.9711.54Total Ring Width108912197720200.7310.9830.45<trr>Total Ring</trr></td></td<></td></th<></td></td<></td>	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearrbarepssdEarlywood Width102818197720200.7790.9780.871.95Earlywood Width108015197720200.7700.976Earlywood Width108912197720200.7780.9781.41Earlywood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9761.74Latewood Width108912197520200.78460.9831.17	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepsidmeanEarlywood Width102818197820200.7390.9780.8871.95Earlywood Width108015197720200.7700.9760.871.95Earlywood Width108915197720200.7080.9760.871.95Earlywood Width102818197720200.7080.9760.771.52Latewood Width108915197520200.7750.9760.771.52Latewood Width108915197520200.7750.9760.771.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7750.9760.771.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7750.9760.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7750.9760.9771.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7750.9760.9761.74Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9871.742.70 <td< td=""><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearmbarrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197720200.7730.9780.881.41Earlywood Width108015197720200.7700.9760.871.95Earlywood Width108912197720200.7780.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197720200.8450.9860.9711.74Latewood Width108915197720200.7780.9760.771.55Latewood Width108915197720200.8460.9811.741.74Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9871.742.06Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9831.171.55Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9831.171.54Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9811.742.70Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9831.171.54Total Ring</td><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chromology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197820200.7390.9780.871.95Earlywood Width108015197720200.7030.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108012197520200.7080.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108012197520200.7730.9760.8711.95Latewood Width108912197520200.7780.9831.112.06Latewood Width108912197520200.7780.9830.971.80Latewood Width108915197520200.7780.9830.971.80Latewood Width108915197520200.7780.9830.971.80Latewood Width108915197720200.7780.9831.171.54Latewood Width108015197720200.7760.9811.742.70Latewood Width108015197720200.7760.9811.742.70La</td><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197720200.77390.9780.881.41Earlywood Width102818197720200.7730.9760.871.95Earlywood Width108912197720200.7730.9970.8711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197720200.7730.9970.7731.112.06Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9950.9711.121.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9950.9711.54Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9831.171.54Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9831.911.86Total Ring Width108912197720200.7780.9831.171.54Latewood Shate108912197720200.7760.9760.9711.86Latewood Shate108912197720200.9750.9831.17<th< td=""><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197820200.77390.9780.871.95Earlywood Width102818197720200.7700.9760.871.95Earlywood Width102818197720200.7890.9761.112.06Earlywood Width102818197720200.7880.9771.95Earlywood Width102811197720200.7780.9561.171.12Latewood Width108911197720200.7780.9571.951.54Latewood Width108911197720200.7780.9670.971.80Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9670.971.80Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9931.171.54Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9670.971.80Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9760.971.80Latewood Width1089<td< td=""><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width10281819720200.7390.9780.881.41Earlywood Width108015197720200.7020.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197520200.7080.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197720200.7680.9711.112.06Latewood Width108912197520200.7680.9711.121.74Latewood Width108912197520200.7840.9831.171.55Latewood Width108912197720200.7810.9760.9711.56Latewood Width108912197720200.7330.9831.912.74Latewood Width108912197520200.7310.9830.9711.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7310.9830.9711.54Total Ring Width108912197720200.7310.9830.45<trr>Total Ring</trr></td></td<></td></th<></td></td<>	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearmbarrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197720200.7730.9780.881.41Earlywood Width108015197720200.7700.9760.871.95Earlywood Width108912197720200.7780.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197720200.8450.9860.9711.74Latewood Width108915197720200.7780.9760.771.55Latewood Width108915197720200.8460.9811.741.74Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9871.742.06Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9831.171.55Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9831.171.54Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9811.742.70Latewood Width108912197720200.8460.9831.171.54Total Ring	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chromology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197820200.7390.9780.871.95Earlywood Width108015197720200.7030.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108012197520200.7080.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108012197520200.7730.9760.8711.95Latewood Width108912197520200.7780.9831.112.06Latewood Width108912197520200.7780.9830.971.80Latewood Width108915197520200.7780.9830.971.80Latewood Width108915197520200.7780.9830.971.80Latewood Width108915197720200.7780.9831.171.54Latewood Width108015197720200.7760.9811.742.70Latewood Width108015197720200.7760.9811.742.70La	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197720200.77390.9780.881.41Earlywood Width102818197720200.7730.9760.871.95Earlywood Width108912197720200.7730.9970.8711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197720200.7730.9970.7731.112.06Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9950.9711.121.74Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9950.9711.54Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9831.171.54Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9831.911.86Total Ring Width108912197720200.7780.9831.171.54Latewood Shate108912197720200.7760.9760.9711.86Latewood Shate108912197720200.9750.9831.17 <th< td=""><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197820200.77390.9780.871.95Earlywood Width102818197720200.7700.9760.871.95Earlywood Width102818197720200.7890.9761.112.06Earlywood Width102818197720200.7880.9771.95Earlywood Width102811197720200.7780.9561.171.12Latewood Width108911197720200.7780.9571.951.54Latewood Width108911197720200.7780.9670.971.80Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9670.971.80Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9931.171.54Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9670.971.80Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9760.971.80Latewood Width1089<td< td=""><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width10281819720200.7390.9780.881.41Earlywood Width108015197720200.7020.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197520200.7080.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197720200.7680.9711.112.06Latewood Width108912197520200.7680.9711.121.74Latewood Width108912197520200.7840.9831.171.55Latewood Width108912197720200.7810.9760.9711.56Latewood Width108912197720200.7330.9831.912.74Latewood Width108912197520200.7310.9830.9711.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7310.9830.9711.54Total Ring Width108912197720200.7310.9830.45<trr>Total Ring</trr></td></td<></td></th<>	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width102818197820200.77390.9780.871.95Earlywood Width102818197720200.7700.9760.871.95Earlywood Width102818197720200.7890.9761.112.06Earlywood Width102818197720200.7880.9771.95Earlywood Width102811197720200.7780.9561.171.12Latewood Width108911197720200.7780.9571.951.54Latewood Width108911197720200.7780.9670.971.80Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9670.971.80Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9931.171.54Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9670.971.80Latewood Width108912197720200.7780.9760.971.80Latewood Width1089 <td< td=""><td>Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width10281819720200.7390.9780.881.41Earlywood Width108015197720200.7020.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197520200.7080.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197720200.7680.9711.112.06Latewood Width108912197520200.7680.9711.121.74Latewood Width108912197520200.7840.9831.171.55Latewood Width108912197720200.7810.9760.9711.56Latewood Width108912197720200.7330.9831.912.74Latewood Width108912197520200.7310.9830.9711.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7310.9830.9711.54Total Ring Width108912197720200.7310.9830.45<trr>Total Ring</trr></td></td<>	Table A1: Supplemental information on gathered chronologies. EPS stands for "expressed population signal", one of key metrics commonly used in dendrochronology that indicates the amount of variance within a population chronology. Rbar is a similar metric and is calculated as mean inter-series correlation. See Wigley et al. (1984) for more information.ParameterIUFRO codenMinimum yearMaximum yearrbarepssdmeanEarlywood Width10281819720200.7390.9780.881.41Earlywood Width108015197720200.7020.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197520200.7080.9711.112.06Earlywood Width108912197720200.7680.9711.112.06Latewood Width108912197520200.7680.9711.121.74Latewood Width108912197520200.7840.9831.171.55Latewood Width108912197720200.7810.9760.9711.56Latewood Width108912197720200.7330.9831.912.74Latewood Width108912197520200.7310.9830.9711.52Latewood Width108912197720200.7310.9830.9711.54Total Ring Width108912197720200.7310.9830.45 <trr>Total Ring</trr>



Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships... 31

Fig. A2: EWW, LWW and RW by phase and provenance. The comparison of means between growth phases was made using a Kruskal-Wallis test and statistical significance is marked with a * symbol (p < 0.05).



1427 **Table A2**: RW data by growth phase and provenance, displaying mean values and cofficients of variation in brackets.

Fig. A3: Raw chronologies of latewood share by provenance.



Table A3: Latewood share by provenance, displaying mean values and coefficients of variation in brackets.

Fig. A4: Correlations between growth and precipitation for the four analyzed provenances. Months with lowercase letters and '*' represent previous growing season. Only correlations with p < 0.05 are shown. The reference position of plotted correlations is the end of time windows.



34 Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships...



- $1557 \\ 1558$

Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships 35	
References	1
	1
Abdel-Gadir AY, Krahmer RL (1993) Estimating the age of demarcation	1
of juvenile and mature wood in Douglas-Fir. Wood and Fiber Science	1
25(3):242-249	1
	1
Agencija Republike za Okolje (2014) Data for meteorological station Ilirska	1
Bistrica. Tech. rep., Slovenian Environment Agency	1
	1
Beguería S, Vicente-Serrano SM (2017) SPEI: Calculation of the standardised	1
precipitation-evapotran spiration index. R package version 1.7	1
	1
Bendtsen BA, Senft J (1986) Mechanical and anatomical properties in individ-	1
ual growth rings of plantation-grown eastern cottonwood and loblolly pine.	
Wood and Fiber Science 18(1):23–38	1
	1
Bindewald A, Michiels HG, Baunus J (2020) Risk is in the eye of the asses-]
sor: comparing risk assessments of four non-native tree species in Germany.	-
Forestry: An International Journal of Forest Research 93(4):519–534. https:	-
//doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpz052	
	-
Blohm JH, Evans R, Koch G, et al. (2016) Identification and characterisation]
of Douglas-Fir ($Pseudotsuga\ menziesii$ (Mirb.) Franco) juvenile and adult	1
wood grown in southern Germany. Drewno 59(197):41–47. https://doi.org/	-
10.12841/wood.1644-3985.C01.05	
	-
Bolte A, Ammer C, Löf M, et al. (2009) Adaptive forest management in central	-
Europe: Climate change impacts, strategies and integrative concept. Scandi-	1
navian Journal of Forest Research 24(6):473–482. https://doi.org/10.1080/	
02827580903418224	1
	-
	-

1611	Breznikar A (1991) Mednarodno proučevanje duglazije ($Pseudotsuga\ menziesii$
$1612 \\ 1613$	(Mirb) Franco) v Sloveniji: International provenance research on Douglas
1614	fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii (Mirb) Franco) in Slovenia. Diploma Thesis,
1616	University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
1617	
1619	Brus R, Pötzelsberger E, Lapin K, et al. (2019) Extent, distribution and origin
1620	of non-native forest tree species in Europe. Scandinavian Journal of Forest
1621	Becoursch 24(7):522 544 https://doi.org/10.1080/02827581.2010.1676464
1622 1623	Research 34(7).333–344. https://doi.org/10.1030/02327331.2013.1070404
1624	Purez A. Mangel A (2010) Prejecting tree gracies composition changes of sure
1625	Buras A, Menzel A (2019) Projecting tree species composition changes of euro-
1626	pean forests for 2061–2090 under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 scenarios. Frontiers
1627	in Plant Science 9(1986). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01986
1628	
1630	Colangelo M Camarero II Gazol A et al (2021) Mediterranean old-
1631	
1632	growth forests exhibit resistance to climate warming. Science of The Total
1633	Environment 801:149,684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149684
1635	
1636	Cornes RC, van der Schrier G, van den Besselaar EJM, et al. (2018) An
1637	ensemble version of the EODS temperature and precipitation data gate
1638	ensemble version of the E-OBS temperature and precipitation data sets.
1639	Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 123(17):9391–9409. https://doi.org/10.1011/101111111111111111111111111111
1641	//doi.org/10.1029/2017JD028200
1642	
1643	de Luis M. Gričar I. Čufar K. et al. (2007) Seasonal dynamics of wood forma-
1644	de Euls M, Offear 5, Oular R, et al. (2007) Seasonal dynamics of wood forma
1645 1646	tion in pinus halepensis from dry and semi-arid ecosystems in spain. IAWA
1647	Journal 28(4):389–404. https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-90001651
1648	
1649	DeBell DS, Singleton R, Gartner BL, et al. (2004) Wood density of young-
1650	
1651	growth western hemlock: relation to ring age, radial growth, stand density,
1653	and site quality. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 34(12):2433–2442.
1654	https://doi.org/10.1139/y04-123
1655	nups.//001.01g/10.1139/X04-123
1656	

Deslauriers A, Fonti P, Rossi S, et al. (2017) Ecophysiology and plasticity	1657
of wood and phloem formation. In: Amoroso MM, Daniels LD, Baker PJ,	$1658 \\ 1659$
et al. (eds) Dendroecology: Tree-ring analyses applied to ecological studies.	$1660 \\ 1661$
Springer International Publishing, Cham, p 13–33, https://doi.org/10.1007/	1661
978-3-319-61669-82	$\begin{array}{c} 1663 \\ 1664 \end{array}$
	1665
Dinwoodie J (1981) Timber, its nature and behaviour,. Van Nostrand Rein-	$\frac{1666}{1667}$
hold, New York	$1668 \\ 1660$
Duarte AG, Katata G, Hoshika Y, et al. (2016) Immediate and potential long-	1609 1670
term effects of consecutive heat waves on the photosynthetic performance	$1671 \\ 1672$
and water balance in Douglas-fir. Journal of Plant Physiology 205:57–66.	1673
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2016.08.012	$1674 \\ 1675$
https://doi.org/10.1010/j.jppn.2010.00.012	$1676 \\ 1677$
Dyderski MK, Paź S, Frelich LE, et al. (2018) How much does climate change	1678
threaten European forest tree species distributions? Global Change Biology	$1679 \\ 1680$
24(3):1150–1163. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13925	1681
	$\frac{1682}{1683}$
Eilmann B, Rigling A (2012) Tree-growth analyses to estimate tree species'	1684
drought tolerance. Tree Physiology 32(2):178–187. https://doi.org/10.1093/	1685 1686
treephys/tps004	$1687 \\ 1688$
Eilmann B. de Vries SM, den Ouden J. et al. (2013) Origin matters! Difference	1689
in drought tolerance and productivity of coastal Douglas-fir (<i>Pseudotsuga</i>	$\frac{1690}{1691}$
menziesii (Mirh.)) provenances. Forest Ecology and Management 302:133-	1692
143 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foroco.2013.03.031	1693 1694
140. https://doi.org/10.1010/j.10100.2013.03.031	$1695 \\ 1696$
Esper J, Niederer R, Bebi P, et al. (2008) Climate signal age effects—Evidence	1697
from young and old trees in the Swiss Engadin. Forest Ecology and Man-	$\begin{array}{c} 1698 \\ 1699 \end{array}$
agement $255(11):3783-3789$. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.03.015	1700
	$1701 \\ 1702$

Springer Nature 2021 $\ensuremath{\texttt{LATEX}}$ template

1703	Feki H, Slimani M, Cudennec C (2012) Incorporating elevation in rainfall
1704	interpolation in Tunisia using geostatistical methods. Hydrological Sciences
1705	
1700	Journal 57(7):1294–1314. https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2012.710334
1708	
1709	Fick SE, Hijmans RJ (2017) WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution cli-
1710	mate surfaces for global land areas. International Journal of Climatology
1711	mate surfaces for global land areas. International Journal of Chinatology
1712	37(12):4302–4315. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5086
1713	
1714	Giagli K, Timko L, Gryc V, et al. (2017) Tree-ring widths and wood den-
1716	-ite and bilite of any mating and in a second stable of Develop for marine in
1717	sity variability of non- native species: a case study of Douglas-nr growing in
1718	central Europe. In: International Conference on Information and Communi-
1719	ention Technologies in Agriculture, Food and Environment, Chania, Crete
1720	cation reenhologies in Agriculture, rood and Environment, enama, erete,
1721	Greece, p 10
1722	
1724	Guijarro JA (2019) climatol R package: Climate tools (series homogenization
1725	
1726	and derived products). https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=climatol
1727	
1728	Hargreaves GH, Samani ZA (1985) Reference crop evapotranspiration from
1729	temperature. Applied Engineering in Agriculture 1(2):96–99. https://doi.
1731	
1732	m org/10.13031/2013.26773
1733	
1734	Isaac-Renton MG, Roberts DR, Hamann A, et al. (2014) Douglas-fir planta-
1735	tions in Europe: a retrospective test of assisted migration to address climate
1736	tions in Europe. a reprospective test of assisted ingration to address enhance
1737	change. Global Change Biology 20(8):2607–2617. https://doi.org/10.1111/
1738	ach 12604
1740	800.12004
1740	
1742	Jandi R, Spathelf P, Bolte A, et al. (2019) Forest adaptation to climate
1743	change—is non-management an option? Annals of Forest Science 76(2):48.
1744	https://doi.org/10.1007/c12505.010.0227
1745	nttps://doi.org/10.1007/813595-019-0827-X
1746	
1747	
1148	

Jevšenak J (2020) New features in the dendroTools R package: Bootstrapped	1749
and partial correlation coefficients for monthly and daily climate data. Den-	1750
and partial correlation coefficients for monenty and dairy chinace data. Den-	1751
drochronologia 63:125,753. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.2020.125753	$1752 \\ 1753$
	1753 1754
Jevšenak J, Levanič T (2018) dendroTools: R package for studying linear	1755
	1756
and nonlinear responses between tree-rings and daily environmental data.	1757
Dendrochronologia 48:32–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.2018.01.005	1758
	1759
Keenan RJ (2015) Climate change impacts and adaptation in forest manage-	1760
	1761
ment: a review. Annals of Forest Science 72(2):145–167. https://doi.org/10.	1702 1763
1007/s13595-014-0446-5	1764
	1765
Kloinschmit I Bastion I (1009) IUEBO's role in Douglas fir (Pecudateura	1766
Riemschnitt 5, Dastien 5 (1352) 101110 S tole in Douglas-in (1 seauotsaga	1767
menziesii (Mirb.) Franco) tree improvement. Silvae Genetica 41(3):161–173	1768
	1769
Krajnc L (2020) densitr R package: analysing density profiles from resistance	1770 1771
	1772
drilling of trees. https://gitnub.com/krajnc/densitr	1773
	1774
Krajnc L, Hafner P, Gričar J, et al. (2020) Umerjanje rezistografskih meritev	1775
gostote lesa na stoječih drevesih; pretvorba v osnovno gostoto == Cali-	1776
	1777
bration of resistograph measurements of wood density in standing trees:	1770
conversion into basic wood density (In Slovenian, abstract and summary in	1780
	1781
English). Gozdarski vestnik (Professional Journal of Forestry) p 7	1782
	1783
Kramer K, Degen B, Buschbom J, et al. (2010) Modelling exploration of the	1784
future of European beech (Fagus sulvatica L.) under climate change—Range.	1785
	1780 1787
abundance, genetic diversity and adaptive response. Forest Ecology and	1788
Management 259(11):2213–2222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.12.	1789
022	1790
020	1791
	1792
	1704
	1194

1795	Lassoie JP, Salo DJ (1981) Physiological response of large Douglas-fir to nat-
1796	ural and induced soil water deficits. Canadian Journal of Forest Research
1708	
1700	11(1):139-144. https://doi.org/10.1139/x81-019
1800	
1801	Levanič T (2007) Atrics - a new system for image acquisition in den-
1802	
1803	drochronology. Tree-Ring Research 63(2):117–122. https://doi.org/10.3959/
1804	1536-1008-63 2 117
1805	1000 1000 00.2.111
1806	
1807	Liñán ID, Gutiérrez E, Heinrich I, et al. (2011) Age effects and climate
1808	response in trees: a multi provy tree ring test in old growth life stages. Fure
1809	response in trees, a multi-proxy tree-ring test in old-growth me stages. Euro-
1810	pean Journal of Forest Research 131(4):933–944. https://doi.org/10.1007/
1811	
1812	\$10342-011-0566-5
1813	
1814	Liphschitz N, Lev-Yadun S (1986) Cambial activity of evergreen and seasonal
1815	
1816	dimorphics around the Mediterranean. IAWA Journal 7(2):145–153. https:
1817	//doi.org/10.1163/22941932-90000978
1818	// 40/01/01/100/ ==0 1100= 00000000
1819	
1820	Minšek D (1977) Eksote na Krasu. Tech. rep., Inštitut za gozdno in lesno
1821	gospodarstvo, Liubliana, Slovenia
1822	800F
1823	
1825	Montwe D, Spiecker H, Hamann A (2015) Five decades of growth in a
1826	genetic field trial of Douglas-fir reveal trade-offs between productivity and
1827	0
1828	drought tolerance. Tree Genetics & Genomes 11(2). https://doi.org/10.
1829	1007/s11205 015 0854 1
1830	1007/511250-015-0654-1
1831	
1832	Novak K, Luis MD, Gričar J, et al. (2016) Missing and dark rings associated
1833	with drought in Pinne halonenesie IAWA Journal 37(2):260-274 https://
1834	with drought in <i>T thus hatepensis</i> . IAWA Journal $37(2).200-214$. https://
1835	doi.org/10.1163/22941932-20160133
1836	
1837	Ogrin D (1006) Podnebni tini v Sloveniji. Coografski vostnik 68.20, 56
1838	Ogrin D (1990) i odnebili tipi v Stoveniji. Geografski vestilik 06:59–50
1839	
1840	

Tree rings, wood density and climate-growth relationships 41	
Prislan P, Gričar J, de Luis M, et al. (2016) Annual cambial rhythm in <i>Pinus</i>	1841
$halepensis$ and $Pinus\ sylvestris$ as indicator for climate adaptation. Frontiers	$1842 \\ 1843$
in Plant Science 07. $https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01923$	1844 1845
R Core Team (2021) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing	1846 1846 1847 1848 1849 1850
Rais A, Poschenrieder W, Pretzsch H, et al. (2014) Influence of initial plant	1851
density on sawn timber properties for Douglas-fir ($Pseudotsuga\ menziesii$	$1852 \\ 1853$
(Mirb.) Franco). Annals of Forest Science 71(5):617–626. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s13595-014-0362-8	1854 1855 1856 1857
Rossi S, Deslauriers A, Anfodillo T, et al. (2008) Age-dependent xylogenesis in timberline conifers. New Phytologist 177(1):199–208. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02235.x	1858 1859 1860 1861 1862
Skudnik M, Grah A, Guček M, et al. (2021) Stanje in spremembe slovenskih gozdov med letoma 2000 in 2018 : rezultati velikoprostorskega monitoringa gozdov in gozdnih ekosistemov. Gozdarski inštitut Slovenije, založba Silva Slovenica, https://doi.org/10.20315/SFS.181	$1863 \\ 1864 \\ 1865 \\ 1866 \\ 1866 \\ 1867 \\ 1868 \\ 1869 \\ 1870 \\$
 Smolnikar P (2018) Navadna ameriška duglazija (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (Mirb.) Franco) v mednarodnem provenienčnem poskusu v Brkinih. MSc Thesis, University of Ljubljana, Biotechnical Faculty, Department of Forestry and Renewable Forest Resources, Ljubljana, Slovenia 	1871 1872 1873 1874 1875 1876 1876 1877
Smolnikar P, Brus R, Jarni K (2021) Differences in growth and log quality of	1878 1879 1880
Douglas-Fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i> (Mirb.) Franco) provenances. Forests 12(3):287. https://doi.org/10.3390/f12030287	1881 1882 1883 1884 1885 1886

1887	Spiecker H, Lindner M, Schuler JK (2019) Douglas-fir: an option for Europe.
1888 1889 1800	European Forest Institute
1890 1891 1892	St Clair JB, Howe GT (2007) Genetic maladaptation of coastal Douglas-fir
1893	seedlings to future climates. Global Change Biology $13(7)$:1441–1454. https:
1894 1895 1896	//doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01385.x
1897	Sun S, Zhang J, Zhou J, et al. (2021) Long-term effects of climate and competi-
1898 1899	tion on radial Growth, recovery, and resistance in Mongolian pines. Frontiers
1900 1901	in Plant Science 12:729,935. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.729935
1902	Vicente Serrano, SM, Beguería, S, Lónez Moreno, II. (2010). A multiscalar
1903 1904	Vicente-Seriano SM, Degueria S, Eopez-Moreno SI (2010) A muniscalar
1904	drought index sensitive to global warming: the Standardized Precipita-
1906	tion Evapotranspiration Index. Journal of Climate 23(7):1696–1718. https://
1907	
1908	//doi.org/10.1175/2009JCL12909.1
1909	
1910	Walter H, Lieth H (1960) Klimadiagramm Weltatlas. G. Fischer, Jena,
1912	Germany
1913	Cormonly
1914	Wigley TML Driffe KD Lange DD (1084) On the Avenage Value of Completed
1915	Wigley IML, Briffa KR, Jones PD (1984) On the Average value of Correlated
1916	Time Series, with Applications in Dendroclimatology and Hydrometeorol-
1917	arry Journal of Climate and Applied Maternalery 22(2),201 212 https://
1918	ogy. Journal of Chinate and Applied Meteorology $25(2):201-215$. https:
1919	$//{\rm doi.org}/10.1175/1520\text{-}0450(1984)023\langle 0201\text{:}OTAVOC\rangle 2.0.CO; 2$
1920	
1921	
1023	
1040	Declarations
1924	Declarations
1924 1925	Declarations Funding: This work was supported by the Slovenian Research Agency:
1924 1925 1926	 Declarations Funding: This work was supported by the Slovenian Research Agency:
1924 1924 1925 1926 1927	 Declarations Funding: This work was supported by the Slovenian Research Agency: research core funding no. P4-0430, P4-0107 and P4-0059; projects J4-
1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929	 Declarations Funding: This work was supported by the Slovenian Research Agency: research core funding no. P4-0430, P4-0107 and P4-0059; projects J4- 9297 and V4-2017. Part of the research was also supported by the project
1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930	 Declarations Funding: This work was supported by the Slovenian Research Agency: research core funding no. P4-0430, P4-0107 and P4-0059; projects J4-9297 and V4-2017. Part of the research was also supported by the project WOOLE (Slovenian Ministry of Education, Science and Sport)
1925 1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931	 Declarations Funding: This work was supported by the Slovenian Research Agency: research core funding no. P4-0430, P4-0107 and P4-0059; projects J4-9297 and V4-2017. Part of the research was also supported by the project WOOLF (Slovenian Ministry of Education, Science and Sport).

- Competing interests: The authors have no relevant financial or nonfinancial interests to disclose. 1933
- Availability of data and materials: The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding 1938 1939 author on reasonable request.
- Authors' contributions: All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation and data collection were performed by Polona Hafner and Luka Krajnc. The analysis was done by Luka Krajnc and Jernej Jevšenak. The first draft of the manuscript was written jointly by all authors and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.